1 2	LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN Mark E. Merin, SBN 043849 2001 P Street, Suite 100			
3	Sacramento, California 95811 Telephone: (916) 443-6911			
4	Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com			
5	CASPER, MEADOWS, SCHWARTZ & COOK			
6	Andrew C. Schwartz, SBN 064578 2121 North California Blvd., Suite 1020			
7	Walnut Creek, California 94596 Telephone: (925) 947-1147			
8	Facsimile: (925) 947-1131 E-Mail: Schwartz@cmslaw.com			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
10	McNamara, Dodge, Ney, Beatty, SLATTERY, PFALZER, BORGES & BROTHERS James Fitzgerald, III, Esq. SBN 55632 1211 Newell Avenue Walnut Creek, CA 94596			
11				
12				
13	Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203			
14	E-Mail: james.fitzgerald@mcnamaralaw.com			
15	BINGHAM McCutchen, LLP Peter Obstler, SBN 171623			
	Three Embarcadero Center			
16	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2578			
17	Facsimile: (415) 262-9244 E-Mail: peter.obstler@bingham.com			
18	Attorneys for Defendants			
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
21			C05-02324 JCS	
22	RUSSELL MOYLE, a minor, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, his custodial parent, RHONDA			
23	BOWERS; KATHERINE ERMITANO, a minor, by and through her Guardian Ad Litem, her custodial	STIPULA	TION OF SETTLEMENT	
24	parent, MARLON ERMITANO, on behalf of themselves and all those similarly situated,	DATE: TIME:	September 18, 2009 9:30 a.m.	
25	Plaintiffs,	CTRM: JUDGE:	A, 15 th Floor Hon. Joseph C. Spero	
26	VS.		1 1	
27	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY; CONTRA COSTA			
28	COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT; CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER LIONEL CHATMAN, in his official capacity;			

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

///

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CHIEF DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICER FOR JUVENILE HALL, NANCY MILLER, in her official capacity; and DOES 1 THROUGH 100,

Defendants.

Plaintiff KATHERINE ERMITANO, individually and on behalf of the settlement class defined herein, and Defendants CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER LIONEL CHATMAN, in his official capacity, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CHIEF DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICER FOR JUVENILE HALL, NANCY MILLER, in her official capacity, (hereinafter referred to as "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby submit the following Stipulation of Settlement.

I.

RECITALS

WHEREAS on or about June 8, 2005, Plaintiff RUSSELL MOYLE, a minor, filed a class action complaint against Defendant Contra Costa County ("Contra Costa") and Chief Probation Officer Lionel Chatman and Chief Deputy Probation Officer For Juvenile Hall, Nancy Miller in their individual capacities.

WHEREAS on or about September 15, 2005, Contra Costa revised the strip search policy and has implemented a revised search policy (the "Revised Policy"). The Revised Policy has been implemented and remains in place pending the outcome of certain appeals regarding the constitutionality of blanket strip search policies, including the pending Ninth Circuit en banc review in *Bull v. City and County of San Francisco*, Case No. 05-17080, D.C. No. CV-03-01840-CRB (9th Cir. hearing en banc on May 26, 2009.). Copies of the Contra Costa's Revised Policies relating to the strip search of juveniles at Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

WHEREAS on September 16, 2005, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint to add a new class representative, Plaintiff KATHERINE ERMITANO, at that time a minor, challenging the Defendants' policy of strip searching juveniles at Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall. Defendants filed a timely answer to the First Amended Complaint denying allegations of wrong doing or liability.

///

WHEREAS the Parties entered into discovery which included exchange of documents, preparation of and responses to requests for production of documents, on site review of juvenile files, and taking depositions of the Parties and Defendants' employees and administrators.

WHEREAS on December 4, 2007, the Honorable Joseph C. Spero, United States Magistrate Judge for the Northern District of California, issued an order granting preliminary certification to a class of juveniles subject to the class definition set forth in that order (the "Certification Order").

WHEREAS Plaintiffs voluntary dismissed with prejudice all claims against Defendants Chatman and Miller, leaving only Contra Costa as the remaining Defendant in this Action. (*See* Class Cert. Order fn. 6 at 10.)

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2009 and July 9, 2009, the Parties participated in settlement mediation presided over by the Honorable Fern M. Smith (retired). At the mediation, Contra Costa and Plaintiffs reached an agreement on a Term Sheet, which was approved by the Contra Costa Board of Supervisors on July 21, 2009. The terms reached at the mediation were to be further clarified and incorporated into this Stipulation of Settlement which, subject to the approval of the Court, will constitute a final and binding settlement agreement of all individual and class-wide claims (and related claims) alleged in the action in the manner and upon the terms set forth below and fully resolves the dispute.

WHEREAS, at the time of the mediation, the law applicable to the issue of whether Plaintiffs' core claim that Contra Costa's blanket strip search policy violates the Fourth Amendment may be impacted by on-going appellate proceedings, including the en banc review in *Bull v. San Francisco*. As a result, the Plaintiffs and Contra Costa reached a compromise and agreed that in the event that blanket strip search policies involving the intake and placing of a juvenile into the general population at juvenile detention facility are found to be constitutional or the constitutionality of such policies are determined to be different from that argued by the Plaintiffs or defined by the Court in its Class Certification Order in this Action, Contra Costa may modify its existing Search Policy or re-implement its prior policy in compliance with Ninth Circuit or Supreme Court law, and such further revisions and implementation of those policies shall be permitted by and incorporated into this agreement by subsequent written modification to any final settlement agreement reached in this Action.

WHEREAS, subject to the terms of the original negotiated Term Sheet and approval by the Contra Costa Board of Supervisors and the Court, the parties desire to enter into a final and binding settlement that will resolve all claims for relief and causes of action alleged or related to any individual or class-wide allegations averred in the Second Amended Complaint or Motion for Class Certification, Contra Costa and Plaintiffs enter into this Stipulation of Settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties as follows:

II.

DEFINITIONS

- 1. "Administrator" means Gilardi and Co., LLC, P.O. Box 8060, San Rafael, CA 94912-8060, to be appointed by the Court to provide notice to the members of the class and to review and determine the validity and value of claims submitted by Settlement Class Members ("SCMs"), according to the procedures set forth herein.
- 2. "Bar Date" is the date established by the Court by which any SCM who wishes to receive payment pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement must file his/her Claim Form(s), objections to this Stipulation of Settlement, or request to be excluded from the class (opt-out).
 - 3. "Charge List" means the list of charges attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.
- 4. "Claim Form" is the form required to be used to make a claim for payment under this settlement. A copy of the proposed Claim Form is attached as **Exhibit 3**.
- 5. "Class Counsel" means Mark E. Merin, attorney, Law Office of Mark E. Merin, 2001 P Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95811, and Andrew C. Schwartz, attorney, Casper, Meadows, Schwartz & Cook, 2121 North California Blvd., Suite 1020, Walnut Creek, California 94596.
- 6. The "Class Notice" means the notice in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** (Notice by Mail); such other summary notice(s) and announcements to be published in newspapers serving the Contra Costa County area, announced on radio stations, posted on billboards, and/or posted in juvenile facilities operated by Contra Costa County.
- 7. The "Class Period" ends on September 15, 2005, and includes all juveniles who were booked into Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall prior to that date who had not reached the age of 20 on or

before June 8, 2005, the date of filing of the complaint herein.

- 8. The "Database" is the information provided in hard copy and/or electronic form by Defendants to the Administrator and Class Counsel which includes, to the extent practicable, the name, last known addresses, date of birth, social security number, date(s) of booking, charge(s) and information reflecting whether the SCM was on searchable probation at the time of booking of all SCMs arrested during the Class Period, together with the name(s), last known address(es), and social security number(s) of all parents and/or guardians of SCMs arrested during the Class Period. The Parties agree that all of the persons identified in the list attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** are members of the class.
 - a. Notwithstanding the above language set forth in Section II.8 above, this list of eligible claimants may be increased by a number of persons who may have requested that their juvenile files be sealed or purged or expunged. Because the Contra Costa County Juvenile Court Judge has issued an Order on July 29, 2009, pursuant to a joint request to release information relating to those juveniles in the Settlement class, including those Class Members whose files have been expunged (the "Expunged Class Members") to allow all Class Members to receive notice of the settlement in this action, the Juvenile Court Order and findings at the July 29, 2009 hearing are expressly incorporated into the terms of this Agreement and any binding settlement.
- 9. "Debts Owed to the County" shall mean any financial obligation which would be collected by the Contra Costa County Central Collections Agency and/or any Debt Owed to the County of Contra Costa or State of California for unpaid child support.
- 10. The "Effective Date" means the date upon which a judgment entered by the Court approving the Stipulation of Settlement becomes final. The judgment will be deemed final only upon expiration of the time to appeal or, if a Notice of Appeal is filed, upon exhaustion of all appeals and petitions for Writ of Certiorari. Notwithstanding the above, an application to the Court or subsequent approval of the Stipulation is expressly subject to the condition precedent of the Contra Costa Board of Supervisors formal approval of this Stipulation and its terms and no such application for final approval by the Court shall be made by any Party unless and until the condition precedent of Board Approval is

received.

- 11. A "Non-VDW" Offense for purposes of this Stipulation only means an offense not listed on the Charge List. The parties represent and warrant that the definition of a non-VWD Offense shall have no collateral estoppel and shall not be used by any party or third party as legal precedent in any other legal action or proceeding, other than a proceeding to enforce the terms of a Final Settlement approved by the Court, if any, in this Action.
- 12. An "Opt-Out" is any potential Settlement Class Member who files a timely request for exclusion as specified in **Paragraph 49**.
- 13. "Released Persons" means the Defendants and their predecessors, successors, and/or assigns, together with past, present, and future officials, employees, representatives, attorneys and/or agents of the County of Contra Costa or Contra Costa County Probation Department or any other political subdivision or agency of Contra Costa County, including its Counsel of Record.
- 14. The "Settlement Class" means all persons who were booked at Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall on or before September 15, 2005, who had not reached the age of 20 by June 8, 2005.
- 15. A "Settlement Class Member" ("SCM") means any member of the Settlement Class, including representatives, successors and assigns, who does not file a valid and timely Request for Exclusion as provided in **Paragraph 49** of this Stipulation of Settlement.
- 16. "Special Master" shall mean the Honorable Fern M. Smith (Ret.) appointed by the Court to preside over this Stipulation of Settlement. The Special Master shall have power to make decisions in all matters pertaining to the administration and enforcement of the Stipulation of Settlement, subject to review by the Court upon request of any party.
- 17. This Stipulation of Settlement is for settlement purposes only, and neither the fact of, nor any provision contained in this Stipulation of Settlement or its exhibits, nor any action taken hereunder shall constitute, be construed as, or be admissible in evidence as an admission of the validity of any claim or any fact alleged by Plaintiff or SCMs in this action or in any other pending action or of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of Defendants or admission by Defendants of any claim or allegation made in this action or in any other action, nor as an admission by Plaintiff, SCMs or Class Counsel of the validity of any fact or defense asserted against them in this action

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

or in any other action. Defendants deny all allegations of wrongdoing and deny any liability to Plaintiff or to any other Class Members. The Parties have agreed that, in order to avoid long and costly litigation, this controversy should be settled pursuant to the terms of this settlement, subject to the approval of the Court.

III.

TERMS AND EFFECT OF STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

- 18. The Parties will file a proposed stipulated protective order concurrently with the motion for preliminary approval of this Stipulation of Settlement to allow personnel of the Contra Costa County Probation Department to provide the name, last known address, and other necessary data of all SCMs to Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator. This information is privileged and confidential. The Defendants may, in their sole discretion, withdraw from the Stipulation of Settlement if the Court does not enter that order.
- 19. On or about the Effective Date, the Parties will submit all appropriate papers to dismiss Case No. C 05-02324 JCS with prejudice in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- 20. The Parties agree, solely for the purpose of this settlement and its implementation, that this action shall proceed as a class action, with the Settlement Class as defined in **Paragraph 14**, and that attorneys for the class are Class Counsel as defined in Paragraph 5; but if such settlement fails to be approved or otherwise fails to be consummated, then this Stipulation of Settlement is hereby withdrawn.
- 21. SCMs who comply with the requirements set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement will be paid specified sums determined by the procedures set forth herein in full satisfaction of all claims.
- 22. The Stipulation of Settlement, as of the Effective Date, resolves in full all claims against the Released Persons by all of the SCMs, including the named Plaintiff KATHERINE ERMITANO, involving violation of their Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights or of any other federal, state or local law, regulation, duty, or obligation which are based upon or could be based upon or arise from or relate to the facts alleged in Case No. C 05-02324 JCS filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. When the Stipulation of Settlement is final, as of the Effective Date, all SCMs,

including the named Plaintiff, hereby provide a full and general release all such claims, including a waiver of rights relating to unknown claims under CCP § 1542 as set forth below in Section III.24.

- 23. The Parties agree that the Court, by preliminarily approving the Stipulation of Settlement, will be certifying the class as defined in **Paragraph 14** as the Settlement Class, subject to final approval of the settlement at the Fairness Hearing, and that the Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction of the action, Parties, SCMs, Special Master and the Administrator to interpret and enforce the terms, conditions and obligations under this agreement.
- 24. Upon approval by the Court of this Stipulation of Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Stipulation of Settlement, Plaintiffs and all SCMs fully release and discharge Defendants and all present and former employees, agents, servants, registered representatives, attorneys, insurers, and successors and assigns from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, action or causes of action whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, including all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the State of California as set forth below.
- 25. Plaintiffs and all SCMS agree that any and all of Plaintiffs' claims, rights, and causes of action, damages, punitive or statutory damages, penalties, losses, and issues of any kind or nature whatsoever, asserted or unasserted, known or unknown (including, but not limited to, any and all claims relating to or alleging events described in Paragraph 22, by or on behalf of Plaintiffs and SCMs, the general public, any other or all persons purporting to act on Plaintiffs' and SCMs behalf or purporting to assert a claim under or through Plaintiffs and SCMs, including, but not limited to, any heirs and assigns, children, spouses, significant others, and companions, whether individual, class, representative, legal, equitable, direct or indirect, or any other type of capacity against Defendants (and all Defendants' present and former employees, agents, servants, registered representatives, attorneys, insurers, and successors and assigns), in connection with or that arise out of or relate in any manner whatsoever, in whole, or in part, to the claims that were or could have been asserted in Case No. C 05-02324 JCS filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, shall be finally and irrevocably compromised, settled, released, and discharged with prejudice. Plaintiffs and all SCMs agree to release, waive, and discharge, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the

California Civil Code, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exists in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially effected his or her settlement with the debtor.

In addition, Plaintiffs and SCMs expressly waive and relinquish, to the fullest extent permitted by law, any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of the United States, or any statute or territory of the United States, or principle of common law or equity that is similar, comparable or equivalent to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Plaintiffs and SCMs expressly acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims. Plaintiffs and SCMs fully, finally and forever settle, release, and discharge any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, that now exist or heretofore have existed upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including but not limited to Released Claims based on conduct that is negligent, reckless, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law, or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

- 26. As of the Effective Date of this Stipulation of Settlement, the SCMs, including the named Plaintiff, hereby waive any and all rights to pursue, initiate, prosecute, or commence any action or proceeding before any court, administrative agency or other tribunal, or to file any complaint with regard to acts or commission or omission by the Released Persons respecting such SCMs with respect to any strip search by Defendants during their confinement at juvenile hall which occurred during the Class Period.
- 27. This Stipulation of Settlement contains all of the terms and conditions agreed upon by the Parties hereto regarding the subject matter of the instant proceeding, and no oral agreement entered into at any time nor any written agreement entered into prior to the execution of this Stipulation shall be deemed to exist, or to bind the Parties hereto, or to vary the terms and conditions contained herein, except as expressly provided herein.

