UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ERIC DOWDY-EL, et al.,

No. 2:06-CV-11765

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Avern Cohn

 \mathbf{V}

Magistrate Judge David R. Grand

PATRICIA CARUSO, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CASE

WHEREAS the Court having certified a class by Order dated December 20, 2012;

WHEREAS the Court having entere d a Judgment on August 13, 2013 regarding certain m atters at issue in this litigation and which Judgm ent shall remain in full force and effect;

WHEREAS the parties having otherwise resolved the rem aining issues in this case;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs having filed a Motion for Prelim inary Approval of Class Action Settlem ent and the Cour t having entered a Prelim inary Order Approving Settlement on September 17, 2013;

WHEREAS notice to the class havin g b een provided as set forth in the Preliminary Order;

WHEREAS the Court having held a hearing with proper notice on November 18, 2013 to consider whether the is Order should be entered pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(e);

WHEREAS the Court received and considered objections to the settlemen t and, by separate order, ha ving found that the objections lack merit and otherwise do not bar entry of the settlement.

WHEREAS Defendants having agreed to the form of this Order;
WHEREAS the Court being otherwise advised in the premises;
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

- 1. This Order applies to the named Defendants in their official capacity and shall be equally binding upon their successors, agents, officials, employees, representatives and assigns, including Daniel H. Heyns, the Director of the Michigan Department of Corrections ("MDOC").
- 2. Defendants shall provide a religious m eal that com ports with *halal* tenets and satisfies basic nutritional requestirements applicable to all prisoners. Defendants have adopted PD 05.03.150 (eff. 7/26/13) and OP5.03.150A. (eff. 7/30/13) addressing the provision of religious meals to prisoners and setting forth provisions for the preparation and service of said meals in conformity with Islamic religious requirements. The parties and the Court undes retained the language contained in PD 05.03.150 ¶PP ("The ... menu shall comply with ... Halal

religious tenets.") to in clude not only the food item s but also the m anner of preparation and service. Defendants ar e not bound to serve any specific religious meal/menu but will provide religious meals that comport with prisoners' religious tenets and nutritional needs.

- 3. Within 30 calendar days of the entry of this Order the Department of Corrections shall post a notice in all housing units which will inform prisoners that any prisoner who was found guilty of misconduct or received any form of administrative action including being classified as unem ployable ("00"), from August 1, 2003 through the date of this Judgment, due to (a) a request to attend or attendance at a religious service who ich conflicted with a work, school or administrative detail or assignment or (b) refusal to attend a work, school or administrative detail due to a conflicting religious service, has the following agreed upon remedy:
 - A. Within 30 calendar days of the posting of the notice, the prisoner may request expungement of the misconduct or correction of any other administrative action by filing a grievance directly at Step III as stated in the notice.
 - B. If the Department determines that the prisoner was found guilty of misconduct or received other adm inistrative action because of one of the reasons set forth above, the Departm ent shall expunge the

- misconduct and/or correct the prisoner's com mitment files to clarify the other administrative action.
- C. If the Departm ent determ ines that the m isconduct or other administrative action was not a re sult of one of the reasons set forth above, the m isconduct or other adm inistrative action shall remain in the prisoner's commitment files as originally issued.
- D. The Departm ent shall notify the prisoner in writing of its final determination.
- 1. This Court shall retain jurisdic tion over this matter for the purpose of enabling any party to the Order to apply to the Court for: (i) such further orders or directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the interpretation or implementation of this Order; (ii) for any class member to apply to this Court for the enforcement of any provision or the punishment of any violation of this Order; and (iii) for Plaintiffs' counsel to move for an award of costs and fees under 42 U.S.C. §1988 and/or pursuant to any other applicable basis.
- 2. Except as to the foregoing, this is a final order and closes this case.

 Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants shall a ppeal or challenge any order or judgm ent entered in this matter, including without limitation the Order dated December 20, 2012, the prior Judgment nor this Order.

3.	Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(e)(2), the Court approves the proposed
settlement	as set forth herein as being fair, reasonable, and adequate.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 20, 2013	
	s/ Avern Cohn
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Daniel D. Quick Counsel for Plaintiffs

<u>/s/ John Thurber</u> Counsel for Defendants

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to the attorneys of record on this date, November 20, 2013, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Carol Bethel for Sakne Chami
Case Manager, (313) 234-5160