DONALD YORK EVANS, BSQ State Bar No. 1070 AUG 2 1 SUA 2 P.O. Box 864 CLERN U.S. DISTRICT LOURT HCT OF NEV, Reno, NV 89504 3 (775) 348-7400 DAVID C. FATHI, ESQ. 5 National Prison Project of the 6 American Civil Liberties Union Foundation 1875 Connecticut Ave., NW, #410 7 Washington, DC 20009 8 (202) 234-4830 9 Attorneys For Plaintiffs 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 13 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 14 15 PRISON LEGAL NEWS, 16 a Washington State Corporation, 17 and ROLLIN WRIGHT, 18 CASE NO. CV-N-00-0373-HDM-RAM Plaintiffs, 19 v. 20 21 JACKIE CRAWFORD in her official capacity, JOHN SLANSKY, in his **ORDER** 22 official and individual capacities, **GRANTING PRELIMINARY** 23 ROBERT BAYER, in his INJUNCTION official and individual capacities, 24 DOES I-XXV, Defendant RED AND WHITE CORPORATIONS 25 I-X, and BLACK AND BLUE STATE 26 and/or MUNICIPAL ENTITIES I-X, 27

Defendants.

28

Plaintiffs are the publishers of the journal <u>Prison Legal News</u>. Defendants are officials of the Nevada Department of Prisons (NDOP). In this lawsuit, Plaintiffs challenge Defendants' *blanket exclusion* of <u>Prison Legal News</u> from NDOP institutions. Defendants base this *blanket exclusion* upon their contention that <u>Prison Legal News</u> is an "inmate publication" prohibited by Administrative Directive (A.D.) 41-95. On or about the 12th day of July, Plaintiffs moved for a preliminary injunction (CR #2). Defendants have consented to the entry of preliminary injunctive relief in their response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief. Further, the Court makes a specific finding that the Plaintiffs have demonstrated probable success on the merits and the possibility of irreparable harm if a preliminary injunction is not granted.

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction is granted. Pending the final resolution of this action, Defendants Crawford and Slansky, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all those in active concert or participation with them, are enjoined:

- (a) From maintaining a *blanket exclusion* of <u>Prison Legal News</u> and of correspondence relating to <u>Prison Legal News</u> from NDOP institutions; and
- (b) From excluding <u>Prison Legal News</u>, or correspondence relating to <u>Prison Legal News</u> from NDOP institutions solely on the ground that <u>Prison Legal News</u> is allegedly an "inmate publication" or "inmate newsletter" prohibited by A.D. 41-95.

Nothing within this order shall be construed to limit the Defendants' rights to properly review and appropriately censor individual issues of <u>Prison Legal News</u> within the guidelines set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>Thornburgh v. Abbott</u>, 480 U.S. 401, 109 S.Ct. 1874 (1989).

1	Security will be waived.
2	DATED: This 14 day of August, 2000.
3	
4	16 0 M///
5	HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN, UNITED
6	STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	