- 28. Each SCM shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 29. No Opt-Out shall share in any monetary benefits provided by this Stipulation of Settlement.
- 30. This agreement is subject to and conditioned upon the final approval of this Stipulation of Settlement by the Contra Costa Board of Supervisors (approved on July 21, 2009), and the subsequent issuance of the final order and judgment of dismissal, by the United States District Court, Northern District of California, providing the below specified relief, which relief shall be pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement and the Parties' performance of their continuing rights and obligations hereunder. The order and judgment will be deemed final only upon expiration of the time to appeal, or, if a Notice of Appeal is filed, upon exhaustion of all appeals and petitions for writs of certiorari. Such final order and judgment shall:
 - Dismiss with prejudice all claims for relief, liabilities, or causes of action, or complaints in the Action, whether known or unknown, as to the Released Persons;
 - b. Order that all SCMs are enjoined from asserting against any Released Person, any and all claims which the SCMs has, had, or may have in the future arising out of the facts alleged in the related complaints;
 - c. Release each Released Person from the claims which any SCM has, had or may have in the future, against such Released Person arising out of the facts alleged in the related complaints;
 - d. Determine that this Stipulation of Settlement is entered into in good faith, is reasonable, fair and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Class; and
 - e. Reserve the Court's continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Parties to this Stipulation of Settlement, including Defendants and all SCMs, to administer, supervise, construe and enforce the Stipulation of Settlement in accordance with the terms for the mutual benefit of all the Parties.
- 31. The Parties will take all necessary and appropriate steps to obtain preliminary approval of the Stipulation of Settlement, final approval of the settlement, and dismissal of the actions with prejudice.

If the Court finally approves this Stipulation of Settlement, and if there is an appeal from such decision, the Defendants will actively cooperate with Plaintiffs in joint efforts to defend the Stipulation of Settlement.

IV.

RESOLUTION AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES

- 32. The Parties have agreed that certain sums will be paid by or on behalf of Defendants to resolve all claims of all SCMs as described in **Paragraph 21**, and that the total of all such sums shall not exceed One Million, Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,750,000.00), including the fees and costs of Class Counsel and the cost of administration of this settlement.
- 33. Class Counsel and the SCMs, by and through the Representative Plaintiff, have determined that the following distribution of the sum described in **Paragraph 31** is appropriate, in which determination the Released Persons acquiesce: (1) up to Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000.00) will be allocated to pay verified claims of the SCMs; (2) One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) will be allocated to compensate Representative Plaintiff; (3) Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$800.000.00) will be allocated to pay class counsels' fees and costs subject to Court approval; and (4) Up to One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) will be allocated to pay the costs of administration, including all notices to the class and processing, administration and payment of the SCMs' claims. Any Administration costs that exceed \$150,000.00 allocated for costs of administration shall be the sole responsibility of the Plaintiffs. Distribution of the settlement amount is subject to the following terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement.
- 34. The parties understand and agree that, prior to a final Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel will file an application for approval of attorney's fees and reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$800,000, supporting that application with a memorandum of law and attaching exhibits documenting their time spent on the litigation, and that the Court will have the discretion to award whatever amount it considers appropriate, but in no event will Defendants be obligated to pay any amount in excess of \$800,000.
- 35. No payment shall be made to eligible SCMs before the Effective Date. Claims may be processed between the date of preliminary Court approval and the Effective Date. Claims will only be

paid after all verified Claims have been calculated and all disputes relating to Claims have been resolved.

- 36. No payment shall be paid to Class Counsel for fees and costs before the Effective Date as more fully described in **Paragraph 10**.
- 37. The Parties agree to make an application to the Court to appoint the Claims Administrator as an officer of the Court for the purpose of implementing the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement. The Administrator shall be subject to judicial immunity to the fullest extent permitted by law.

