UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 DANIEL SPALDING, et al.,

No. C11-02867 TEH (LB)

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.

Defendants.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Parties: Plaintiffs DANIEL SPALDING, KATHARINE LONCKE,
DANIELLE LOPEZ GREEN, ADRIAN DRUMMOND-COLE, on behalf of themselves
and each Class Member, as defined below; Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND,
ANTHONY BATTS, HOWARD JORDAN, JEFF ISRAEL, ERIC BRESHEARS,
EDWARD TRACEY, ANTHONY TORIBIO, DAVID DOWNING, ERSIE JOYNER,
MIKE POIRIER, and DARRIN ALLISON (hereafter, "OAKLAND DEFENDANTS");
and Defendants COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and GREGORY AHERN (hereafter,
"COUNTY DEFENDANTS"); by and through their respective counsel, agree and
stipulate as follows.

I. The Litigation

On June 13, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a class action complaint asserting violations of their First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights, and their rights under California state law, arising from a mass arrest which occurred on November 5, 2010. The 150 Class Members were arrested during a march protesting police misconduct and the sentencing of Johannes Meserhle, the BART officer convicted in the death of Oscar Grant. It is undisputed that the Class Members were not given an order or opportunity to

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 1

28 EXHIBIT 1

disperse.

Following their arrest by the Oakland Police and a period of detention on the street, the 150 Class Members were placed in the custody of the Alameda County Sheriff and detained in buses and other vehicles, and in an Alameda County Jail holding area, for a total of 14 - 24 hours before being released with citations for unlawful assembly. No charges were ever filed against any of the Class Members.

Plaintiffs alleged that the arrests and imprisonment violated the OPD Crowd Management / Crowd Control Policy adopted in settlement of *Coles v. City of Oakland* and *Local 10, ILWU, v. City of Oakland*, Nos. C03-2961 and 2962 TEH.

Plaintiffs requested monetary damages, attorney's fees and costs, and an injunction to restrain defendants from continuing to violate plaintiffs' rights and the protections for these rights in the Crowd Control Policy; and an order requiring defendants to seal and destroy all records derived from this arrest, and declaring the arrests null and void.

On March 23, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. (Docket No. 41.) In May, 2012, Plaintiffs' Counsel disseminated class notice in the manner specified in this Court's May 8, 2012, Order (Docket No. 54.) The class notice specified a deadline to June 20, 2012, for exclusion from the class. No one requested to be excluded from the class.

The Parties engaged in preliminary discovery including written discovery, and depositions of two Oakland Police defendants and two Sheriff's personnel. The Parties then agreed to stay discovery and litigation and engage in settlement discussions.

II. The Settlement Process

Between June 18, 2012 and the present, the Parties have participated in four all day and two partial day settlement conferences with Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler, as well as several telephone conferences with Judge Beeler and a separate meeting between plaintiffs' counsel and the Alameda County defendants. As a result of these extensive

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 2

settlement negotiations, and with Judge Beeler's assistance, the Parties have now agreed on a complete settlement of this litigation, the terms of which are set forth below.

Plaintiffs and Defendants have taken into account the uncertainty, risks, time and expense inherent in litigation, and have concluded that it is reasonable, desirable and in the public interest that this litigation be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Plaintiffs and their counsel believe that the settlement as set forth in this Stipulation confers substantial benefits on the Class, and the general public, and that it is fair and reasonable. Based on their evaluation, Plaintiffs and their counsel have determined that the settlement set forth in the Stipulation is in the best interests of the Class.

III. The Settlement Agreement and Terms of Stipulation

A. Definitions

"Effective Date" shall be when the Judgment has become Final as defined below.

"Final" means the date on which the Court has entered the Judgment, following submission of this Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Motion to the Court.

"Preliminary Approval Motion" means a motion filed with the Court requesting that the Court consider and preliminarily approve the Settlement Agreement.

"Final Approval Motion" shall mean a motion filed with the Court requesting that the Court consider and, if it finds the settlement to be fair and reasonable, finally approve the Settlement Agreement.

"Final Approval Hearing" means the hearing to be held by the Court to consider and determine whether the proposed Settlement of the Litigation as contained in this Stipulation should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and whether the Judgment should be entered.

"Judgment" means the Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice to be

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 3

rendered by the court.

"Approved Claim" means a claim submitted no later than the Bar Date by a Class member other than a Representative Plaintiff which is approved by plaintiffs' counsel.

"Bar Date" means that date specified herein by which Claim Forms submitted by Class Members must be delivered or post-marked in order to be considered for payment pursuant to the terms of the Settlement described in this Stipulation.

"Class" means the class as defined in the Court's March 23, 2012, Order granting class certification: "The approximately 150 people who were arrested in the mass arrest on 6th Avenue between East 17th and 18th Streets in Oakland on November 5, 2010, and who were never charged with any crime related to this arrest."

"Class Members" means all persons within or encompassed by the definition of the Class.

"Class Representatives" or "Plaintiffs" means Daniel Spalding, Katharine Loncke, Danielle Lopez Green, and Adrian Drummond-Cole.

"Claimants" means Class members who actually file claims pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order.

"Class Notice of Settlement Agreement" means the written notice, together with the Publication Notice, which shall include the general terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the date of the Final Approval Hearing. The Class Notice of Settlement shall conform to all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, any other applicable law, and shall otherwise be in the manner and form approved by the Court.

"Claim Form" means that form which Class Members must submit in order to qualify to participate in the settlement described in this Stipulation.

"The Parties" means the parties to this settlement agreement,

who are the Plaintiffs and the Class, and the Oakland Defendants and County Defendants, defined above.

"Court" means the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

"Class Counsel" or "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means the class counsel appointed in the Court's March 23, 2012, Order granting class certification: Rachel Lederman, Bobbie Stein, R. Michael Flynn, Carol Sobel, Mara Verheyden-Hilliard and Carl Messineo.

"Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs" means Rachel Lederman.

"Class Settlement Fund" means the sum to be paid by the Defendants, totaling \$1,025,000, not subject to reversion, which will be funded and distributed as further described in this Agreement.

B. Monetary Settlement

In consideration of the Release set forth in section III.G. of this Stipulation and the entry of Judgment on the claims of all Class Members, the Defendants will jointly pay the sum of \$1,025,000, within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date of this settlement. Said payment shall include all attorney's fees and costs and shall be made payable to Rachel Lederman, Attorney Client Trust Account, to be distributed to the Class Representatives, Claimants and Class Counsel by that office as follows:

The four Class Representatives shall each receive \$9,000;

Those Claimants who have submitted Approved Claims shall each receive an equal part of \$639,000;

Class Counsel shall receive \$350,000 for attorneys' fees and costs, including all costs associated with the administration of the Class Settlement Fund, transmittal and publication of the Class Notice of Settlement Agreement and Claim Forms, review and approval of Claim Forms and payment of Approved Claims.

C. Non-Monetary Settlement

1. Sealing and Destruction of Arrest Records

The Parties stipulate to the following and seek the Court's order granting such relief as of the Effective Date:

- a. All arrest records, police reports, investigative reports, booking information, on line data, or any other documentation or information pertaining to the arrests of the Plaintiffs and Claimants who have submitted Approved Claims in the possession of the Oakland Defendants and County Defendants shall be sealed and destroyed.
- b. The Parties stipulate that the relief shall be the equivalent of a determination of factual innocence pursuant to California Penal Code section 851.8, and that the procedural requirements of that statute shall be waived, including any time deadlines and notice to the District Attorney.
- c. The Court shall issue an Order in the names of all of the Plaintiffs and Claimants who have submitted Approved Claims, stating that it is the determination of the Court, pursuant to the stipulation of the Oakland defendants (the arresting agency), that the Plaintiffs and Claimants are factually innocent of the charges for which they were arrested and that they are thereby exonerated. Thereafter, the arrest shall be deemed not to have occurred and the person may answer accordingly any question relating to its occurrence. (See, California Penal Code section 851.8, subd. (f).)
- d. Destruction of records of arrest pursuant to the Court's order shall be accomplished by permanent obliteration of all entries or notations upon the records pertaining to the arrest, and the record shall be prepared again so that it appears that the arrest never occurred. However, where the only entries on the record pertain to the arrest and the record can be destroyed without necessarily affecting the destruction of other records, the document constituting the record shall be physically destroyed. (See, Penal Code section 851.8, subd. (j).)

