Johnson v. O'Brien

Attachment 8

Contract for Service By/In a Nonfederal Facility

ROOM 601 U. S. COURT & CUSTOM HOUSE 1114 MARKET ST. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BUREAU OF PRISONS WASHINGTON 20537

December 8, 1975

St. Louis County Department of Welfare 7900 Forsythe Clayton, Missouri

Attention: William Hennessey

Commissioner of Welfare

Dear Mr. Hennessey:

Enclosed please find three copies of the executed contract for services in the St. Louis County Correctional Institution, St. Louis County Jail, and the St. Louis County Work Release Center. Please distribute the copies to these facilities.


The per diem rate change is effective on January 1, 1976.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

R. W. (Buster) Graham Community Programs Officer

Attachments



## ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI GENE MCNARY, COUNTY EXECUTIVE

THOMAS W. WEHRLE

October 29, 1981

Honorable William S. Bahn United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri 1114 Market Street St. Louis, MO 63101

RE: 79-650 C (2) and 76-210 C (2) Consolidated Cases Bullington v. Moreland and Johnson v. O'Brien

Dear Judge Bahn:

Enclosed please find the following:

- 1. A letter from Mr. Robert G. Ruhland, Director of Judicial Administration, dated October 29, 1981.
- A report entitled "Persons Confined in the St. Louis County Jail (Clayton) as of October 23, 1981".
- 3. A chart entitled "Criminal Cases (Felonies Only)" for the period 1976 - 1984.
- 4. A chart entitled "Criminal Cases (Felonies) for the period January, 1980 to December, 1981.

In reviewing the report concerning Persons Confined in the St. Louis County Jail (Clayton), I noticed that in the Remarks column that approximately 47 of the prisoners' cases have been closed. It is my understanding that those individuals have been tried or have entered a plea of guilty and they are confined pending a pre-sentence investigation report from the State Board of Probation and Parole. Mr. Ruhland advised me that it takes approximately 6 to 8 weeks to receive a pre-sentence investigation on each prisoner. He and Judge Ruddy have tried to expedite this with the State Department of Probation and Parole and have requested that the reports on said prisoners be prepared within a

Honorable William S. Bahn October 29, 1981 Page 2

4 to 6 week period. It is my feeling that the crowding of the jail could be alleviated by a special processing of the pre-sentence investigations and that said processing should not take over a period of 2 weeks.

If you deem it advisable, I will be glad to meet with the proper authorities of the State Probation and Parole Office and attempt to expedite the processing of the pre-sentence investigations.

Very truly yours,

Donald J. Weyerich

bb

Enclosures

## Robert G. Ruhland Director of Judicial Administration St. Louis County Circuit Court Courthouse, Clayton, Missouri 63105

October 29, 1981

Mr. Donald Weyerich 11 South Meramec Clayton, Mo. 63105

Dear Don:

In accordance with your request I attach the list of prisoners confined in the St. Louis County Jail at Clayton as of October 23, 1981, indicating the date confined, charge, continuance information and date of setting.

By way of information I am also enclosing a chart of felony cases filed and closed during the past five years and the chart of felony cases filed and closed on a monthly basis during 1980 and 1981. The number of felony cases filed during the first nine months of 1981 number 2303, exceeding the number filed during the entire year of 1980 by 62 cases. The number filed during the first nine months of 1981, 2303 exceeds the number filed during the first six months of 1980, 1595, by 708 cases. This represents a 44% increase over the same period of 1980. There has been a corresponding increase in the number of felony cases closed during the first nine months of 1981 as compared to the same period of 1980, 1982 cases to 1502 cases or a percentage increase of 31%.

Unfortunately, there have been similar increases in the civil and domestic relations matters but without a corresponding increase in dispositions since the court has diverted manpower from civil to criminal cases.

Very truly yours,

RGR:nh Enc.