## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

)
) CIVIL ACTION NO. 77-C-1881

## CONSENT ORDER

On April 21, 1982, the District Court approved and entered a Consent Decree, agreed to by plaintiff United States and defendant Nassau County, which resolved this suit of the United States against the County for discriminating against blacks, Hispanics and females with respect to employment opportunities in the Nassau County Police Department (the "NCPD").

Part VI of the Consent Decree requires, inter alia, that Wassau County provide remedial relief (including the award of up to \$1,300,000.00 in back pay, offers of employment as a police officer in the NCPD and retroactive seniority in that job) to those blacks, Hispanics and females who were unlawfully denied appointment as a police officer (or, formerly, police patrolman) in the NCPD. Part VI of the Consent Decree also sets forth a mechanism by which the entitlement of individual blacks, Hispanics

and females to the remedial relief provided under the Decree is to be determined, either by agreement between the United States and Wassau County or, absent agreement, by the Court.

Counsel for the United States and Nassau County have met and continue to meet for the purpose of fully implementing the remedial provisions of Part VI of the Consent Decree, and the parties have reached agreement as to the entitlement to relief under the Decree of some of the blacks, Hispanics and females the United States has alleged have been the victims of unlawful employment discrimination, as well as to a process and time-frame for determining the entitlement to relief under the Decree of the remaining alleged victims.

More particularly, plaintiff United States and defendant Nassau County hereby agree and consent, and IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that:

- 1. Each of the individuals whose name appears upon Appendix A attached hereto: should have been appointed as a police officer (or, formerly, police patrolman) in the NCPD on the date indicated; is entitled to a back pay award in the amount indicated; and, in accordance with the provisions of the Consent Decree, may be entitled to an offer of employment as a police officer in the NCPD with retroactive seniority in that rank as of the date the parties have agreed he or she should have been so appointed.
- 2. In implementing the relief set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Consent Order, Nassau County shall follow, where applicable,

Paragraphs 46, 59 and 71, as well as Part VII of the Consent Decree. Conversely, each of the individuals whose name appears upon Appendix A shall, as a condition of his or her receipt of such relief, sign and return a written release in accordance with Paragraph 72 of the Consent Decree.

- 3. Subject only to the results of a customary criminal background check, each of the individuals whose name appears upon Appendix B attached hereto: should have been appointed as a police officer (or, formerly, police patrolman) in the NCPD on the date indicated; is entitled to a back pay award in the amount indicated; and, in accordance with the provisions of the Consent Decree, may be entitled to an offer of employment as a police officer in the NCPD with retroactive seniority in that rank as of the date the parties have tentatively agreed he or she should have been so appointed.
- 4. Absent a showing of good cause, Nassau County shall, within sixty (60) days from the date of entry of this Consent Order: complete its criminal background check with respect to each of the individuals whose name appears upon Appendix B attached hereto; and advise the United States as to which of those individuals, if any, the County believes is not entitled to relief under the Consent Decree, because his or her background was of the type which which clearly would have disqualified him or her for appointment as a police officer in the NCPD. Thereafter, the United States and Nassau County shall have thirty (30)

days within which to seek to agree as to the entitlement to relief of each of those individuals, whose name appears upon Appendix B attached hereto. If after such thirty (30) day period the United States and Nassau County are unable to reach agreement as to the entitlement to relief of any of those individuals, then as to any disputed individual the Court, after an evidentiary hearing, shall decide. Wassau County shall have the burden of demonstrating to the Court, by clear and convincing evidence, that any disputed individual is not entitled to relief under the Consent Decree because his or her background was of the type which clearly would have disqualified him or her for appointment as a police officer in the NCPD. Each of those individuals whose name appears upon Appendix B attached hereto, who the United States and Nassau County agree or absent agreement the Court decides is entitled to relief under the Consent Decree, shall be entitled to such relief in accordance with the provisions of the Consent Decree as implemented by Paragraph 2 of this Consent Order.

er for terror in militare of the location of the military and the first last per property of the property of t

