UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

SLOAN GRIMSLEY a nd J OYCE A LBU;
BOB COL LIER and CHUCK H UNZIKER;
LINDSAY MYERS and S ARAH HUMLIE;
ROBERT LOUPO and JOHN FITZGERALD;
DENISE H UESO a nd S ANDRA NEWSON;
JUAN DEL HIERRO and THOMAS GANTT,
JR.; CHRISTIAN UL VERT a nd CARL OS
ANDRADE; RICHARD M ILSTEIN a nd
ERIC HAN KIN; and SAVE FOUNDATION,
INC

Case No.

Plaintiffs,

V.

RICK S COTT, in h is o fficial capacity as Governor for the State of Florida;
PAM B ONDI, i n h er o fficial c apacity as Attorney General for the State of Florida,
JOHN H. ARM STRONG, i n his o fficial capacity as Surgeon General and Secretary of Health for the State of Florida; and CRAIG J. NICHOLS, in h is o fficial capacity as t he A gency S ecretary f or t he F lorida Department of Management Services,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiffs are same-sex couples who were lawfully married outside the State of Florida. They bring this action to challenge the constitutionality of Article I, § 27 of the Florida Constitution and § 741.212, Fla. Stat., which prohibit the State of Florida from recognizing the marriages of same-sex couples that were entered into in other jurisdictions. Florida, like other states, encourages and regulates marriage through hundreds of laws that provide benefits to and impose obligations on married couples. In exchange, Florida receives the well-established benefits that marriage brings: stable, supportive families that contribute to both the social and economic well-being of the State. It is because of the well-recognized benefits of marriage that Florida has traditionally recognized lawful marriages performed in other states.
- 2. Florida's refusal to recognize Plaintiffs' marriages unlawfully denies them many of the legal protections a vailable to different-sex couples, including, but not limited to, the automatic right to make medical decisions for an incapacitated spouse, access to health insurance and retirement benefits, property protections, and inheritance.
- 3. The refusal to recognize Plaintiffs' marriages undermines the couples' ability to achieve their life goals and dreams, threatens their mutual economic stability, and denies them "a dignity and status of immense import." *United States v. Windsor*, 133 S. Ct. 2675, 2692 (2013). Moreover, they and their families are stigmatized and relegated to a second-class status by being barred from marriage, a bar that serves no legitimate state interest. The exclusion "tells [samesex] c ouples a nd a ll t he w orld t hat t heir ot herwise va lid r elationships a re un worthy" of recognition. *Id.* at 2694. And it "humiliates . . . c hildren now being raised by same-sex couples" and "makes it even more difficult for the children to understand the integrity and closeness of

their own family and its concord with other families in their community and in their daily lives."

Id.

- 4. Florida's exclusion of married same-sex couples from the protections and responsibilities of marriage violates the Due Process Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. This discriminatory treatment directly impacts the fundamental right to marry and is not necessary to serve a compelling state interest.
- 5. Florida's r efusal to r ecognize the marriages of same-sex couples discriminates against such couples on the basis of sexual orientation. It also discriminates against such couples on the basis of sex because the discrimination is based on the sexes of the spouses.
- 6. The State's discrimination against Plaintiffs and other married same-sex couples is not necessary to serve a compelling state interest, nor is it substantially related to an important state interest. Indeed, it is not rationally related to the furtherance of *any* legitimate state interest.
- 7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiffs seek: (a) a de claration that Florida's refusal to recognize the marriages of same-sex couples validly entered into outside of the State violates the Due Process Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution insofar as Floridar efuses to treat same-sex couples legally married in other jurisdictions the same as different-sex couples; and (b) a permanent injunction directing Defendants to legally recognize Plaintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples validly entered into outside of Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. Plaintiffs bring this action pur suant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 f or violations of civil rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

- 9. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) (civil rights).
- 10. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Florida under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendants reside in this district.

