UNITED STATES

FOREIGN İNTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT WASHINGTON, DC

IN RE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOR AN
ORDER REQUIRING THE PRODUCTION
OF TANGIBLE THINGS FI

Docke

Docket Number: BR 09-15

SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION AND ORDER

On October 30, 2009, the Court authorized the acquisition by the National Security

Agency ("NSA") of the tangible things sought in the government's application in the abovecaptioned docket ("BR metadata"). This supplemental opinion and order reiterates the manner in
which query results may be shared within the NSA, as informed by the testimony provided by
government, and elaborates on the reporting requirement imposed in the Court's order of
October 30.

Sharing of BR Metadata Query Results Within the NSA

The Court's order permits NSA analysts who are authorized to query the BR metadata to share the results of authorized queries among themselves and with other NSA personnel, "provided that all NSA personnel receiving such query results in any form (except for information properly disseminated outside NSA) shall first receive appropriate and adequate training and guidance regarding the rules and restrictions governing the use, storage, and dissemination of such information." Primary Order at 15, Docket No. BR 09-15 (October 30, 2009) ("October 30 Order"). The order further provides: "[a]ll persons authorized for access to the BR metadata and other NSA personnel who are authorized to receive query results shall receive appropriate and adequate training by NSA's [Office of General Counsel] concerning the authorization granted by this Order, the limited circumstances in which the BR metadata may be accessed, and/or other procedures and restrictions regarding the retrieval, storage, and dissemination of the metadata." Id. at 13. The Court's prior order in this matter contained identical provisions. Primary Order at 12, 14-15, Docket No. BR 09-13 (September 3, 2009) ("September 3 Order").

In September, 2009, the Court received oral notification that NSA analysts had, on two occasions, shared the results of queries of the BR metadata with NSA analysts involved in the investigation who had not received "appropriate and adequate training and guidance" as required under the September 3 Order. Order Regarding Further Compliance Incidents at 2-3, Docket No. BR 09-13 (September 25, 2009). On September 25, 2009, the Court ordered representatives of the NSA and the National Security Division ("NSD") of the

Department of Justice to appear for a hearing in order to inform the Court more fully of the scope and circumstances of the incidents, and to allow the Court to assess whether the Court's order should be modified or rescinded and whether other remedial steps should be imposed. <u>Id</u> at 4.

At the hearing, which was conducted on September 28, 2009, the government confirmed that NSA analysts authorized to query the BR metadata had sent query results to NSA personnel who had not received the training and guidance required by the Court's September 3 Order. Transcript at 6-7, Docket No. BR 09-13. Specifically, the government reported that the NSA had created an e-mail distribution list (the NSA representative referred to this list as an "alias") for the 189 NSA analysts who were working on the " "threat, only 53 of whom had received the required training and guidance. Id. at 6-7, 12-13. On September 17th, an NSA analyst authorized to query the BR metadata sent an e-mail to the alias that included a "general analytic summary" of the results of a query of the BR metadata. Id. at 7. After a recipient brought the e-mail to the attention of the NSA's Oversight and Compliance Office and Office of General Counsel, the Oversight and Compliance Office issued guidance on September 21st, "reemphasizing the point, no dissemination of query results in any form," Id. at 14. The NSA's Counter-terrorism organization sent a similar reminder on the morning of September 22nd, however, that afternoon, a second NSA analyst who was authorized to query the BR metadata sent a situation report to the alias that contained information derived from a query of the BR metadata. Id. at 15.

The government testified at the hearing that the NSA has taken steps to ensure that any sharing of the results of queries of the BR metadata within the NSA is fully consistent with the

Court's orders. First, the NSA has issued guidance interpreting "query results in any form," to mean any information of any kind derived from the BR metadata. <u>Id</u>. at 16. Second, NSA aliases for sharing information that could include BR metadata query results, will be limited to NSA personnel who have received the necessary training and guidance to receive those query results. <u>Id</u>. at 21-22. The Court hereby affirms that the NSA may share BR metadata query results in this manner consistent with the Court's October 30 Order. The only exception to this practice is under circumstances in which the Court has expressly authorized a deviation.¹

Report on Queries Described in Footnote 6 of the Court's October 30 Order

In such a circumstance, so long as the designated approving official can determine that the reasonable, articulable suspicion standard can be met for a particular period of time with respect to the telephone identifier, NSA may query the BR metadata using that telephone identifier. However, analysts conducting queries using such telephone identifiers must be made aware of the time period for (continued...)

