III WEST MONROE AVENUE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85003-1764 ARIZONA TITLE BUILDING, III WEST MONROE AV

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

LODGED

MAY 0 6 1983

OFFICE OF THE CL U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

FILED MAY 10 1983 PURSTENAU, CLEAN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Phoenix, Arizona 85003

ROBERT BECKETT

(602) 257-0336

CAPRA & BECKETT 111 W. Monroe #1114

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

NATHALIA GRISWOLD, et al, Plaintiffs,

-vs-

JIM RILEY, et al,

Defendants

NO. CIV-77-144 PHX CAM

ORDER FOR HEARING ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT AND NOTICE TO BE GIVEN CLASS MEMBERS

The plaintiffs and the defendants having entered into a final and complete settlement of this action subject to the approval of the Court, as set forth in the Status Report dated March 28, 1983 heretofore filed in this case, and the Court having duly considered the proposed settlement as set forth in the Status Report and the arguments and documentation presented in support thereof by both sides and, it appearing to the Court that the proposed settlement merits consideration as to its fairness and reasonableness and that a hearing should be held thereon to determine whether the settlement should be approved by the Court and that notice of the hearing should be given to the members of the plaintiff class as required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. A hearing shall be held before the undersigned United States District Judge, United States Court House, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85025 at 10:00 o'clock A.M., on the 6th day of June, 1983, for the purpose of determining whether the proposed settlement of this action is fair and reasonable and judgment should be entered in accordance with the terms and provisions of the settlement.
- 2. Notice of the hearing shall be sent by first class mail to all known persons legally responsible for members of the plaintiff class at addresses provided by the Department of Economic Security. Content of the notice shall be as attached.
- 3. Further, plaintiffs will issue a press release relating in substance the information which is contained in the notice to members of the plaintiff class.
- 4. Proof of giving such notice as hereinabove directed shall be filed in this court prior to the date of the hearing.
- 5. Any person who wishes to be heard in objection to or support of the Proposed Settlement and Judgment must file a written statement of objection or support with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, District of Arizona, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, no later than 20 days before the hearing. A copy of the statement should be mailed to Robert Beckett, Attorney for Plaintiffs, 111 W. Monroe #1114, Phoenix, Arizona 85003, and to Robert Segelbaum, Assistant Attorney General, Attorney for

defendants, Department of Law Bldg., 1275 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Any papers filed with this Court must comply with local rules and the rules of Federal Procedure. No person shall be heard, and no briefs or papers received or considered, unless served and filed as indicated except as the Court, in its discretion, may otherwise direct.

DATED	this	 day of	
		∠. ′	CARL A. MUECKE
			Judge of the District Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

CAPRA & BECKETT

By ROBERT BECKETT DATE
Attorney for Plaintiffs

ROBERT K. CORBIN Attorney General

ROBERT S. SEGELBAUM

Assistant Attorney General

DATE

CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 230 N. 1st Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

NATHALIA GRISWOLD, et al,)
Plaintiffs,) NO. CIV-77-144 PHX CAM
-vs- JIM RILEY, et al, Defendants) NOTICE OF PROPOSED) SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT) AND HEARING))

TO ALL PERSONS, THEIR PARENTS AND GUARDIANS, WHO
RESIDED AT THE TRAINING PROGRAM AT COOLIDGE ON OR AFTER
FEBRUARY 28, 1977, REGARDLESS OF WHERE THEY MIGHT NOW,
OR IN THE FUTURE RESIDE:

The purpose of the hearing is to determine whether a proposed settlement and judgment should be approved by the Court.

This law suit was filed by plaintiffs in an attempt to improve the care and treatment of residents of the Arizona

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Training Program at Coolidge (ATPC). The case was approved by the Court as a class action composed of persons who resided at the Training Program at Coolidge on or after February 28, 1977, the date the suit was initiated, regardless of where they might now, or in the future, reside. The defendants in this action are officers and

employees of the State of Arizona who have responsibility for the care and treatment of residents of the Training Program at Coolidge.

The parties entered into a previous settlement of this litigation on April 2, 1979. Because the plaintiff class is made up of some 650 persons, that is, all those persons residing at the Training Program at Coolidge as of February 28, 1977, notice was given at that of the residents or their legal guardians or parents of the general terms of this The notice also stated that a hearing on the settlement would be held June 4, 1979. On June 4, 1979, the Court did consider the settlement and entered a judgment which approved the settlement and which stated in part:

> Jurisdiction is retained by the Court until further Order. Any party may apply at any time for such further order as necessary or appropriate for the construction, implementation or enforcement of this Judgment."

The parties have now agreed that the progress of the defendants in complying with the provisions of the settlement agreement of April 2, 1979 warrant termination of the jurisdiction of the Court, other than through the normal relief available in enforcing judgments. The parties have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

agreed that the defendants are currently in compliance with the settlement provisions which were set forth under the following headings in the April 2, 1979 settlement agreement:

- B. Least Restrictive Environment
- C. Physical and Chemical Restraints
- F. Named Plaintiffs
- G. Human Rights Committee
- Η. AARC Monitoring
- I. Investigating Neglect and Abuse The parties are also in agreement that the settlement provisions have not been fully complied with in the following areas of the April 2, 1979 settlement:
 - Physical Structures Α.
 - D. Habilitation Program
 - Ε. Insufficient Staff

The parties have agreed on how to resolve the lack of compliance in each of these areas, and to terminate the jurisdiction of the Court. The terms of this agreement are enclosed herein under the title "Amended Status Report." (Please note Exhibit A referred to in the Status Report is not enclosed.) The proposed judgment is also enclosed herein under the title "Proposed Judgment."

Inspection of documents, papers and pleadings including a copy of the previous Judgment entered June 4, 1979 and the settlement agreement reached April 2, 1979 may be inspected and copied at the United States District Court,

ARIZONA 85003-

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

District of Arizona, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85021, during regular working hours or at the office of the Association for Retarded Citizens of Arizona, 5610 S. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85040 (243-1787 or toll free 800-252-9054).

Please direct any questions concerning this notice or the proposed settlement to Robert Beckett of CAPRA & BECKETT, 111 W. Monroe #1114, Phoenix, Arizona, 85003, (602) 257-0336, or your own attorney, and not to this court.

Any person who wishes to be heard in objection to or support of the Proposed Settlement or Judgment must file a written statement with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, District of Arizona, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85025, no later than 7 days before the A copy of this objection should be mailed to Robert Beckett, Attorney for the plaintiffs, 111 W. Monroe #1114, Phoenix, Arizona 85003 and to Robert Segelbaum, Assistant Attorney General, Attorney for the defendants, Department of Law Bldg., 1275 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Any papers filed with this Court, must comply with the local rules and the Rules of Federal Procedure. No person shall be heard, and no briefs or papers received or considered, unless served and filed as indicated, except as the Court, in its discretion may otherwise direct.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.

District Court For the District of Arizona