1 ROBERT BECKETT Attorney at Law 2 Venable, Rice, Lee & Capra 101 North 1st Avenue 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85003 4 Attorney for Plaintiffs 5



FILED

APR 2 1979

W. J. FURSTENAU, CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT DEPUTY CLERK

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

10 NATHALIA GRISWOLD, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

JIM RILEY, et al.

Defendants

NO. CIV-77-144 PHX CAM

MOTION TO SET HEARING AND APPROVE NOTICE TO CLASS **MEMBERS**

The parties request the Court to set a hearing date to determine whether the attached proposed settlement and judgment agreed to by the parties in the above-captioned matter is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved.

The parties additionally request that the attached notice be approved by the Court to be mailed to persons legally responsible for the members of the plaintiff class.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26 day of Man L 1979.

> ROBERT BECKETT, Plaintiffs

General

30

31

ROBERT BECKETT
Attorney at Law
Venable, Rice, Lee & Capra
101 Nroth 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Attorney for Plaintiffs

NATHALIA GRISWOLD, et al.

Defendants.

JIM RILEY, et al.

__ |

vs.

UNTIED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Plaintiffs,) NO. CIV-77-144 PHX CAM

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT AND HEARING

TO ALL PERSONS, THEIR PARENTS AND GUARDIANS, WHO RESIDED AT THE TRAINING PROGRAM AT COOLIDGE ON OR AFTER FEBRUARY 28, 1977, REGARDLESS OF WHERE THEY MIGHT NOW, OR IN THE FUTURE, RESIDE.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a hearing will be held on working the large of the larg

The purpose of the hearing is to determine whether a proposed settlement and judgment should be approved by the Court.

This law suit was filed by plaintiffs in an attempt to improve the care and treatment of residents of the Arizona Training Program at Coolidge (ATPC). The case was approved by the Court as a class action composed of persons who resided at the Training Program at Coolidge on or after February 28, 1977, the date the suit was initiated, regardless of where they might now, or in the future, reside.

The defendants in this action are officers and employees of the state of Arizona who have responsibility for the care and treatment of residents of the Training Program at Coolidge.

The parties have met monthly during the past eight (8) months to negotitate a settlement and have now entered into a stipulation to settle this case. The Court has examined the Settlement entered into between the parties and has provisionally determined that it appropriately protects the rights of the members of the class and is a fair and appropriate resolution of this cause.

A very general description of the settlement is as follows:
The plaintiffs and defendants agree that state or federal laws
establish rights for mentally retarded residents of the Arizona
Training Program at Coolidge, including among others:

Every mentally retarded person who is provided residential care by the state shall have the right to live in the least restrictive alternative, as determined after an initial placement evaluation has been conducted for such person.

Every school-age mentally retarded person shall have the right to receive publicly-supported educational services in accordance with the applicable special education laws of the state.

Clients shall have the right to be free from mistreatment, neglect and abuse by service providers.

Each client shall have the right to be free from unnecessary and excessive medication. Medication shall not be used as punishment, for the convenience of the staff, as a substitute for an individual program plan, or in quantities that interferewith the client's individual program plan. Prescription-only medication for each client shall be authorized only by the prescription of a physician and the administration of such medication shall be directed by a physician.

Mentally retarded persons who are residents in residential programs operated or supported by the department shall have the right to a humane and clean physical environment, the right to communication and visits and the right to personal property.

No otherwise qualified handicapped individual in the Untied States, shall, solely by reason of his handicap, be excluded from the participation in,

-2-

be cri Fed

be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

The plaintiffs and defendants agree that the following description of what the state is doing and will be doing furthers the protection of these rights of residents at ATP-C:

A. Physical Structures

- 1. The State agrees to request funds from the Arizona Legislature to remodel ATPC cottages over the next three years in a manner comparable to the remodeling which has occurred in Pine Cottage.
- 2. The State agrees to provide sufficient day program space by June, 1981 for a 6-hour program day for each resident.
 - 3. The State agrees to have no locked doors on cottages.
- 4. The State agrees to request funds from the legislature to continue to remove architectural barriers.

B. Less Restrictive Environment

- 1. By fiscal year 1981, the population of ATPC will be down to 321 residents.
- 2. The State agrees to increase the opportunities for residents of ATPC to attend community day programs.

C. Physical and Chemical Restraints

- 1. The State agrees to adopt rules and regulations for both physical and chemical restraints pursuant to Arizona Revised statutes (ARS) 36-561 which provide that physical and chemical restraints will be administered only after approval of the individual program planning team and review by the Human Rights and Ethics Committee.
- 2. The State agrees to reduce the use of physical and mechanical restraints.
- The state agrees to reduce the use of behavior-controlling medications.
 - 4. The State agrees to hire, prior to July, 1979, an

-3-

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

1920

2122

23

2425

26 27

28

29

30 31

outside medical consultant to review medications for 10 ATPC clients.