THE PARTIES

A. <u>Plaintiffs</u>

Sloan Grimsley and Joyce Albu

11. Plaintiffs Sloan Grimsley and Joyce Albu were marred in New York in August 2011. They have been together for 9 years and live in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida. They are raising two young daughters, ages 2 and 5, whom they adopted. Joyce also has two grown sons. Sloan is a firefighter and paramedic for the City of Palm Beach Gardens. Joyce is a consultant for children living with a utism, A sperger's Syndrome, A DHD, and other neurodevelopmental disorders. Joyce and Sloan also own a farm where families in which some members are living with neurodevelopmental disorders can engage in a variety of therapeutic activities. Joyce and Sloan are concerned that if something were to happen to Sloan in the line of duty, Joyce would not receive the same support provided by the State to surviving spouses of first responders who might be killed in the line of duty—Joyce would thus be unable to fully provide for their family's needs.

Bob Collier and Chuck Hunziker

12. Plaintiffs Chuck Hunziger and B ob C ollier were married in New Y ork in July 2013. They have been together for over 50 years and live in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Bob is 79

years old, and Chuck is 81 years old. Bob served as a Captain in the U.S. Army in the medical corps in the 82 nd Airborne Division and Special Forces during the Vietnam War. Chuck is a disabled veteran; he served as an enlisted man in the Navy during the Korean War and spent 18 months in Naval and VA hospitals. For most of their professional lives, Bob and Chuck worked in New York, Chuck for Mobil Corporation and Bob for MetLife, Inc. Having retired in Florida, they are now involved in local charities, including Tuesday's Angels (which provides emergency assistance to individuals living with HIV/AIDS).

Lindsay Myers and Sarah Humlie

13. Plaintiffs Lindsay Myers and Sarah Humlie were married in Washington, D.C., in December 2012. They have been together for 3 ½ ye ars and live in Pensacola, Florida. Lindsay has a master's degree in theology and currently works for the University of West Florida as a digital content producer for WUWF, a university-licensed NPR affiliate. Sarah is the Executive Director of the Pensacola Humane Society. Sarah does not receive health insurance through her employer. Because state law prohibits public employers from providing insurance for same-sex spouses of employees, Lindsay cannot get coverage for Sarah on her health insurance plan. As a result, the couple must pay hundreds of dollars per month for private health insurance for Sarah.

Robert Loupo and John Fitzgerald

14. Plaintiffs R obert L oupo a nd J ohn F itzgerald w ere married i n N ew Y ork i n November 2013. They have been together for 12 years and live in Coconut Grove in Miami, Florida. R obert has been a school counselor for Miami-Dade County Public Schools for approximately fourteen years and served before that for fourteen years as a high school English

teacher. John is retired and worked previously in customer service for Delta Airlines and in the Administrative Office of the Courts for Miami-Dade County in the Traffic Division.

Denise Hueso and Sandra Newson

August 2009. I n A pril 2014, they will have been together for 17 ye ars. They live in Miami, Florida. Denise is the lead clinical care coordinator for the Alliance for GLBTQ Youth, which offers support services for LGBT youth. Sandra is the Vice President of Residence Services at Carrfour S upportive H ousing, a norganization that confronts hom elessness by developing affordable housing and providing supportive services as a pathway to self-sufficiency. Together they have a 15-year-old son whom they have cared for since he was 10 years old, first as foster parents and then as adoptive parents. Sandra and Denise used to live in Massachusetts, where their marriage was recognized, but they lost that recognition when they moved to Florida to be closer to family to help care for their son.

Juan del Hierro and Thomas Gantt, Jr.

16. Plaintiffs Juan del Hierro and Thomas Gantt, Jr., were married in Washington, D.C., in December 2010. Before that, they held a symbolic ceremony before friends and family in Miami in July 2010. They have been together for 6 years and live in North Miami Beach, Florida. Juan is the Director of Ministry Empowerment for Unity on the Bay, a spiritual community in Miami. Tom teaches science at a virtual school, having taught for more than a decade in public schools. Their son Lucas, whom they adopted, is fourteen months old.

Christian Ulvert and Carlos Andrade

17. Plaintiffs Christian Ulvert and Carlos Andrade were married in Washington, D.C., in July 2013. They have been together for four years and live in Miami, Florida. Christian previously worked in the state legislature and now works as a political consultant. Carlos is the new media director of EDGE Communications and also owns an online jewelry store. Christian and Carlos would like to have children one day.

Richard Milstein and Eric Hankin

18. Plaintiffs Richard Milstein and Eric Hankin were married in Iowa in March 2010. They have been together for 12 years and live in Miami Beach, Florida. Richard is an attorney who specializes in trusts, est ates, and family services, with a particular focus on vulnerable adults and children. Richard has been an active leader in the Florida and Dade County Bars and in the Miami-Dade community for decades, volunteering numerous hours to a variety of civic causes. Eric is an architect who currently teaches architecture and design in a nationally recognized magnet public school in Miami.

SAVE Foundation, Inc.

19. Plaintiff S AVE F oundation, Inc. is one of the leading or ganizations in F lorida dedicated to promoting, protecting, and defending equality for people who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender. Established in 1993, S AVE Foundation accomplishes this mission through education initiatives, ou treach, grassroots or ganizing, and a dvocacy. Starting with the landmark p assage of Mi ami's H uman R ights O rdinance in 1998 to recent e nactments of domestic partner b enefit policies, SAVE Foundation continues to fight for L GBT e quality through grassroots action. Plaintiff SAVE Foundation brings this suit on behalf of its members who are same-sex couples who have entered into lawful marriages outside of Florida.

B. Defendants

- 20. Defendant Rick Scott is sued in his official capacity as the Governor of the State of Florida. The supreme executive power is vested in the Governor. Fl. Const. Art. IV, § 1(a). It is his duty to take care that the laws, including the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, are faithfully executed in Florida. *Id*.
- 21. Defendant Pam Bondi is sued in her official capacity as the Attorney General of the State of Florida. As Attorney General, Bondi is the State's chief legal officer. She is required to "appear in and attend to, in behalf of the state, all suits or prosecutions, civil or criminal or in equity, in which the state may be a party, or in anywise interested, in the Supreme Court and district courts of appeal of this state." § 16.01(4), Fla. Stat.
- 22. Defendant John H. A rmstrong is sued in his official capacity as the Surgeon General and Secretary of Health for the State of Florida. As the head of the Florida Department of Health, A rmstrong must "[p]lan, direct, coordinate, and execute the powers, duties, and functions vested in that department." § 20.05, Fla. Stat. In his official capacity, he is responsible for creating forms for certificates of death, *see* § 382.008(1), Fla. Stat., as well as registering, recording, certifying, and preserving the State's vital records, *see* § 382.003(7), Fla. Stat., including certificates of death.
- 23. Defendant Craig J. Nichols is sued in his official capacity as the Agency Secretary for the Florida Department of Management Services. As the head of the Florida Department of Management Services, Nichols must "[p]lan, direct, coordinate, and execute the powers, duties, and functions ve sted in that department." § 20.05, Fla. Stat. In his official capacity, he is responsible for administering Florida's public retirement and pension systems. *See* § 121.025, Fla. Stat; *see also* § 121.021, Fla. Stat. (definitions).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 24. In Florida, marriage is governed by Chapter 741 of the Florida Statutes, captioned "Marriage; Domestic V iolence." In 1997, C hapter 741 w as r evised to pr ohibit marriage for same-sex couples. The relevant statute, § 741.212, provides:
 - (1) Marriages b etween p ersons of the same sex en tered i nto in an y jurisdiction, whether within or out side the S tate of F lorida, the U nited S tates, or any other jurisdiction, e ither d omestic or f oreign, or any other place or lo cation, or relationships between persons of the same sex which are treated as marriages in any jurisdiction, whether within or outside the State of Florida, the United States, or any other jurisdiction, either domestic or foreign, or any other place or location, are not recognized for any purpose in this state.
 - (2) The state, its agencies, and its political subdivisions may not give effect to any public a ct, r ecord, or judicial proceeding of a ny state, territory, possession, or tribe of the United States or of any other jurisdiction, either domestic or foreign, or a ny other place or location respecting either a marriage or relationship not recognized under subsection (1) or a claim arising from such a marriage or relationship.
 - (3) For purposes of interpreting any state statute or rule, the term "marriage" means only a legal union between one man and one woman as husband and wife, and the term "spouse" applies only to a member of such a union.
- 25. In a ddition, in a stark departure from Florida's usual recognition of marriages entered into in other states, Florida's Constitution was amended in 2008 to prevent recognition of same-sex marriages entered in to in other states. A rticle I, § 27 of the Florida C onstitution provides:
 - Inasmuch as marriage is the legal union of only one man and one woman as husband and wife, no other legal union that is treated as marriage or the substantial equivalent thereof shall be valid or recognized.
- 26. As a result, marriage in Florida is legally available only to different-sex couples. Same-sex couples may not marry in Florida, and if they are married elsewhere, their marriages are not recognized in Florida.