¹ For example, pursuant to paragraph (3)J of the Court's order, NSA personnel authorized to query the BR metadata may use and share the identity of high-volume telephone identifiers and other types of identifiers not associated with specific users for purposes of metadata reduction and management, without regard to whether the recipient has received the training and guidance required for access to BR metadata query results.

² Both orders contain the following footnote: "The Court understands that from time to time the information available to designated approving officials will indicate that a telephone identifier was, but may not presently be, or is, but was not formerly, associated with

Nevertheless, the NSA's querying of the BR metadata using telephone identifiers that do not currently satisfy the "reasonable articulable suspicion" standard has been a source of concern for the Court. Given that telephone providers regularly re-assign telephone identifiers, and in light of the fact that the NSA acquires approximately call detail records per day, the vast majority of which are irrelevant to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ("FBI") investigations and concern communications of United States persons in the United States, it would appear likely that such a query could produce results that include metadata from United States persons not under investigation by the FBI. In order to allay these concerns, the Court's September 3 Order mandated that any application to renew or reinstate the authority granted therein must include a report describing, among other things, how the NSA has conducted [these types of queries] and minimized any information obtained or derived therefrom. September 3 Order at 18.

The government's report submitted as Exhibit B to its Application in Docket Number 09-15, stated:

From time to time, NSA may have information indicating that a particular identifier was used by an individual associated w

only for a

particular timeframe. In these circumstances, NSA would seek and grant as appropriate, RAS approval, with the understanding that contact chaining would be conducted in a manner that covered a limited timeframe that has been identified.

(...continued) which the telephone identifier has been associated with

in order that the analysis and minimization of the

information retrieved from their queries may be informed by that fact." September 3 Order at 9, n. 5; October 30 Order at 9, n. 6.

The report then provided one example of how the NSA had conducted such a query. NSA Report to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (BR 09-13) at 15-16.

This report was not sufficiently detailed to allay the Court's concerns, and the Court therefore continues to be concerned about the likelihood that these queries could reveal communications of United States person users of the telephone identifier who are not the subject of FBI investigations. As a result, the Court's October 30 Order contains the same reporting requirements as the September 3 Order. October 30 Order at 18-19. However, to assist the government in providing a report that satisfies its needs, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that any report submitted by the government pursuant to paragraph (3)S of the Court's October 30 Order shall include the following information with regard to how the NSA has conducted queries of the BR metadata using telephone identifiers determined to satisfy the reasonable articulable suspicion standard at some time in the past, but that do not currently meet the standard, and how the NSA minimized any information obtained or derived therefrom:

- The total number of such queries run during the reporting period and what percentage those queries constitute of the total number of queries run.
- 2. Would the status of a telephone identifier that was approved for querying under these circumstances be changed on the Station Table to non-RAS approved once a single query using that identifier has been run? If not, does the NSA have an automated process to limit queries of that telephone identifier to the specified time frame? If not, how will an NSA analyst know that any query of that telephone identifier must be limited to the time period for which the reasonable articulable suspicion existed?

- 3. Are NSA analysts permitted to conduct more than one query using any telephone identifier determined to have met the reasonable articulable suspicion standard under circumstances described above, and if so, for what purpose? If query results from the first query indicated that the telephone identifier's association with the foreign power terminated earlier than the date the NSA believed the identifier no longer met the reasonable articulable suspicion, would the timeframe restriction be adjusted for any subsequent query?
- 4. If this type of query is run, and the NSA analyst who ran the query determines that the query results include records of communications that were made after the telephone identifier was re-assigned to a United States person who is not associated with the foreign power, must the analyst delete or otherwise mask such records prior to sharing the query results with NSA analysts authorized to receive query results pursuant to paragraph (3)I of the Court's order?

ENTERED this 5th day of November, 2009.

Judge, United States Foreign
Intelligence Surveillance Court