| Case | 2:14-cv-04168-ODW-RZ | Document 62 | Filed 03/24/15 | Page 1 of 12 | Page ID #:773 |
|----------|--|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 1 | MICHAEL FEUER (SB | N 111529) | | | |
| 2 3 | mike.feuer@lacity.org JAMES P. CLARK (SBN 64780) CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY james.p.clark@lacity.org CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. Main Street, Room 800 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 6 | Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 978-8100 | | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Plaintiff the City of Los Angeles STEVEN J. OLSON (SBN 182240) solson@omm.com ELIZABETH L. MCKEEN (SBN 216690) emckeen@omm.com ROBERT M. SWERDLOW (SBN 200266) rswerdlow@omm.com | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | O'MELVENY & MYEI 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 | | | | |
| 12 13 | Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Attorneys for Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co.; | | | | |
| 13 | JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; and Chase Manhattan Bank USA, N.A. | | | | |
| 15 | [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE] | | | | |
| 16 17 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 18 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 19 20 | CITY OF LOS ANGEL corporation, | ES, a municip | | 14-CV-04168 OVERY MA | |
| 20 21 | | Plainti |) | | |
| 22 | v. JPMORGAN CHASE & | z CO.; |) [PRO) STIP) ESI D | POSED] OR] ULATION R] DISCOVERY | DER ON EGARDING PROTOCOL |
| 23 24 | JPMORGAN CHASE & JPMORGAN CHASE B CHASE MANHATTAN N.A., | BANK, N.A.; NBANK USA | and $\begin{pmatrix} & -2 \\ & -2 \\ & & -2 \\$ | | |
| 25 | | Defendan | ts. | | |
| 26 | | | { | | |
| 27 28 | | | | | |
| | [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPU REGARDING ESI DISCOVERY | | | | |

The following terms of the Stipulation Regarding ESI Discovery Protocol submitted by Plaintiff City of Los Angeles and Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and Chase Manhattan Bank USA, N.A., meet with the approval of the court.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

This Order Regarding ESI Discovery Protocol shall govern the parties' document productions in the above-captioned case. To the extent any party identifies issues that it contends make compliance with any of the provisions of this Order impossible or overly burdensome, the parties shall meet and confer regarding an appropriate and reasonable alternative.

I. **DEFINITIONS**

A. "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein, means and refers to computer generated information or data of any kind.

B. "Native data format" means the file in its original creating application, *i.e.*, including the format(s) in which the producing party has maintained ESI in the ordinary course of business.

C. "**Metadata**" means and refers to information or data about data, and includes without limitation (i) information embedded in or associated with a native file that is not ordinarily viewable or printable from the application that generated, edited, or modified such native file which describes the characteristics, origins, and/or usage of the electronic file and/or (ii) information generated automatically by the operation of a computer or other information technology system when a native file is created, modified, transmitted, deleted or otherwise manipulated by a user of such system.

D. "Static Image" means or refers to a representation of ESI produced by converting a native file into a standard image format capable of being viewed and printed on standard computer systems.

E. "Documents" includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, images, and other data, data records or data compilations — stored in any medium from which information can be obtained.

F. "Media" means an object or device, real or virtualized, including but not limited to a disc, tape, computer or other device, on which data is or was stored.

II.

FORMAT OF PRODUCTION

A. TIFF Image Files. The Parties agree that all ESI will be produced as single-page, black-and-white Group IV TIFF image files of at least 300 dpi resolution, except as provided in section II.H. Each image file shall be of the form: <Bates num>.tif where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the page. Original document orientation as displayed in the native file should be maintained in the TIFF image (*e.g.*, portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape).

B. Text Files. Accompanying these TIFF files shall be a multipage text (.TXT) file containing searchable text from the native file for nonredacted documents and OCR text for documents that have been redacted. Each filename shall be of the form: <Bates num>.txt where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the first page of the document. Text shall be encoded in UTF-8. Load files of the static images should be created and produced together with their associated static images to facilitate the use of the produced images by a document management or litigation support database system (e.g., Opticon OPT or iPRO LFP image load files). The parties shall meet and confer to the extent reasonably necessary to facilitate the import and use of the produced materials with commercially available document management or litigation support software.