5. For any individual whose name appears upon Appendix B attached hereto who the United States and Nassau County agree or absent agreement the Court decides is not entitled to relief under the Consent Decree because his or her background is of the type which clearly would have disqualified him or her for appointment as a police officer in the NCPD, that amount of back pay which under Appendix B has been earmarked for that individual shall be redistributed by Nassau County amongst those individuals

who the United States and Nassau County have agreed or absent agreement the Court has decided are entitled to relief under the Consent Decree. The identity of each individual entitled to receive a portion of the redistributed back pay, as well as the amount of such redistributed back pay, shall be determined by the United States in its sole discretion. However, no individual shall be entitled to or receive a total back pay award of more than: \$17,600, if that person qualifies for relief under Paragraph 43 of the Consent Decree; \$13,200, if that person qualifies for relief under Paragraph 44 of the Consent Decree; \$8,800, if that person qualifies for relief under Paragraph 57a(1) or (2) of the Consent Decree; \$6,600, if that person qualifies for relief under Paragraph 57 (a) (3) of the Consent Decree; or \$8,800, if that person qualifies for relief under Paragraph 69 of the Consent Decree.

The second control of the second control of

ENTERED this \_\_\_\_ day of April 1983 in Uniondale, New York.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES,

By:

JOHN M. GADZICHOWSKI Senior Frial Attorney

VICTORIA LEE Trial Attorn

Trial Attorney Civil Rights Division Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 633-2188

ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEFENDANTS,

By:

EDWARD G. McCABE County Attorney, Nassau County 1 West Street Mineola, New York 11530

JAMES M. CATTERSON, JR. Catterson and Nolan 314 Main Street Port Jefferson, New York 11777

WILLIAM H. PAULEY, III
Orenstein, Smitow, Sutak & Pollack, P.C.
750 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10017

## APPENDIX A

Name of Claimant	Back Pay Award (Gross)	Date Upon Which Claimant Should Have Been Appointed As A Police Officer (or, Formerly, Police Patrolman)
	\$ 6,080	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	15,200	10/05/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	6,075	07/13/79
	10,640	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73

\$ 6,300	02/23/77
15,200	06/15/73
6,300	01/19/79
8,100	02/23/77
8,100	07/13/79
6,300	01/19/79
15,200	06/15/73
4,560	06/15/73

\$ 8,100	07/13/79
0.00 No monetary loss	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
3,040	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
2,100	02/23/77
6,400	02/23/77
0.00 No monetary loss	07/13/79

. .

\$ 8,100	07/13/79
9,120	06/15/73
6,750	02/23/77
15,200	10/05/73
7,600	06/15/73
3,040	06/15/73
6,080	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
15,200	10/05/73

\$ 6,080	06/15/73
7,600	10/05/73
8,100	07/13/79
15,200	10/05/73
15,200	06/15/73
5,800	10/05/73
15,200	06/15/73
2,025	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73

4

\$ 8,100	01/19/79
7,600	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
3,040	10/05/73
15,200	06/15/73
4,560	10/05/73
6,075	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79

\$ 8,100	07/13/79
7,600	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
4,560	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
11,600	06/15/73
13,680	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
13,680	06/15/73

## APPENDIX B

Name of Claimant	Back Pay Award (Gross)	Date Upon Which Claimant Should Have Been Appointed As A Police Officer (or, Formerly, Police Patrolman)
	\$ 4,560	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	15,200	06/15/73
	11,600	06/15/73
	0.00 No monetary	06/15/73 y loss
	15,200	06/15/73
	8,100	07/13/79



the second secon

.

- 3 -	
\$15,200	06/15/73
2,025	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
6,075	07/13/79
8,100	07/13/79
2,630	07/13/79
8,100	01/19/79
8,100	02/23/77

. .

\$13,680	06/15/73
6,080	10/05/73
11,600	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
3,040	06/15/73
6,960	Cadet: 07/12/74 - 07/12/75 Plice Officer: 07/12/75
8,100	07/13/79
8,100	07/13/79

. .

\$12,160	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
11,600	06/15/73
365	06/15/73
9,120	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73

.

\$15,200	06/15/73
11,600	06/15/73
8,100	02/23/77
15,200	06/15/73
9,120	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79
15,200	06/15/73

\*14 Charles in the control of the co

.

\$15,200	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
0.00 No monetary loss	07/13/79
7,600	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
4,560	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
7,600	06/15/73

\$ 8,100	02/23/77
15,200	06/15/73
12,160	06/15/73
15,200	06/15/73
6,080	06/15/73
1,520	06/15/73
8,100	07/13/79