- 27. Florida's re fusal to re cognize the marriages of same-sex couples denies those couples numerous protections a fforded to different-sex married couples. By way of example only:
 - The State of Florida's retirement system provides benefits to the differenta. sex surviving spouses of public employees. See, e.g., Survivor B enefits, https://www.myfrs.com/portal/server.pt/community/comparing the plans/ 235/survivor benefits/1843 (accessed M arch 12, 2014); Retirement S The F lorida vstem P ension P lan, http://www.myfrs.com/portal/server.pt/community/pension_plan/233 (accessed March 12, 20 14). Such be nefits are not a vailable to same-sex surviving spouses in Florida.
 - b. The different-sex surviving spouse of a first responder in Florida receives financial support from the State if the first responder dies in the line of duty. See § 112.191, Fla. Stat. Such support is not available to same-sex surviving spouses in Florida.
 - c. The different-sex surviving s pouse of a teacher or s chool a dministrator receives support from the State if the teacher of administrator is killed or injured on the job under certain circumstances. *See* § 112.1915, Fla. Stat. Such support is not available to same-sex surviving spouses in Florida.
 - d. Death certificates in Florida include information regarding the decedent's marital status and identify the surviving different-sex spouse. *See* State of Florida B ureau V ital S tatistics, V ital R ecords R egistration, D ecember 2012 R evision, a t 83, a vailable a t

- http://www.floridahealth.gov/certificates-and-registries/certificates/EDRS/_documents/HB2012Final.pdf (accessed March 12, 2012). A surviving same-sex s pouse i s not n amed on de ath certificates in Florida.
- e. A different-sex s urviving s pouse has a utomatic priority with respect to numerous rights pertaining to the disposition of a deceased individual's remains. See § 497.171(5), Fla. Stat. (identification of human remains); § 497.384(3), Fla. Stat. (disinterment and reinterment); § 497.607(1), Fla. Stat. (cremation); § 497.152(8)(c)-(d), Fla. Stat. (prohibiting the taking of possession or embalming absent a uthorization from a legally authorized person); see a lso § 4 97.005, Fla. Stat. (defining "legally a uthorized person," including listing of priority). Such a utomatic priority is not granted to same-sex surviving spouses in Florida.
- f. A d ifferent-sex s urviving s pouse m ay r eceive c ertain w orkers' compensation benefits for his or her deceased spouse who died in a work-related accident. *See* § 440.16, Fla. Stat. This protection does not apply to surviving same-sex spouses in Florida.
- g. If an individual dies without a will, his or her different-sex spouse has a right to inherit a share of the estate, *see* § 732.102, Fla. Stat., and receives automatic p reference i n ap pointment as p ersonal representative of t he estate, *see* § 733.301, Fla. Stat. These protections do not apply to same-sex spouses in Florida.

- h. If a n in dividual d ies w ith a w ill, his or h er different-sex spouse may receive an elective sh are of the e state, *see* § 732.201, F la. S tat. This protection does not apply to same-sex spouses in Florida.
- i. Different-sex s pouses a re g enerally n ot re quired to te stify a gainst th eir spouse regarding confidential communications made during the marriage.
 See § 90.504, Fla. Stat. This protection is not afforded to same-sex spouses in Florida.
- j. In a wrongful-death action, different-sex spouses may recover for loss of the de cedent's "companionship and protection and for mental pain and suffering from the date of injury." § 768.21, Fla. Stat. This protection does not apply to same-sex surviving spouses in Florida.
- k. A different-sex spouse has a right to financial support during marriage, §
 61.09, Fla. Stat., enforced by criminal penalties for non-support, § 856.04,
 Fla. S tat. T his protection and r esponsibility does not a pply to same-sex spouses in Florida.
- 1. A c hild bor n t o a married c ouple by means o f artificial o r in v itro insemination is irrebuttably p resumed t o be the c hild of t he c ouple. § 742.11(a), F la. Stat. This protection and responsibility does not apply to same-sex married couples in Florida.
- m. If an incapacitated individual has not executed an advance directive, the patient's sp ouse has priority to make health care decisions for the individual over every other class other than the patient's guardian, if one

- exists. § 765.401(1), Fla. Stat. This protection and responsibility does not apply to same-sex spouses in Florida.
- n. Upon dissolution of their marriage, couples in Florida may obtain court-ordered equitable distribution of property. *See* § 61.075, Fla. Stat. This protection does not apply to same-sex couples in Florida.
- o. Some of the federal protections for different-sex married couples are only available to couples if their marriages are legally recognized in the state in which t hey live. *See*, *e.g.*, 42 U.S.C. § 416(h)(1)(A)(i) (marriage for eligibility for social security benefits based on law of state where couple resides at time of application); 29 C.F.R. § 82 5.122(b) (same for Family Medical Leave Act). Thus, even though Plaintiffs were married in other states, they cannot access such federal protections while living in Florida because Florida refuses to recognize their existing marriages.
- Zablocki v. Redhail, 4 34 U.S. 374, 384 (1978) (internal quot ation marks o mitted), and an "expression[] of emotional support and public commitment," *Turner v. Safley*, 482 U.S. 78, 95 (1987); see also Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1, 12 (1967) ("The freedom to marry has long been recognized as one of the vital personal rights essential to the orderly pursuit of happiness by free [people]."). It is "a far-reaching legal acknowledgement of the intimate relationship between two people" Windsor, 133 S. Ct. at 2692. This is a strue for same-sex couples as it is for different-sex couples.
- 29. Same-sex married couples such as Plaintiffs are similarly situated to different-sex married couples in all of the characteristics relevant to the recognition of their legal marriages.

- 30. When they marry, same-sex couples make the same commitment to one another as different-sex couples do. Like married different-sex couples, married same-sex couples build their lives together, plan their futures together, and hope to grow old together. Like married different-sex couples, married same-sex couples support one another emotionally and financially and take care of one another physically when faced with injury or illness.
- 31. Like many married different-sex couples, many married same-sex couples—such as Plaintiffs Sloan Grimsley, Joyce Albu, Juan del Hierro, Thomas Gantt, Jr., Denise Hueso, and Sandra Newson—are parents raising children together.
- 32. Plaintiffs have accepted and are willing to assume the legal obligations that would flow from having their marriages recognized under Florida law.
- 33. Plaintiffs were all married legally under the laws of other jurisdictions, and their marriages would be recognized by the State but for the fact that each is married to a person of the same sex.
- Refusing to r ecognize the m arriages of sa me-sex c ouples ha rms the c hildren raised by lesbian and gay couples—including the children of Plaintiffs Sloan Grimsley, Joyce Albu, Juan del Hierro, Thomas Gantt, Jr., Denise Hueso, and Sandra Newson—by denying their families si gnificant b enefits and by b randing their families as in ferior to families h eaded by different-sex couples and less deserving of r espect, thereby encouraging private bi as a nd discrimination.
- 35. By r efusing t o r ecognize t he l egal m arriages o f sam e-sex c ouples, F lorida excludes those couples from the myriad of protections the State affords other married couples.