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 7

e. Defendants will provide a copy of the Court order to the California Department of Justice, along with a list of all Class Members and advise said agency of the fact that the records of their November 5, 2010 arrests have been rendered obsolete on the basis of a finding of factual innocence pursuant to Penal Code 851.8.

2. Coles / Local 10 Settlement and Crowd Control Policy

The Oakland Defendants will continue to abide by the terms of the Coles / Local 10 (C03-2961 and 2962 TEH) settlement stipulation and order dated December 24, 2004, which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference herein.

The parties agree that the meet and confer requirement specified in the *Coles* / Local 10 settlement stipulation and order at page 5, line 28, through page 6, line 9, will be satisfied if: Before making any material change to the Crowd Control Policy (as set forth in Exhibit A) or the associated Training Bulletin (OPD TB III-G, issued 28 Oct. 2005), or to associated training outlines, the Oakland Police Department and its counsel will meet and confer with representatives of the National Lawyers Guild - SF Bay Area Chapter, and the ACLU of Northern California, in a good faith effort to reach agreement on such changes.

All participants will bear their own attorney's fees and costs related to any such meet and confer process.

3. Citation and Release Policy - OPD

The Oakland Police Department will adopt the following policy regarding Citation and Release of misdemeanor arrestees in instances of multiple simultaneous arrest, and Paragraph VIII of OPD Training Bulletin III-G, Crowd Control, shall be amended accordingly, as follows:

A. The OPD will comply with Penal Code section 853.6, and with Department General Order M-7, "Citations for Adult Misdemeanors", III, A-N, by citing and releasing individuals who qualify for such under the Penal Code.

B. When it is impractical to cite arrestees at or near the site of the demonstration
because of a substantial risk that this procedure would allow the unlawful activity to
continue or because of specific geographic factors, OPD may cite and release arrestees
from temporary processing stations or police facilities as near the site of the arrest as
possible. While detained during the citation and release process, arrestees shall have
reasonable access to toilet facilities and to appropriate medical attention.

- C. No fingerprinting will be done as part of the citation and release process.

 Arrestees may be instructed to appear for booking prior to or after arraignment.

 Commanders shall exercise discretion as to whether property searches are necessary.

 Property of persons who qualify for citation and release will not be confiscated unless it is found to contain contraband. The intention of this policy is to release citation-eligible arrestees as promptly as possible, and to obviate the need to transfer such arrestees to the Sheriff's custody. Persons for whom a valid warrant is confirmed, or who do not produce valid identification or who are otherwise found ineligible for citation will be transferred to the Sheriff's custody.
- D. An officer seeking to book a misdemeanor arrestee into jail must have an articulable basis to believe that one of the specified statutory exceptions to mandatory cite and release applies to that individual. This basis must be documented in the police report.
- E. The mere fact that further demonstrations are likely to be held in the near future is not a proper basis to apply subdivision (7) of P.C. 853.6 ("reasonable likelihood that the offense may continue or resume") to individual demonstrators.
- F. There must be an articulable objective basis to believe that, if cited out, those specific indi-viduals would continue the same illegal activity for which they were arrested.
- G. Individuals may not be booked into jail on the sole basis of a felony charge consisting of conspiracy to commit a misdemeanor.

4. Citation and Release Policy - Alameda County

The Alameda County Sheriff's Office will adopt a new policy and procedure to expedite the citation and release of citation-eligible persons who are transferred to the Sheriff's custody as the result of mass arrest, as defined in the new policy, Detention and Corrections Policy and Procedure No. 11.65, attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by this reference. The new policy and procedure is specifically intended to ensure the prompt release of those mass arrestees who are eligible for citation and release under Penal Code section 853.6, and to protect their health and comfort during the time they are temporarily detained.

