243 Fed.Appx. 157 This case was not selected for publication in the Federal Reporter.

Not for Publication in West⁵s Federal Reporter See Fed. Rule of Appellate Procedure 32.1 generally governing citation of judicial decisions issued on or after Jan. 1, 2007. See also Sixth Circuit Rule 28. (Find CTA6 Rule 28)

United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.

Daniel THORNE, Jr.; Daniel Thorne, Sr.; and Sharon Thorne, Plaintiffs-Appellees,

John LELLES and Edward Korovic, Steubenville Police Officers; City of Steubenville, Defendants-Appellants.

No. 06-4635. | Sept. 25, 2007.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

BEFORE: SUTTON and McKEAGUE, Circuit Judges; and FORESTER, Senior District Judge.*

The Honorable Karl S. Forester, Senior United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Kentucky, sitting by designation.

Opinion

MEMORANDUM OPINION

PER CURIAM.

In this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action, plaintiffs allege that Steubenville Police Officers John Lelles and Edward Korovic violated their Fourth-Amendment rights when they seized Daniel Thorne, Jr. in his family's backyard, allegedly beat him with a Maglite flashlight, and arrested him for underage drinking. The defendants moved for summary judgment, asserting that they were protected from liability under the qualified-immunity doctrine. The district court denied the motion in part, holding that there were genuine issues of material fact on the plaintiffs' warrantless-entry, excessive-force, and false-arrest claims. The defendants filed this interlocutory appeal on the warrantless-entry and false-arrest claims.

We affirm in part and reverse in part. Having had the benefit of oral argument and having carefully considered the record on appeal, we are not persuaded that a lengthy opinion is necessary. As to the plaintiffs' warrantless-entry claim against Officer Korovic, we **AFFIRM** for the reasons set forth by the district court. Thorne v. Steubenville Police Officer, 463 F.Supp.2d 760, 771-74, 775-77 (S.D.Ohio 2006). On the plaintiffs' false-arrest claim against Officer Lelles, we likewise **AFFIRM** for the reasons set forth by the district court. *Id.* at 774-77. As both parties acknowledged during oral argument, however, there is no evidence in the *158 record that Officer Lelles had any involvement in the warrantless entry of the plaintiffs' backyard, nor is there any evidence that Officer Korovic participated in the arrest of Daniel Thorne, Jr. Accordingly, we REVERSE the judgment of the district court on those two latter claims and **REMAND** the case for further proceedings.

Parallel Citations

2007 WL 2781151 (C.A.6 (Ohio))