36. Article I, § 27 of the Florida Constitution and § 741.21 2, Fla. Stat., have the "purpose and effect to disparage and injure" lesbian and gay couples. *Windsor*, 133 S. Ct. at 2696.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

Deprivation of the Fundamental Right to Marry in Violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 37. Plaintiffs i noorporate by r eference a ll of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution precludes any State from "depriv[ing] a ny pe rson of 1 ife, 1 iberty, or pr operty, w ithout due process of law." U. S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1. Governmental interference with a fundamental right may be sustained only upon a showing that the legislation is closely tailored to serve an important governmental interest.
- 39. Florida law states that "[m]arriages between persons of the same sex . . . are not recognized for any purpose in this state." § 741.212(1), Fla. Stat.
- 40. In a ddition, F lorida law provides that "[f]or purposes of interpreting any state statute or rule, the term 'marriage' means only a legal union between one man and one woman as husband and wife, and the term 'spouse' applies only to a member of such a union." § 741.212(3), Fla. Stat.
- 41. The Florida Constitution also provides that "[i]nasmuch as marriage is the legal union of only one man and one woman as husband and wife, no other legal union that is treated as marriage or the substantial equivalent thereof shall be valid or recognized." Fla. Const. Art. I, § 27.

- 42. Marriage is a fundamental right, and choices about whom to marry are a central part of the liberty protected by the Due Process Clause.
- 43. Florida law denies Plaintiffs and other same-sex couples this fundamental right by refusing to recognize the lawful marriages they entered into in other jurisdictions.
- 44. Florida's refusal to recognize P laintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples entered into in other jurisdictions is not necessary to serve a compelling state interest.
- 45. Florida's refusal to recognize marriages entered into by same-sex couples in other jurisdictions violates the Due Process Clause.
- 46. Defendants, a cting und er c olor of s tate l aw, a re de priving Plaintiffs o f rights secured by the D up Process C lause of the F ourteenth A mendment to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 47. As a result, Plaintiffs have been or will be harmed and therefore seek the relief set forth in the Prayer for Relief below.

COUNT II

Discrimination on the Basis of Sexual Orientation in Violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 48. Plaintiffs i noorporate by r eference a ll of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 49. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "no State shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.

- 50. Florida law states that "[m]arriages between persons of the same sex . . . are not recognized for any purpose in this state." § 741.212(1), Fla. Stat.
- 51. In a ddition, F lorida law provides that "[f]or purposes of interpreting any state statute or rule, the term 'marriage' means only a legal union between one man and one woman as husband and wife, and the term 'spouse' applies only to a member of such a union." § 741.212(3), Fla. Stat.
- 52. The Florida Constitution also provides that "[i]nasmuch as marriage is the legal union of only one man and one woman as husband and wife, no other legal union that is treated as marriage or the substantial equivalent thereof shall be valid or recognized." Fla. Const. Art. I, § 27.
- 53. Same-sex married couples and different-sex married couples are similarly situated for purposes of marriage.
- 54. By denying Plaintiffs and other lesbian and gay couples the ability to have their out-of-state marriages recognized, the State discriminates against lesbians and gay men on the basis of their sexual orientation by denying them significant legal protections.
 - 55. Classifications based on sexual orientation demand heightened scrutiny.
- 56. Lesbians and g ay men are members of a d iscrete and insular minority that has suffered a history of discrimination in Florida and across the United States.
- 57. Sexual or ientation be ars no r elation t o a n i ndividual's a bility t o pe rform o r contribute to society.
- 58. Sexual orientation is a core, defining trait that is so fundamental to one's identity that a person may not legitimately be required to a bandon it (even if that were possible) as a condition of equal treatment. Sexual orientation generally is fixed at an early age and highly

resistant to change through intervention. Efforts to change a person's sexual orientation through interventions by medical professionals have not been shown to be effective. No mainstream mental health professional or ganization approves interventions that attempt to change sexual orientation, and many—including the American Psychological Association and the American Psychiatric Association—have adopted policy statements cautioning professionals and the public about these treatments.

- 59. Prejudice against lesbians and gay men continues to seriously curtail the operation of the political process, preventing this group from obtaining redress through legislative means. Lesbians and gay men lack statutory protection against discrimination in employment, public accommodations, and housing at the federal level and in more than half of the states, including Florida. They have been stripped of the right tomarry through 30 state constitutional amendments and have been targeted through the voter initiative process more than any other group.
- 60. Florida's refusal to recognize P laintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples entered into in other jurisdictions is not necessary to serve a compelling state interest.
- 61. Florida's refusal to recognize P laintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples entered into in other jurisdictions is not substantially related to an important state interest.
- 62. Florida's refusal to recognize Plaintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples entered into in other jurisdictions is not rationally related to any legitimate state interest.

- 63. Florida's refusal to recognize Plaintiffs' marriages and the marriages of other same-sex couples entered into in other jurisdictions violates the Equal Protection Clause.
- 64. Defendants, a cting und er c olor of s tate l aw, a re de priving P laintiffs o f rights secured by the E qual P rotection C lause of the F ourteenth A mendment to the U nited S tates Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 65. As a result, Plaintiffs have been or will be harmed and therefore seek the relief set forth in the Prayer for Relief below.

COUNT III

Discrimination on the Basis of Sex in Violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 66. Plaintiffs i noorporate by r eference a ll of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "no State shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.
- 68. Florida law states that "[m]arriages between persons of the same sex . . . are not recognized for any purpose in this state." § 741.212(1), Fla. Stat.
- 69. In a ddition, Florida law provides that "[f]or pur poses of interpreting any state statute or rule, the term 'marriage' means only a legal union between one man and one woman as husband and w ife, and the term 'spouse' applies only to a member of such a union." § 741.212(3), Fla. Stat.
- 70. The Florida Constitution also provides that "[i]nasmuch as marriage is the legal union of only one man and one woman as husband and wife, no other legal union that is treated

as marriage or the substantial equivalent thereof shall be valid or recognized." Fla. Const. Art. I, § 27.

- 71. If Plaintiffs had different-sex spouses, the State would recognize their marriages. As a result, Plaintiffs would enjoy the legal protections and be subject to the legal obligations of different-sex married couples.
- 72. By limiting the recognition of marriage in Florida to different-sex couples, the State is discriminating against Plaintiffs on the basis of sex.
- 73. The State's unequal treatment of Plaintiffs based on their sex is not substantially related to an important state interest. State law prohibiting recognition of marriage for same-sex couples thus violates the Equal Protection Clause.
- 74. Defendants, a cting und er c olor of s tate l aw, a re de priving P laintiffs o f rights secured by the E qual P rotection C lause of the F ourteenth A mendment to the United S tates Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 75. As a result, Plaintiffs have been or will be harmed and therefore seek the relief set forth in the Prayer for Relief below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- Enter a declaratory judgment that § 741.212, Fla. Stat., and Fla. Const. Art. I,
 § 27 violate the D ue Process C lause of the F ourteenth Amendment to the
 United S tates C onstitution insofar as they refuse to treat same-sex c ouples
 legally married in other jurisdictions the same as different-sex couples;
- Enter a declaratory judgment that § 741.212, Fla. Stat., and Fla. Const. Art. I,
 § 27 violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the

United S tates C onstitution insofar as they refuse to treat same-sex c ouples legally married in other jurisdictions the same as different-sex couples;

- 3. Enter a permanent i njunction di recting Defendants to recognize marriages validly entered into by Plaintiffs and other same-sex couples outside of the State of Florida;
- 4. Award costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and

Respectfully submitted,

5. Enter all further relief to which Plaintiffs may be justly entitled.

/s/ Daniel B. Tilley

Dated: March 12, 2014

Daniel B. Tilley

Florida Bar No. 102882 ACLU Foundation of Florida 4500 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 340 Miami, FL 33137 (786) 363-2700 dtilley@aclufl.org

Maria Kayanan

Florida Bar No. 305601 ACLU Foundation of Florida 4500 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 340 Miami, FL 33137 (786) 363-2700 mkayanan@aclufl.org

Benjamin James Stevenson

Florida Bar. No. 598909 ACLU Foundation of Florida P.O. Box 12723 Pensacola, FL 32591-2723 (786) 363-2738 bstevenson@aclufl.org

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Stephen F. Rosenthal*

Florida Bar No. 131458 Podhurst Orseck, P.A. 25 West Flagler Street, Suite 800 Miami, FL 33130 (305) 358-2800 srosenthal@podhurst.com

Leslie Cooper*

ACLU Foundation 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 (212) 549-2627 LCooper@aclu.org

*Admission to N.D. Fla. forthcoming