As such, mass arrestees will have access to toilet facilities at all times, except while actually in transit from the arrest site to the jail. Handcuffs will be checked before arrestees are transported to the jail and arrestees will not be accepted for transport unless a Deputy has verified that the handcuffs are properly applied and not likely to cause injury or undue discomfort. Handcuffs will be removed at the jail facility as soon as it is safe to do so without jeopardizing safety and security. Each vehicle used to transport mass arrestees to jail shall be equipped with a flexcuff cutter to be used in the case of an emergency. Mass arrestees who are eligible for citation and release and who provide a valid California driver's license or identification card will not be fingerprinted prior to citation and release.

D. Preliminary Approval

As soon as possible, and in no event more than five days, after execution of this Stipulation, the Parties shall jointly submit the Stipulation together with its Exhibits to the Court and shall jointly apply for entry of a Preliminary Approval Order substantially in the form set forth in Exhibit C, requesting, inter alia, the preliminary approval of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation, and approval/ dissemination of Class Notice of the Settlement and the proposed Claim Form.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 9

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 10

E. Class Notice of the Settlement and Claim Form

After Notice of Settlement Agreement, the Class Members shall have 21 days to file a Claim Form, or to object to the Settlement Agreement following the procedure set forth in the Notice.

F. Final Approval

A Final Approval Hearing shall be set no less than 30 days after the Court issues its Preliminary Approval Order. The parties jointly request that, at the Final Approval Hearing, the Court approve the settlement of the Litigation and enter Judgment in a form substantially similar to that attached hereto as Exhibit D.

G. Releases and Bar Order

- 1. Upon the Effective Date, as defined above, the Parties shall be deemed to have, and shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released, relinquished, discharged, and dismissed all claims arising from the events alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 2. Upon the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs and Class Members shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum, asserting any claims arising from the events alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Defendants shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the determination regarding approval or disapproval of any claim submitted.

_

H. Waiver and Covenant Not to Sue

Upon the Effective Date, each of the Defendants shall be deemed to have, and shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released, and relinquished any claim for malicious prosecution in connection with the Litigation. Each such Defendant covenants that he or she will not institute any claim, lawsuit, arbitration, or proceeding of any nature against Plaintiffs, any Class Member, or Plaintiffs' Counsel for any act or omission in connection with this Litigation.

I. Conditions of Settlement, Effect of Disapproval

This Settlement is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This Settlement is subject to the approval of the Court as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e).
- 2. If the Stipulation is not approved by the Court, or otherwise fails to become effective in accordance with its terms and provisions, the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, with the exception of this section, shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and neither this Stipulation nor any submission by any party in connection with the Motion(s) for Preliminary or Final Approval or Appeal therefrom, or any related motions or proceedings, may be used in this Litigation or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of this Stipulation shall be treated as vacated, nunc pro tunc.

J. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 1. The Parties (a) acknowledge that it is their intent to consummate the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation, and (b) agree to cooperate to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of the Stipulation and to exercise their best efforts to accomplish and effectuate the terms and conditions of the Stipulation.
- 2. The Parties intend this settlement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the Litigation. The settlement compromises claims

which are contested and shall not be deemed an admission by any Party as to the merits of any claim or defense. The Parties agree that the terms of the settlement were negotiated in good faith by the Parties, and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with competent legal counsel and with the assistance of Judge Beeler.

- 3. All of the exhibits to this Stipulation are material and integral parts hereof and are fully incorporated herein by this reference.
- 4. The Stipulation and the exhibits attached hereto constitute the entire agreement among the parties hereto and no representations, warranties or inducements have been made to any party concerning the Stipulation or its exhibits other than the representations, warranties, and covenants contained and memorialized in such documents.
- 5. This Stipulation may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by or on behalf of all Parties. Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, on behalf of the Class, is expressly authorized by the Plaintiffs to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by the Class pursuant to the Stipulation to effectuate its terms and also is expressly authorized to enter into any modifications or amendments to the Stipulation on behalf of the Class which she deems appropriate.
- 6. Each attorney or other person executing the Stipulation or any of its exhibits on behalf of any Party hereto hereby warrants that such person has the full authority to do so.
- 7. The Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- 8. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter after these claims are dismissed to enforce the terms of this Settlement Agreement for four years from the Effective Date, with the proviso that within that four year time period if there is a material breach of the terms of this Settlement Agreement any Party may move the court to extend the time for up to an additional three years. The Parties further request that the Court appoint