V.

PROCEDURES FOR RECEIVING PAYMENT UNDER THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

- 38. All SCMs who were booked at Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall during the class period who were strip-searched at intake pursuant to Defendants' blanket policy and practice of strip searching all such juveniles prior to their detention hearings, except for: 1) those who were strip searched at intake after being admitted for an alleged violation involving violence, drugs, or weapons (VDW offense); 2) those who had a prior history of being booked on VDW offenses; 3) those who were subject to parole or probationary search conditions at the time of the strip search; and 4) those who were transferred from another detention facility and thus were not under the constant supervision of a Contra Costa County employee, shall receive One Thousand, Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,750.00) for each such booking, for a maximum of two (2) bookings.
- 39. The Administrator shall determine whether or not a person who has submitted a Claim Form is an SCM and shall reject claims by persons who are not SCMs.
- 40. After all claims have been validated and values assigned to each claim, the Claims Administrator will total all claims. If the total value of all validated claims is equal to or less than Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000.00), claims shall be paid as set out in Paragraph 37. If the total value of all validated claims exceeds Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000.00), the value of each claim will be proportionately reduced so that the sum of all validated claims equals Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000.00), and such sums shall be paid out as set out in Paragraph 38.

///

- 41. Upon determination of the total amounts to be paid to all SCMs, the Administrator will notify the Parties of the amount of money necessary to satisfy all SCMs' claims and the Defendants will cause sufficient funds to be deposited in the Administrator's account within thirty (30) days of such notice to permit the Administrator to pay the verified claims.
- 42. Any SCM who fails to submit a Claim Form completed in accordance with the instructions contained therein by the Bar Date or any other Court mandated extension, shall be forever barred from receiving any payment pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement. Such SCM shall in all other respects be bound by all of the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, and the judgment entered herein, including but not limited to the release of all Released Persons of all claims resolved herein.
- 43. The Administrator will determine the value to be assigned to each eligible SCMs' claim based upon the Administrator's review of the Claim Forms and the information in the database provided by Defendants.
- 44. Claim Forms of all SCMs who have not attained the age of 18 at the time the Claim Form is submitted shall be signed by a parent or guardian, or by the minor if the minor does not have ready access to a parent or guardian at the time the claim form is submitted. The checks distributed to the SCMs who have not attained the age of 18 at the time the checks are mailed shall be made out jointly to the SCM and the parent or guardian, if any, who signs the Claim Form and the parent or guardian will be instructed that the Court ordered funds are to be placed in a blocked account until the minor turns 18. If the claim submitted was signed only by a minor SCM and the SCM is under the age of 18 at the time the checks are mailed, the check will be made payable to the Law Office of Mark E. Merin in trust for the SCM and mailed to the Law Office of Mark E. Merin to be held in trust for that minor until the minor attains the age of eighteen (18) at which time the funds shall be distributed to the SCM.

VI.

PAYMENT OF DEBTS OWED TO THE COUNTY FROM AWARDS

45. Any award to SCMs shall be subject to any Debts Owed to the County and child support. The Defendants shall provide to the Claims Administrator, with a copy to Class Counsel, a list of all qualified SCMs who have Debts Owed to the County as defined in **Paragraph 9** and who submit valid

28 | \\\

///

claims, with the amount of the Debt Owed to the County specified. The Claims Administrator is directed to deduct from each SCM's award the amount of the specified Debt Owed to the County, up to a maximum of fifty percent (50%) of the SCM's award. Copies of the information related to the reduction of claims awards shall be provided to the SCMs with copies to Class Counsel. Any SCM may submit a written objection to the Debt Owed to the County within thirty (30) days of the date that settlement checks are distributed. The written objection shall specify the grounds for the objection and copies shall be sent to Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel. In the event that the SCM, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel cannot informally resolve the objection then the objection will be submitted to the Special Master.

VII.