- 5. The State agrees to request funds for fiscal year 1979-80 to expand medical consultancy for second level medication reviews statewide.
- 6, The State will assure that the ATPC District Program Manager will review monthly the use of behavior-controlling medications.
- 7. The State agrees to request funds for fiscal year 1979-80 to hire a behavior management consultant to train staff.
- 8. The State agrees to request funds for fiscal year 1979-80 to hire two staff trainers.

D. Habilitation Programs

- 1. The State assures that all ATPC residents will receive a 6-hour day program by June 1982; children will continue to receive a 5-1/2 hour day special education program. Achieving this objective is dependent upon maintaining current number of staff and upon reaching the deinstitutionalization goal of 321 residents by June, 1981.
- 2. The State will assure that all school-age ATPC residents will receive a State-supported educational program funded by the Division of Special Education in either a public school or at ATPC.
- 3. The State agrees, that as a part of an increase in overall training for staff, ATPC will provide staff with increased training in behavior management and in the teaching of self-help skills.
- 4. The State will assure that rules and regulations will be adopted for the following statutory areas: ARS 36-551.01, Client Rights; ARS 36-560, Admission; ARS 36-560, Placement Evaluation; ARS 36-563, Review and Appeal; ARS 36-565, Periodic Evaluation.

8

5. The State agrees to pay for accreditation surveys for three Arizona Training Program facilities in Phoenix, Tucson and Coolidge.

E. Insufficient Staff

The State agrees to maintain overall 1:1 staff/client ratios in each residential unit by July 1, 1981.

F. Named Plaintiffs

- 1. The State has submitted current individual program plans (IPP) for the named plaintiffs.
- 2. The State agrees to a yearly review of the named plaintiffs' IPP Status by the Review Panel.
- 3. The State agrees to add additional names of ATPC residents, up to a total of three, if less than three named plaintiffs remain at ATPC.

G. Human Rights Committee

- 1. The State agrees to adopt rules and regulations for the Human Rights Committees.
- 2. The State agrees to create a review panel to monitor the progress of the State in complying with the following sections of the Agreement: Addendum C, Physical Structure; Addendum D, Least Restrictive Environment; Addendum G. Insufficient Staff; Addendum H, Named Plaintiffs; Addendum I, Human Rights Committee; and Addendum J, Arizona Association for Retarded Citizens Monitoring.

H. AARC Monitoring

- 1. The State agrees to recognize the Arizona Association for Retarded Citizens as an on-going advocacy group which may inspect ATPC or any other state operated or contracted program serving ATPC clients pursuant to A.R.S., §36-567.F.5.
 - I. Investigating Neglect and Abuse
- The State agrees to adopt rules and regulations on investigating neglect and abuse, which rules are to be consistent

with A.R.S. §36-551 et seq.

Changes in the above description can only be made by the state when such a change is in the best interests of ATPC residents and is due to unforeseen conditions. Further the Review Panel established under the settlement must be notified of changes.

The Court will continue to have authority in this case until the provisions of the settlement are substantially met.

- 7. Inspection of documents, papers and pleadings including a copy of the settlement and judgment filed in this case may be inspected and copied at the United States District Court, District of Arizona, 230 North First Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85021, during regular working hours or at the office of the Arizona Association for Retarded Citizens, 5610 South Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85040 (243-1787 or toll free 800-352-3257.)
- 8. Please direct any questions concerning this notice or the proposed settlement to Lorraine Stenz, attorney for the Legal Services Project for Persons with Developmental Disabilities sponsored by the Arizona Association for Retarded Citizens. (243-1787 or toll free 800-352-3257) or your own attorney, and not to this court.
- 9. Any person who wishes to be heard in objection to or support of the Proposed Settlement or Judgment must file a written statement with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, District of Arizona, 230 North First Avenue, no later than 20 days before the hearing. A copy of this objection should be mailed to Robert Beckett, Attorney for the plaintiffs, 101 North First Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85003 and to Charleen Greer, Assistant Attorney General, Attorney for the defendants, 1700 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85005. Any papers filed with this Court, must comply with the local

rules and the Rules of Federal Procedure. No person shall be heard, and no briefs or papers received or considered, unless served and filed as indicated, except as the Court, in its discretion may otherwise direct.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.

Clerk of the United States District Court

For the District of Arizona
230 North First Avenue

230 North First Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85021

8