C. Production of Structured Data. To the extent a response to discovery requires production of discoverable electronic information contained in a database, in lieu of producing the database, the parties shall meet and confer to, with an understanding of which fields are relevant, agree upon a set of queries to be made for

discoverable information and generate a report in a reasonably usable and exportable electronic file (e.g., Excel or CSV format) for review by the requesting party or counsel. Upon review of the report(s), the requesting party may make reasonable requests for additional information to explain the database schema, codes, abbreviations, and different report formats or to request specific data from identified fields.

D. Production of Physical Documents. Documents or records that either were originally generated as ESI but now only exist in physical hard-copy format, and documents or records that were originally generated in hard-copy format, shall be converted to a single page .TIFF file and produced following the same protocols set forth herein or otherwise agreed to by the parties. OCR will be provided for such documents. If, however, the Disclosing Party identifies documents or records for which OCR would be overly burdensome, the parties shall meet and confer regarding an appropriate and reasonable alternative.

E. Native Files. In accordance with section II.A, the parties agree that Documents shall be produced as TIFF images. The exception to this rule shall be presentation-application files (*e.g.*, MS PowerPoint), spreadsheet-application files (*e.g.*, MS Excel), multimedia audio/visual files such as voice and video recordings (*e.g.*, .wav, .mpeg, and .avi files), and other files that are not convertible to a static image for which all ESI items shall be produced in native format. Each native file shall be of the form: <Bates num>.ext where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the document and .ext is the original native file extension. In the event a Document subject to this paragraph requires redaction, it shall be produced in TIFF in accordance with paragraph II.I below. If upon review the receiving party believes the production of such files is inadequate, it shall meet and confer with the producing party regarding whether an alternate method of redaction would be appropriate.

F. Document Unitization. All productions will include data load files and

1 image load files as detailed in Section II.L below. Each party will designate its 2 preferred format for receiving production documents. The parties shall meet and 3 confer if either believes the other's preferred format is overly burdensome, technologically unfeasible, or cost prohibitive. The image load file shall reference 4 5 each TIFF file in the corresponding production, and the total number of TIFF files 6 referenced in the load file shall match the total number of image files in the 7 production. The total number of documents referenced in a production's data load file 8 should match the total number of designated document breaks in the corresponding 9 image load file for that production. 10 Format for both plaintiff and defendant productions: 11 **OCR and Extracted Text Files (.TXT Files):** 12 Single text file per document containing all the document's pages • 13 Filenames should be of the form: • 14 <Bates num>.txt 15 Where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the first page in the 16 document. 17 Text must be encoded in UTF-8. 18 **Images Files**: 19 Single page per image • 20 Single image per file • 21 TIFF is default FORMAT unless the file is produced in native format per Section II.E or produced as color JPG or PNG per Section II.H. 22 23 Filenames should be of the form: • 24 <Bates num>.<ext> 25 Where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the page, and <ext> is the 26 appropriate extension for the image format (.jpg, .tif, .png, etc.) 27 **Index Files:** 28

- .DAT files (separated by standard Concordance delimiters)
- First line must contain the column/field names (set forth in Section II.L herein)
- Every row must have the same number of columns/fields (empty values are acceptable)
- Text must be encoded in UTF-8
- Values must be enclosed by Concordance standard delimiters

G. Duplicates. Removal of duplicate documents shall be performed but shall only be done on exact duplicate documents on either a custodian basis or a global basis (based on MD5 or SHA-1 hash values at the document level). Duplicate documents will not be removed if they are attached to unique family members (e.g., where two different e-mails are attached to the same document, the MD5 Hash duplicate attachment will not be removed, as it is attached to different cover e-mails). The producing party shall inform the receiving party whether de-duplication was performed on a custodian basis or on a global basis. In addition, the producing party shall provide a "duplicate custodian" field for each document produced—*i.e.*, a list of every custodian of the Party who had that document.

H. Color. For files not produced in their native format, if an original document contains color, the producing party may produce black and white image(s). At the request of the receiving party, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss production of color image(s) for specific documents.