GENERAL CLAIM PROCEDURES

- 46. To receive payment, an SCM shall be required to submit to the Claims Administrator an executed Claim Form, signed under penalty of perjury. If the SCM has not attained the age of 18 by the time the Claim Form is submitted, the Claim Form must be submitted under penalty of perjury by a parent or guardian of the SCM if one is readily available to the SCM at the time the Claim Form is submitted. If a parent or guardian of an SCM under the age of eighteen (18) is not readily available to the SCM, the SCM may sign and submit the Claim Form under penalty of perjury.
- 47. The Claim Form shall be submitted by first class mail and shall be deemed submitted upon the date of the postmark thereon.
- 48. SCMs who submit claims and whose names appear on the database will be paid by mail at the address specified on the Claim Form. The Representative Plaintiff shall be deemed fully compensated by the distribution to her through Class Counsel of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, and she shall not be permitted or required to submit a Claim Form. The check for the Representative Plaintiff shall be made payable to "Casper, Meadows, Schwartz & Cook, Client Trust Account, Moyle, et al. vs. Contra Costa County."

VIII.

EXCLUSION FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

- 49. Any potential SCM who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must file a Request for Exclusion from the class with the Clerk of the Court, on or before the Bar Date or as the Court may otherwise direct. An SCM who has not attained the age of 18 at the time the Request for Exclusion is filed, must file such a request signed by the SCM's parent or guardian under penalty of perjury. The Representative Plaintiff will not request exclusion pursuant to this paragraph.
- 50. Any potential SCM who does not timely file a Request for Exclusion shall conclusively be deemed to have become an SCM and to be bound by this Stipulation of Settlement and by all subsequent proceedings, orders, and judgments herein.
- 51. Any SCM who does not elect to be excluded from the Settlement Class may, but need not, enter an appearance through his or her own attorney. SCMs who do not enter an appearance will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 52. The Defendants may, in their sole discretion, withdraw from the Stipulation of Settlement if the number of Opt Outs exceeds 10. Defendants will advise the Court of their election within 15 (fifteen) days prior to the fairness hearing. If Defendants withdraw pursuant to this provision of Stipulation of Settlement, the Stipulation of Settlement will be null and void.

IX.

OBJECTING TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

53. Any SCM who does not elect to be excluded from the Settlement Class may, but need not, submit comments or objections to the proposed settlement. The Court will enter an appropriate order setting forth the procedure for SCMs to submit comments or objections to the proposed settlement.

X.

ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS

54. Class Counsel's award of attorney's fees and costs shall be inclusive of any costs and fees incurred in seeking final approval of this Stipulation of Settlement and the defense thereof in any court or

11 12

1314

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

jurisdiction. Payment will be made as follows: one half of the total amount of attorney's fees and costs awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel will be paid within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date by check made payable to the Law Office of Mark E. Merin and delivered to Class Counsel at 2001 P Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95811. The remaining half of the total amount of attorney's fees and costs awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel will be paid at the time of distribution of settlement funds to the SCMs by delivery of a check made payable and delivered as specified above.

XI.

NOTICE

55. Notice to SCMs defined in Paragraph 15, including a Claim Form with a postage prepaid return envelope, shall be sent by the Administrator by first class mail, postage prepaid, to all individuals whose addresses are on record in databases maintained by Contra Costa County Probation Department and/or to such other, better addresses identified by the Administrator. Defendants will make reasonable and good faith efforts to provide such addresses to the Administrator, subject to the protective order referred to in **Paragraph 18.** Both Parties and the Administrator will exercise their reasonable efforts to update and to verify addresses, including but not limited to addresses of SCMs who are incarcerated. Further efforts to locate persons whose claim packets are returned as undeliverable, shall include, but not be limited to, advanced people finder searches, searches of databases of Contra Costa County juvenile institutions, databases of local jails and state prison systems, and the use of private investigation services. This paragraph shall not limit further appropriate efforts to provide notice, except that all costs incurred with complying with this or any other notice provision shall be deducted from the \$150,000 amount specified for Claims Administration, and in no event shall Contra Costa be required to pay any amount that exceeds the total aggregate amount of the \$1.75 million agreed to by the Parties in the Term Sheet.