1	Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler fo	or resolution of any disputes, to facilitate the meet and							
2	confer process referenced in paragraph III.C.2, and to issue all appropriate orders								
3	concerning this Settlement Agreement and the implementation and enforcement thereof.								
4	9. This Settlement Agreement was drafted with substantial review and input by all								
5	Parties and their counsel, and no reliance was placed on any representations other than								
6	those contained herein. The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall be								
7	construed by its own terms, and not by referring to, or considering, the terms of any other								
8	settlement, and not by any presum	nption against the drafter.							
9	NOW, THEREFORE, the	foregoing terms are hereby STIPULATED AND							
10	AGREED, by and among the Parties, subject to approval of the Court pursuant to Rule								
11	23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.								
12									
13	DATED: May 16, 2013	BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation							
14		A Professional Corporation							
15		By: /S/							
16		GREGORY J. ROCKWELL, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendants							
17		COUNTY OF ALAMEDA and GREGORY AHERN							
18	DATED: May 16, 2013	BARBARA J. PARKER, CITY ATTORNEY, CITY							
19	D111LD. Way 10, 2015	OF OAKLAND							
20									
21		By: /S/							
22		RANDOLPH W. HALL Attorneys for Defendants							
23		CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.							
24	DATED: May 16, 2013	RACHEL LEDERMAN							
25	211122, May 10, 2 015	CAROL SOBEL BOBBIE STEIN							
26		R. MICHAEL FLYNN							
27	SETTLEMENT AGRI	EEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 13							
28		-							
	II								

Case3:11-cv-02867-TEH Document95-1 Filed05/22/13 Page14 of 14

MARA VERHEYDEN-HILLIARD CARL MESSINEO PARTNERSHIP FOR CIVIL JUSTICE FUND By: /S/_____RACHEL LEDERMAN Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, CASE NO. C11-02867 TEH p. 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

If you were arrested by the Oakland Police on November 5, 2010, in connection with the Mehserle sentencing demonstration, and you were not charged with a crime, a class action settlement may provide you with approximately \$4,400 and an order of exoneration.

A court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A settlement has been proposed in a class action lawsuit about the illegality of the mass arrest and detention of approximately 150 people on November 5, 2010, in connection with a demonstration protesting the sentence given Johannes Mehserle, the ex-BART officer who shot Oscar Grant.
- The Class consists of the approximately 150 people who were arrested in the mass arrest on 6th Avenue between East 17th and 18th Streets in Oakland on November 5, 2010, and who were never charged with any crime related to this arrest.
- The proposed settlement will provide each Class member with approximately \$4,400 in compensation. Attorney's fees and costs will be paid separately and will not be deducted from this amount. Class members will be provided with an order of exoneration and their arrest records will be sealed and destroyed. In addition, the settlement provides that OPD will follow a detailed Crowd Control Policy intended to ensure constitutional policing of demonstrations and other crowd events, and that the OPD and Sheriff will change their citation and release procedures for mass arrests to prevent similar lengthy detentions in the future. The settlement terms are further described on the following pages.
- · Your legal rights are affected whether you act, or don't act. Strict deadlines will apply.

Your Legal Rights and Options in this Settlement:							
Submit a Claim Form by	The only way to get a payment and to have your arrest records sealed and destroyed and receive an order of exoneration.						
Object	Write to the Court about why you don't like the settlement.						
Go to a Hearing	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement.						
Do Nothing	Get no payment. Get no order of exoneration. Your arrest record will not be sealed and destroyed. Give up rights.						

WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS

	BASIC INFORMATION	Page 3
	1. Why did I get this notice?	
	2. What is this lawsuit about?	
	3. What is a class action and who is involved?	
	4. Has the Court decided who is right?	
	5. When Can I Expect to Receive Money?	Page 4
-		
L	WHO IS IN THE SETTLEMENT?	Page 4
	6. Am I part of this Settlement?	
	7. What if I was charged with a crime?	
	8. What if I was arrested at another demonstration?	
-	THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS – WHAT YOU GET	Page 4
	9. What does the Settlement provide in terms of money?	D . E
	10. What does the Settlement provide in terms of my arrest record?11. How will the Settlement prevent future unlawful police conduct?	Page 5
	11. How will the Settlement prevent future unlawful police conducts	
	WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS?	Page 6
	12 What must I do to obtain benefits from the Settlement?	
	13. How do I object to the Settlement?	
	14. Do I have to come to court?	Page 7
	15. What happens if I do nothing at all?	
	GETTING MORE INFORMATION	Page 7
	16. Are more details available?	
	CLAIM FORM	Page 8

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Why did I get this notice?

You were identified in police records as having been subject to the mass arrest at issue in this class action, or you may have contacted the National Lawyers Guild or Class Counsel, Rachel Lederman. You should have received an earlier notice of your options and rights in May, 2012, when the Court allowed, or "certified," this lawsuit to go forward as a class action.

This notice is to inform you of a settlement negotiated by the Plaintiffs and Defendants, which has been preliminarily approved by the Court. You have the right to file a claim, or to object to the settlement, before the Court gives final approval.

Judge Thelton E. Henderson of the United States District Court for the	Northern District of
California is overseeing this class action. The lawsuit is known as Spaldi	ng, et al. v. City of
Oakland, et al., Civil Action No. CV-11-02867 TEH. On	, the Court will
decide whether to give final approval to the Settlement.	

2. What is this lawsuit about?

This lawsuit asserts that the mass arrest by the Oakland Police on 6^{th} Avenue on Friday evening, November 5, 2010, during the Mehserle sentencing demonstration, was unlawful; and that the subsequent 14-24 hour detention of Class members by OPD and by the Alameda County Sheriff was unreasonable and inhumane. More information about the lawsuit, including the Complaint and other documents, is available on the class website, https://sites.google.com/site/nov5oaklandarrest/.

3. What is a class action and who is involved?

In a class action lawsuit, one or more people called "Class Representatives" (in this case Daniel Spalding, Katharine Loncke, Danielle Lopez Green, and Adrian Drummond-Cole) sue on behalf of other people who have similar claims. The people together are a "Class" or "Class Members." The four individuals who sued—and all the Class Members like them—are called the Plaintiffs. The people and government entities they sued (in this case, the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, police commanders, and Sheriff Gregory Ahem) are called the Defendants. One court resolves the issues for everyone in the Class. Class members received an opportunity to exclude themselves (or "opt out") of the Class in 2012, but no one excluded themself.

4. Has the Court decided who is right?

The Court has not decided whether the Defendants or the Plaintiffs are correct. The Court will decide whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement provides benefits to the Class members and the public, and, if finally approved, will take the form of a final judgment as to the claims or charges that were made or could have been made by the Class members, the Plaintiffs, or the Defendants arising from the November 5, 2010 mass arrest described above.

5. When can I expect to receive money?

If you submit a valid claim on time, and the court gives final approval to the Settlement at or after the Fairness Hearing, you can expect to receive your share of the settlement money within about a month of the date the judge signs the final approval order.

WHO IS IN THE SETTLEMENT?

6. Am I part of this Settlement?

If you were arrested on November 5, 2010, in the mass arrest on 6th Avenue in Oakland, and you were not charged with a crime related to this arrest, you are a class member and entitled to the Settlement benefits.

7. What if I was charged with a crime?

If you were charged with a crime related to this arrest you are not part of the Class, even if the charges have been resolved. Only two people were charged with crimes. If you are not sure, go to https://sites.google.com/site/nov5oaklandarrest/ or contact Class Counsel at 415-282-9300 or nov5classaction@gmail.com.

8. What if I was arrested at another demonstration?

This class action lawsuit only concerns the arrests on Friday, November 5, 2010, the day Johannes Mehserle was sentenced. If you were arrested on a different day, and not on Nov. 5, 2010, you are not part of the Class.

THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS - WHAT YOU GET

9. What does the Settlement provide in terms of money?

The Settlement agreement requires the Defendants to jointly pay the sum of \$1,025,000, which includes all attorney's fees and costs and which will be distributed as follows:

The Class members who submit valid claim forms ("Claimants") by the deadline of _____ will each receive an equal part of \$639,000. The exact amount of money you will receive depends on the number of timely valid claims received. We expect that number to be between 135 and 145, or \$4,406 -\$4,733 per Claimant.

The four Class Representatives will each receive \$9,000 for their service representing the Class. The several lawyers who are Class Counsel will collectively receive \$350,000 for their more than two years of work on the lawsuit and their out of pocket costs. Class Counsel will be continuing to monitor Defendants' compliance with the Settlement, most likely without compensation.

10. What does the Settlement provide in terms of my arrest record?

Everyone who submits a valid Claim Form will receive a court order stating that he or she is factually innocent and is exonerated, and instructing the Oakland Police Department and Alameda County Sheriff's Office to seal and destroy all arrest records, police reports, investigative reports, booking information, online data, or any other documentation or information pertaining to their arrest. This relief will be the equivalent of a determination of factual innocence pursuant to California Penal Code section 851.8. Thereafter, the arrest shall be deemed not to have occurred and you may answer accordingly any question relating to its occurrence. (See, Cal. Penal Code section 851.8, subd. (f).) The order will also be provided to the California Department of Justice.

11. How will the Settlement prevent future unlawful police conduct?

(a) Crowd Control / Demonstrations Policy

As part of the Settlement, the Oakland Police and City of Oakland have agreed to abide by the OPD Crowd Control Policy that was adopted in 2004, in settlement of previous litigation that arose from OPD's use of "less lethal" weapons on anti-war demonstrators at the Port of Oakland in April, 2003. The Crowd Control Policy provides limitations and guidelines for police action in response to demonstrations and other crowd events, in order to protect constitutional rights, and prevent injury. For example, the Crowd Control Policy provides that OPD shall rely on the minimum use of physical force and authority required to address a crowd issue, and forbids the use of "less lethal" impact munitions for crowd dispersal. The Crowd Control Policy requires a dispersal order and opportunity to disperse before making a mass arrest under most circumstances. OPD and the City will have to meet and confer with the National Lawyers Guild and the ACLU before making any material changes to the Crowd Control Policy.

(b) Citation and Release Policy

If future mass arrests occur, the Oakland Police Department will release most people who are arrested for minor offenses more promptly, instead of automatically turning them over to the Sheriff's Office for booking. Persons who have been arrested for misdemeanors, who have valid California ID and no warrants, and as to whom no specific legal exception to state citation and release law applies, will be released with a citation (a promise to appear in court similar to a traffic ticket), as close to the site of the arrests as possible or at a temporary processing station. No fingerprinting will be done as part of the citation and release process. Arrestees may be instructed to appear for booking prior to or after arraignment. Property will not be searched unless necessary and property will not be confiscated unless it contains contraband. The intention of this policy is to release citation-eligible arrestees as promptly as possible, and to avoid transferring such arrestees to the Sheriff's custody. Persons for whom a valid warrant is confirmed, or who do not produce valid identification or who are otherwise found ineligible for citation will be transferred to the Sheriff's custody.

The Alameda County Sheriff's Office has also adopted a new policy and procedure as part of this Settlement which is intended to expedite citation and release if citation-eligible persons are transferred to the Sheriff's custody as the result of a mass arrest. A streamlined procedure will be followed to process mass arrestees who are eligible for citation and release and who provide a valid California driver's license or identification card, as quickly as possible. In addition, mass

Case3:11-cv-02867-TEH Document95-5 Filed05/22/13 Page6 of 8

arrestees will have access to toilet facilities except when actually in transit, and handcuffs will be checked for overtightness before arrestees are transported to the jail and removed at the jail facility as soon as possible. Each vehicle used to transport mass arrestees to jail shall be equipped with the appropriate tool to remove the plastic handcuffs.

(c) Enforcement of Settlement Terms

Class Counsel will be able to ask the Federal Court to enforce the Settlement for four years from the date of the court's final order approving the settlement. Class Counsel will be able to request the court to extend that period of time by an additional three years if there is cause to do so.

WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS?

12. What must I do to obtain the benefits from the Settlement?

			ney ar															
			ubmit a			m (s	see at	tached	1), si	gned	unde	r pen	alty	of p	erju	ry, to	Clas	SS
Couns	el, by	 			_•													
	,			c		c												

You may hand deliver, mail or fax claim forms to:

Rachel Lederman, Attorney, 558 Capp St., San Francisco, California 94110 Fax: (415) 285-5066

Or you may scan and email the form **to nov5classaction@gmail.com**.

