Case, 2:94-cv-00083-CBM-CT Document 776 Filed 11/03/03 Page 2 of 6 Page ID #:151 \geq_1 **QUESTION NO. 1:** 2 Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that either of the 3 Defendants intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff Jeff Paige, in that: 1. 4 The plaintiff was denied a promotional opportunity and; 5 2. The plaintiff's race was a motivating factor in the defendant's 6 decision not to promote. 7 Yes____No_/O_ 8 9 10 Proceed to Question #2. 11 **QUESTION NO. 2:** 12 13 Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that either of the 14 Defendants intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff Jeff Paige, in that: The plaintiff did not receive a certain job assignment and; 15 1. The plaintiff's race was a motivating factor in plaintiff not receiving a 2. 16 certain job assignment. 17 18 Yes____No_/0 19 20 21 Proceed to Question #3 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 2 -

QUESTION NO. 3:

_.14

Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that either of the Defendants subjected plaintiff to an adverse employment action and the plaintiff would not have been subjected to the adverse employment action but for the plaintiff having engaged in an activity protected by Title VII.

Yes_/O_No____

If you answered "Yes" to Question # 3, Proceed to Question # 4.

If you answered "No" to Question #3, Proceed to Question #6.

QUESTION NO. 4:

Do you find that the Defendant has articulated a legitimate, non-retaliatory explanation for the adverse employment action taken against the Plaintiff?

Yes No/O

If you answered "Yes" in Question #4, Proceed to Question #5.

If you answered "No" in Question #4, Proceed to Question #6.

QUESTION NO. 5:

Do you find that Defendant's alleged explanation is merely a pretext for impermissible retaliation?

Yes____ No____

Proceed to Question #6.

- 3 -

QUESTION NO. 6:

14,

Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant CHP's promotional practices from August 1, 1992 through January 5, 1994 for the ranks of sergeant, lieutenant, captain, assistant chief, and deputy chief, constituted a pattern or practice of intentional race and national origin discrimination in promotions against all nonwhite officers within the CHP?

Yes

No /O

If you answered "Yes" in Question #6, Proceed to Question #7.

If you answered "No" in Question #6, Proceed to Question #9.

QUESTION #7:

Do you find that the Defendant has established a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for any failure to promote nonwhite officers from August 1, 1992 through January 5, 1994.

Yes No

21 If you answered "Yes" in Question #7, Proceed to Question #8.

If you answered "No" in Question #7, Proceed to Question #9.

	i	
Ca	se 2:94-cv-00083-CBM-CT Document 776 Filed 11/03/03 Page 5 of 6 Page ID #:154	
1	QUESTION NO. 8:	
2	Do you find that Defendant's alleged explanation is merely a pretext or	
3	excuse for defendant's discriminating against nonwhite officers because of their	
4	race and national origin?	
5	Yes No	
6	• 1	
7	Proceed to Question #9.	
8		
9	QUESTION NO. 9:	
10	Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that from August 1, 1992	
11	through January 5, 1994, Defendant CHP engaged in a pattern or practice of	
12	denying desirable assignments to nonwhite CHP officers based on intentional race	
13	and national origin discrimination?	
14		
15	Yes	
16	No <u>/ O</u>	
17	If you answered "Yes" in Question #9, Proceed to Question #10.	
18	If you answered "No" in Question #9, Proceed to signature line.	
19		
20	QUESTION NO. 10:	
21	Do you find that the Defendants have established a legitimate,	
22	nondiscriminatory reason for denying desirable assignments to nonwhite officers	
23	from August 1, 1992 through January 5, 1994.	
24		
25	Yes No	
26		
27	If you answered "Yes" in Question #10, Proceed to Question #11.	
28	If you answered "No" in Question #10. Proceed to signature line	

Ca /	sé 2:94-cv-00083-CBM-CT Document 776 Filed 11/03/03 Page 6 of 6 Page ID #:155
j	
1	QUESTION NO. 11:
2	Do you find that Defendant's alleged explanation is merely a pretext or
3	Do you find that Defendant's alleged explanation is merely a pretext or excuse for Defendant's discriminating against minority officers because of their
4	race and national origin?
5	YesNo
6	
7	Proceed to signature line.
8	
9	
10	
11	Signature Line
12	$Q \sim Q \sim Q$
13	11-30-03 Bill Parnell
14	Date Presiding Juror
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	a l