I. Bates Numbering and Other Unique Identifiers. For documents not produced in their native format, each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") electronically affixed to the bottom right hand corner of the TIFF image in such a manner that information from the source document is not obliterated, concealed, or interfered with. There shall be no other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

legend or stamp placed on the document image unless a document qualifies for confidential treatment pursuant to the terms of any Protective Order entered by this Court in this litigation, or has been redacted in accordance with applicable law or Court order. In the case of confidential materials as defined in a Protective Order, or materials redacted in accordance with applicable law or Court order, a designation may be "affixed" onto the document's image at the bottom left hand corner of the page or on top of the redacted text. Each party should use a different production prefix.

For any ESI produced in native data format, the producing party shall produce a single-page TIFF slipsheet indicating that a native item was produced and reflecting the production Bates number, any confidential designation and text stating "Document Produced in Native Format.".

In cases where documents to be provided in native format are to be redacted and cannot be imaged in a readable manner (e.g., Excel spreadsheets), the producing party may redact the information from the native version of the document (but keeping a pristine non-redacted image intact and preserved) by replacing the redacted text in the appropriate columns, cells, or locations with a black box. If a party performs redactions on native copies of documents, it will notify the receiving party of the Bates numbers of the documents redacted in this manner in accordance with section II.L.

J. Production Media. Documents shall be produced on CD-ROM, DVD, external hard drive (with standard PC compatible interface), or such other readily accessible computer or electronic media as the parties may hereafter agree upon (the "Production Media"). Each item of Production Media shall include: (1) text referencing that it was produced in this lawsuit (2) the production date, (3) the production volume for the party; and (4) the Bates number range of the materials contained on such Production Media item. As an alternative, documents may be produced electronically using secured file transport protocols (e.g., SFTP or SSL/TLS over HTTPS).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

K. Electronic Text Files. Text files for nonredacted produced documents shall be produced reflecting the full text that has been electronically extracted from the original, native electronic files ("Extracted Text"). The Extracted Text shall be provided in UTF-8 text format and shall be labeled and produced on Production Media in accordance with the provisions of paragraph II.J above, "Production Media". The text files will be named with the unique Bates number of the first page of the corresponding document followed by the extension ".txt." with the relative pathing to these text files included in the "index file" on the production media.

L. Metadata. The production of Metadata produced will be provided in connection with native data format ESI requested, and includes without limitation, file, application and system metadata, to the extent reasonably available. The Metadata fields identified below will be extracted and produced (to the extent reasonably available) in a flat delimited text file or .dat format with values enclosed by Concordance standard delimiters:

Application Name; AttachCount (number of attachments); Beginning Bates Document Number; Ending Bates Document Number; BegAttach (the Beginning Bates Document Number of the parent document); EndAttach (the Ending Bates Document Number of the last attachment): Conversation Index; Custodian; Confidentiality designation; Redacted (populated "Yes" if document has been redacted); • FileExt (the extension of the filename, e.g., "DOC" for an MS Word document); • Filename (the original filename); Folderpath; FileSize (in KB); Date and Time Created ("operating-system"-level metadata is volatile and may not reflect actual document creation date/time));

Date and Time Last Modified;

Case 2:14-cv-04168-ODW-RZ Document 62 Filed 03/24/15 Page 9 of 12 Page ID #:781 1 Author; To/From/Cc/Bcc fields; 2 Date and Time Sent; 3 Date and Time Received; Time Zone Processed (time zone set during data processing); 4 Email Subject; 5 MD5 Hash; MessageID (message ID of the email header); 6 Page Count; 7 Path to Extracted Text files: Path to Native files; 8 Volume (indicative of production volume); and 9 The parties are not obligated to populate manually any of these fields if such fields 10 cannot be extracted from a document, with the exception that CUSTODIAN shall be 11 populated by the producing party to the extent that a custodian can be identified. 12 Attachments. Email attachments and embedded files or links must be М. 13 mapped to their parent by the inclusion of the BegAttach and End Attach fields. The 14 BegAttach field should list the first page of the parent document and the End Attach 15 filed should list the last page of the last attachment. 16 Compressed Files. Compression file types (i.e., .CAB, .GZ, .TAR, .Z, N. 17 .ZIP) shall be decompressed in a reiterative manner to ensure that a zip within a zip is 18 decompressed into the lowest possible compression resulting in individual folders 19 and/or files. 20 III. **OBJECTIONS TO ESI PRODUCTION** 21 For responsive files not produced in their native format, documents that A. 22 present imaging or format production problems shall be promptly identified and 23 disclosed to the requesting party; the parties shall then meet and confer to attempt to 24 resolve the problems. 25 26

