## Case4:11-cv-05221-YGR Document22 Filed02/16/12 Page1 of 5 Jennifer Lynch (SBN 240701) 1 jlynch@eff.org Mark Rumold (SBN 279060) 2 mark@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 3 454 Shotwell Street 4 San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 5 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 **OAKLAND DIVISION** 12 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION, Case No. 4:11-cv-05221-YGR 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PROCESSING OF 14 **FOIA REQUESTS** V. 15 The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Oakland Courtroom 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING FOIA

STIPULATION AND [P<del>ROPOSED]</del> ORDER REGARDING FOIA REQUEST CASE No. 4:11-cv-05221-YGR

Plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation and Defendant Department of Justice and its components Office of Information Policy, Office of Legal Counsel, National Security Division, and Federal Bureau of Investigation hereby stipulate to the following regarding Plaintiff's requests made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), dated June 2, 2011 (the "FOIA Requests"), and the subject of the above-captioned action:

- 1. The processing and production of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA Requests will occur in three phases. On or before the date of completion for each phase, Defendant will process and produce records according to the schedule set forth below, or notify Plaintiff of the complete or partial withholding of responsive records, if any, pursuant to any applicable FOIA exemptions.
  - 2. The first two stages concern only the following categories of documents:
- a. Legal opinions or memoranda concerning or interpreting Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act ("Section 215");
  - b. Guidelines for government personnel regarding the use of Section 215;
- c. Reports provided to Congress by the Federal Bureau of Investigation or Department of Justice concerning or memorializing the Executive Branch's interpretation or use of Section 215.
- d. Reports, opinions, or memoranda of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court ("FISC") concerning or interpreting Section 215; and
- e. Legal opinions or memoranda concerning or interpreting rulings, opinions, or memoranda of the FISC interpreting Section 215.
- 3. On or before March 15, 2012, Defendant will process and produce to Plaintiff those responsive records listed in Paragraph 2 and created from March 9, 2006 to the date Defendant began its search for responsive records.
- 4. On or before May 15, 2012, Defendant will endeavor to process and produce to Plaintiff those responsive records listed in Paragraph 2 and created from January 1, 2004 to March 8, 2006.

- 5. On or before July 1, 2012, Defendant will endeavor to process and produce to Plaintiff the following categories of records:
  - a. All email responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA Requests
- b. All copies of invoices, receipts, bills, or any other similar document sent to the Department of Justice or any of its components by any business or organization in order to be reimbursed for the cost of compliance with a Section 215 order from January 1, 2004 to June 2, 2011
  - 6. The following categories of documents are excluded from the FOIA Requests:
- a. Drafts of documents listed in Paragraph 2 for which a final version is either identified and withheld, or partially released, pursuant to a valid FOIA exemption, or released in full;
- b. Emails concerning the drafts of documents described in Paragraph 6(a) for which a final version is either identified and withheld, or partially released, pursuant to a valid FOIA exemption, or released in full;
- c. Records that are purely logistical, such as emails between officials attempting to schedule a meeting.
- 7. For email responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA Requests, only one version of every responsive email need be processed and released or withheld in full pursuant to a valid FOIA exemption.
- 8. Acquisition applications and supporting documentation submitted to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court are excluded from the FOIA Request.
- 9. With respect to the Office of Legal Counsel ("OLC"), OLC need only process and release, or withhold pursuant to a valid FOIA exemption, OLC legal opinions and memoranda concerning or interpreting Section 215.
- 10. Within 21 days of the completion of the productions and/or notifications set forth in Paragraphs 1-5, above, the parties will meet and confer regarding the documents withheld in whole or in part by Defendant, and Plaintiff will notify Defendant whether it wishes to object to

## Case4:11-cv-05221-YGR Document22 Filed02/16/12 Page5 of 5

GENERAL ORDER NO. 45(X) CERTIFICATION
I attest that I have obtained the concurrence of Steven Y. Bressler, counsel for Defendant,
in the filing of this document.
/s/ Mark Rumold
MARK RUMOLD
* * * *
<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
I hereby certify that on February 10, 2012, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be served on
counsel for Defendant via the Court's ECF system.
<u>/s/ Mark Rumold</u> MARK RUMOLD
WARK ROWOLD
* * * *
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: February 16, 2012 June Hyper Pagers
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers United States District Judge
<u>.</u>
-4- STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED] O</del> RDER REGARDING FOIA

STIPULATION AND [<del>PROPOSED] O</del>RDER REGARDING FOIA REQUEST CASE No. 4:11-cv-05221-YGR