The Claim Form is attached and is also available on https://sites.google.com/site/nov5oaklandarrest/

DO NOT CONTACT THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, THE ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, THE CITY OF OAKLAND, OR THE OAKLAND POLICE DEPT. CONCERNING THIS SETTLEMENT. THOSE AGENCIES HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SETTLEMENT, CANNOT ACCEPT CLAIM FORMS AND CANNOT ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE LAWSUIT OR SETTLEMENT.

13. How do I object to the Settlement?

If you are a Class Member, you can object to the settlement agreement if you don't like any part of it. You can submit for the Court's consideration reasons why you think the Court should not approve it. To object, you must send a letter stating that you object to the proposed settlement agreement in Spalding v. City of Oakland, et al. Be sure to include your name, address, telephone number, your signature, and the reasons why you object to the settlement. The attorneys for the Class and for the defendants will be allowed to file with the Court a written response to any submitted objections.

If you wish to assert any objections, you are required to submit those to the two different addresses below, postmarked by no later than ______.

Clerk's Office	Rachel Lederman
U.S. District Court for Northern District of California	Attorney
450 Golden Gate Avenue,	558 Capp Street,
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94110

14. Do I have to come to court?	
No. The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing on to approve the settlement. You may attend, and you may ask to speak, but Class Counsel will respond to any questions that Judge Henderson may havelcome to come at your own expense. If you send an objection, you do Court to talk about it. As long as you mailed your written objection on the consider it. You may also pay your own lawyer to attend, but it is not need.	nt you don't have to. nave. But, you are n't have to come to the me, the Court will
You may ask the Court for permission to speak at the Fairness Hearing. The letter stating that it is your "Notice of Intent to Appear at Fairness Hearing Oakland, et al." Be sure to include your name, address, telephone number Your Notice of Intent to Appear must be postmarked no later than to the Clerk of the Court, and to Class Counsel, at the addresses shown in	ng in Spalding v. City of er and your signature and be sent
PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT CLAIM FORMS TO THE COURT. THE CACCEPT OBJECTIONS AND NOTICES OF INTENT TO APPEAR AT HEARING. CLAIM FORMS MUST GO TO CLASS COUNSEL, RACI	T THE FAIRNESS
15. What happens if I do nothing at all?	

If you do nothing, your rights will be affected. You will be bound by the terms of the Settlement and you will be agreeing to a release of the claims that are contained in the Settlement Agreement. However, because you did not file a claim, you will not be entitled to any money from the settlement and you will not have your arrest record sealed and destroyed or receive an order of exoneration.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

16. Are more details available?

Visit the website, https://sites.google.com/site/nov5oaklandarrest/, where you will find the Settlement, the Claim Form, and all other relevant documents about the case. You may also speak to one of the lawyers by calling 415-282-9300 or emailing nov5classaction@gmail.com.

CLASS ACTION CLAIM FORM

Spalding, et al v. City of Oakland, County of Alameda, et al. U.S. District Court for Northern District of California, Case No. C11-02867 TEH (LB)

→ DEADLINE:						
To be considered for participation U.S. first class mail, postmarked n the deadline, to:		-				
RACHEL LEDERMAN, Attorney	ÿ	Геlephone: (415) 282-930	0			
558 Capp Street	J	Fax: (415) 285-5066				
San Francisco CA 94110						
IF YOU DO NOT SUBMIT A C PENALTY OF PERJURY, BY ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE ANY	THE ABOVE DI	EADLINE, YOU WILL	NOT BE			
Complete Name:		Date of birth:				
Address:						
Telephone:						
Day Email:	Evening	Cell				
Were you arrested on November 5, 2 17th and 18th Streets in Oakland? If so, were you ever charged with any	□Yes □No		enue between Easi			
Are you waiving your right to object						
I declare under penalty of perjury und the statements above are true and cor		tate of California and the U	nites States that			
Executed in (city and state) on (date)			-			
Signature:						
If you would like your settlement che here:	eck mailed to an add	ress different than above, p	covide it			