B. If either party objects to producing the requested information on the grounds that such information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost, or because production in the requested format is asserted to be not reasonably

27

accessible because of undue burden or cost, before asserting such an objection, the responding party will inform the requesting party of the format in which it is willing to produce it, the nature and location of the information claimed to not be reasonably accessible, and/or the reason(s) why the requested form of production would impose an undue burden or is unreasonably costly, and afford the requesting party opportunity to propose an alternative means of compliance with the request. Such proposal may include alternative cost estimates for ESI discovery production, may offer a proposal for ESI discovery cost allocation, or both. Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, a producing party shall not produce ESI in a format not requested or designated by the requesting party unless (i) the parties have met and conferred, and, having been unable to resolve such format production conflict at such meet and confer session, (ii) prior to referral to and resolution of such issue by the court.

C. ESI of Limited Accessibility. If a Producing Party contends that any responsive ESI, excluding back-up tapes or other long-term storage media that were created for use as a disaster recovery mechanism, is not reasonably accessible within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B), that Party shall timely identify such ESI with reasonable particularity and shall provide the Requesting Party with the basis for declining to produce such ESI, including but not limited to information about the nature of any limitations on access, an estimate of the likely costs that might be incurred in producing such ESI, the method used for storage of ESI (for example, the type of system used to store the ESI), and/or where such ESI is kept. The parties agree that back-up tapes or other long-term storage media that were created for use as a disaster recovery mechanism are presumed not to be reasonably accessible within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) and, absent a particular discovery dispute concerning such materials, do not need to be identified as described above. The parties shall negotiate in good faith concerning the production of any such ESI. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement, the Parties shall submit any dispute to the

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Court, who shall determine what burden may be imposed upon the Producing or Requesting Parties to resolve the dispute and whether or to what extent the costs of such production shall be borne by the Producing or Requesting Parties.

1

IV. **CATEGORIES OF ESI DISCOVERABLE ONLY UPON A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE**

The following categories of ESI are discoverable only upon a showing of good cause: (1) "deleted," "slack," "fragmented," or "unallocated" data on hard drives; (2) random access memory (RAM) or other ephemeral data; (3) on-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, etc.; (4) data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates; (5) e-mails and structured data on systems no longer regularly in use by the Party in the normal course of their business, provided that such data is not reasonably accessible within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B); and (6) data on backup tapes.

V. MISCELLANEOUS

Nothing herein shall be construed to affect the discoverability or A. admissibility of any Document or data. All objections to the discoverability or admissibility of any Document or data are preserved and may be asserted at any time.

The enumeration of a protocol for the production, processing, or B. treatment of ESI in any particular format or from any particular source of ESI shall not be construed as a concession that such formats or sources of ESI contain reasonably retrievable or relevant data or that they must be searched in response to the document requests or in response to any particular document request.

This Stipulated Order is not intended to govern any protections or **C**. restrictions related to the production of privileged litigation material. The Parties have separately addressed the process for handling the production of privileged litigation materials in the Stipulated Protective Order.

Limited 28 U.S.C. § 1920 Waiver. While neither party is taking a D. position in this document that e-discovery costs under 18 U.S.C. § 1920 are taxable or

not, the parties agree that if a party seeks e-discovery costs under 18 U.S.C. § 1920,
that party will not seek the reimbursement of any costs relating to the production,
storage, and maintenance of the ESI produced by that party in TIFF format over native format.

IT IS SO ORDERED. alth San DATED: 3/24/15 By: _ HONORABLE RALPH ZAREFSKY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE