IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

GREGORY HOLT, ADC #129616

PLAINTIFF

v. CASE NO. 5:11CV00164 BSM/JJV

RAY HOBBS, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The proposed findings and recommended partial disposition [Doc. No. 7] submitted by United States Magistrate Judge Joe J. Volpeand the filed objections [Doc. No. 10] have been reviewed. After carefully considering these documents and making a *de novo* review of the record, it is concluded that the proposedifidings and recommended partial disposition should be, and hereby are, rejected in their entirety in all respects. Defendants have not met their "burden of demonstrating that the groom ing policy is the least restrictive m eans to achieve security as *applied* to" plaintiff Gregory Holt. *Fegans v. Norris*, 537 F.3d 897, 908-09 (8th Cir. 2008) (Melloy, J., dissenting).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction and temporary restraining order [Doc. No. 3] is GRANTED.
- 2. The case is remanded to Judge Volpe to hold a temporary injunction hearing and perm it defendants to present evidence showing that the Arkansas Departm ent of Correction's beard grooming policy, which restricts Holt's First Amendment right to observe

Case 5:11-cv-00164-BSM Document 28 Filed 10/18/11 Page 2 of 2

his religion, is the least restrictive means of achieving these curity goals sought by the policy.

Dated this 18th day of October 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE