IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA *Pro Se* [Non-Prisoner] Complaint Form

[Enter the full name of the plaintiff in this action]) Civil Action No.
CATHERINE AMILA BROWN) (to be assigned by Clerk)
v.)))
[Enter the full name of each defendant in this action. If possible, please list only one defendant per line.])))))
Cynthia Pennington	
Denise Forrester)
Julia T. Cannon) > ▶ {
Pat Mitchel) ශ ්
Mark A. Delledonne))
Victoria A. Mackey))
South Carolina Employment Sec.)))
)))
If allowed by statute, do you wish to have a trial b	y jury? Yes X No
[If any answer requires additional space, please use add	itional paper and attach hereto.]
I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS	
A. Have you begun other lawsuits in state or fed action?	eral court dealing with the same facts involved in this
Yes No X	

В.	If y and	If your answer to A is Yes, describe the lawsuit in the space below. [If more than one lawsuit, describe on another sheet of paper using the same outline.]	
	1.	Parties to this previous lawsuit:	
		Plaintiff:	
		Defendant(s):	
	2.	Court: (If federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)	
	3.	Docket Number:	
	4.	Name(s) of Judge(s) to whom case was assigned:	
	<i>5</i> .	Status of Case:(For example, was the case dismissed? Settled? Appealed? Still Pending?)	
	6.	Date lawsuit was filed:	
	<i>7</i> .	Date of disposition (if concluded):	
C.	Do	you have any other lawsuit(s) pending in the federal court in South Carolina?	
	Yes	No	
PA	RTIE	ES .	
In I ano	tem A ther :	A below, place your name and address in the space provided. [If additional plaintiffs, do the same on sheet of paper.]	
Α.	Nan	ne of Plaintiff: <u>Catherine Amila Brown</u>	
	Add	dress: 100 Idlewild Avenue; Greenville, SC 29605	
	In It Use	tem B below, place the full name of the defendant, and his/her/its address, in the space provided. Item C for additional defendants, if any.	
В.	Nan	ne of Defendant: Cynthia Pennington, Mauldin High Cafeteria	
	Add	ress: 701 East Bulter Road, Mauldin, SC 29662; Denise	
		Forrester, Mauldin High Cafeteria, 701 East Bulter Road,	
		Mauldin, SC 29662; Julia T. Cannon & Pat Mitchell,	
		Greenville County Schools Administration, 301 East	
		Camperdown Way, Greenville, SC 29601;	

II.

C.	Additional Defendants (provide the same information for each defendant as listed in Item B above):
	Mark A Delledone, EEOC 301 North Main Street, Greenville, SC
	29601; Victoria A. Mackey, EEOC Charlotte District Office,
	129 West Trade Street, Suite 400, Charlotte, NC 28202;
	South Carolina Employment Security Commission, Post Office
	Box 995, Columbia, SC 29202

III. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

State here, as briefly as possible, the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the name(s) of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets of paper if necessary.

Pat Mitchell, the Director of Greenville County School District's

Human Resource, allows his staff members to terminate hourly

wage employee without his human resourse department being

aware of the firing till after the firing and such type activity

unfair to the fired employee especially if his staff does not

inform the fired employee of its rights to appeal its firing to

other administrative levels. (see exhibit 6) In this case the

employee firing takes place on the 17th and human resource was

notified on the 18th, thereby strippin the employee of a fair

hearing before the firing.

III. STATEMENT OF CLAIM - continued.

Mark A. Delledonne and Victoria A. Mackey both employed as

Investigators with THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSIONS

viewed the Plaintiff commission files with the same information
that the commission filed the three charges against the school
district but months later dismissed the charges citing, "no finding
is made as to another other issues that might be construed",
asking the plaintiff via telephone conversation and goin to the
incident location asking working employees about the way the

working supervisor treats her working employees is a very weak
investigation to warrant a dismissal of someone who has been
unfairly treated as the plaintiff in this matter.

THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMPLOYMENT SECURITY COMMISSION relied upon

Julia Cannon's report in supporting there reasons to disqualify

unemployment benefits for 8 weeks the plaintiff were firedunfairly,

erronous information relied upon, by the commission, and lost of

wages, and lost of unemployment benefits for 8 weeks really sit

plaintiff in the cost of living expenses.

(see additional pages with exhibits)

Two white females Denise Forrester and Cynthia Pennington worked as a team to fire one black female, Catherine Brown, from here duties At Mauldin High Cafeteria after Catherine had work 4years and five months with the Greenville County Food and Nutition services in the District of Greenville County schools.

The Cafeteria immediately superivsor, Denise Forrester, takes a written statement from Food Service Operator Cynthia Pennington, during a time that Cynthia and Food Service Operator, Catherine Brown worked the same serving line, on the very same date and time however, supervisor Denise Forrester, did not, take a statement from Catherine Brown against Cynthia Pennington. Denise used Cynthia's statement to write an indicent warning report against the black employee and use Cynthia Pennington, the white female, as her material witness against Catherine, the black employee.

(See Exhibits 1, 2, and 3)

In a seperate matter the same two white females Denise Forrester and Cynthia Pennington teamed to have Catherine fired when on November17, 2008, Catherine put a glass bottle of juice, in the freezer Catherine removed the bottle from the freezer placed the bottle in the "designated area for employee personnel food items" near the microwave about 8-10feet from the freezer.

Meanwhile, while the bottle was there in the designated area Cynthia Pennington picks up Catherine bottle beging waving and shaking the bottle until the bottom gave way to the bottle in the "designated area for employee personal item". Once the bottle broke Superivor Denise Forrester walks to the area of the broken glass

(Exhibit 4)

On November 17, 2008, in Mauldin High Cafeteria Office, Superivor, Denise Forrester and Area Manager, Julia Cannon team terfire me of my duties at Mauldin High Cafeteria, However, On November 18, Julia Cannon follow-up letter to the firing does not mention Catherine, s rights to appeal their firing decision through other administrative levels through the District.

(Exhibit 5)

Therefore, Catherine was fired from her employment on erroneous information gathered by three white females that had no love for a 65 year old black senior citizen who worked hard for the district four years and 5 months with an income and insurance before being fired without the proper notification of her rights to appeal.

IV. NELIEF	IV.	REL	JEF.
------------	-----	-----	------

State briefly and exactly what you want this court to do for you.

Monetary damages to pay back for loss of wages and unemproyment
benefits; Actual and punitive damages; Decree to have the
Greenville School District to apply the Appeal procedures to
hourly employees, in writin, after a firing.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed this 18th day of Tuve , 2010

Signature of Plaintiff