///

\\\

\\\

///

XII.

POSTING OF NOTICE/DELIVERY OF CLAIM FORMS

- 56. A Summary Notice in a form substantially similar to that attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** shall be posted, prominently, in areas visible to inmates/detainees in the following institutions: Contra Costa County Juvenile Hall (aka John A. Davis Juvenile Hall), the Orin Allen Youth Rehabilitation Center (aka Byron Boys' Ranch), the Chris Adams Girls' Center and Contra Costa County Jails including but not limited to the Martinez Detention Facility, the West County Detention Facility, and the Marsh Creek Detention Facility. The Summary Notices shall be posted within seven (7) days of the Court's preliminary approval of this Stipulated Settlement and be posted continuously until the Bar Date. The Administrator will make available to Defendants for transmittal to each institution at which Summary Notices are published, sufficient numbers of Claim Forms and prepaid postage return envelopes so that the institutions posting notice may provide Claim Forms and prepaid postage return envelopes to persons requesting them.
- 57. Follow-up reminder post cards shall be sent by the Administrator by first class mail 30 days after the date of mailing of the initial notice described in paragraph 56, to the last known address of all SCMs who have not returned a Claim Form by that date.
- 58. The Administrator shall cause to be published in the Contra Costa Times and the West County Times, and in such free additional publications calculated to reach the SCMs, once a week in each of three consecutive weeks notices in a form and manner agreed to by the Parties describing this settlement, the claims procedure, and the procedure to object and/or to opt-out of the settlement. If the Parties cannot agree on the wording of the Notice, the Special Master will determine the content of the Notice to be published.
- 59. The parties shall have the option of making announcements summarizing the proposed settlement on each of the following radio stations at least six times during each of three successive weeks:

94.9 KYLD - WILD 94.9

106.1 KMEL – Hip Hop and R&B

107.7 KSAN – The Bone

60. The parties shall have the option of making an announcement of the proposed settlement by posting on two billboards in Contra Costa County for the duration of the claims period, provided such billboards are available and the costs would not result in the Claims Administration costs exceeding the budgeted maximum. To the extent that any cost incurred in Section XII exceed the \$150,000.00 allotted for Administration and Notice, Plaintiffs shall be solely responsible for payment of those additional costs.

XIII.

ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

- All reasonable costs incurred in the administration of this Stipulation of Settlement including, but not limited to, the fees of the Administrator, costs of disseminating notice to class members, by mail, publication, or other means agreed to by the Parties, costs of producing notice to be posted, costs of reviewing and evaluating claims, including the cost of distribution of the monetary payments to the class members, fees, if any, of the Special Master, and any additional ancillary administration fees will be paid up to the total amount of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) allocated for these expenses. Any Administrative Costs that exceed \$150,000.00 shall be the sole responsibility of Plaintiffs or their Counsel. In no event shall Contra Costa have any obligation to incur costs or make any additional payments of administrative costs that exceed the one time payment of \$150,000.00 allocated for the Administrative Costs in this Stipulation or any Preliminary or Final Settlement approved by the Court.
- 62. Following preliminary Court approval of the Stipulation of Settlement, the Administrator shall submit monthly invoices to Defendants, with copies to Class Counsel, for services rendered and for expense reimbursement. All invoices will indicate the dates upon which services were performed, the titles of the employees performing the services, the number of hours worked by each title on each date, the hourly rate for each such title, and the total fee for the services performed. The rates will be in accordance with the agreement between the Parties and the Claims Administrator.

///

1	63. In the event that the total charges against the Administration Fund exceed One Hundred			
2	Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), counsel for the Plaintiffs shall pay up to an additional Fifty			
3	Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) for claims administration. Should the total charges against the			
4	Administration Fund not exceed One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) after all Claims			
5	have been paid, and all Administration has been completed, any remaining funds will be returned to			
6	Defendant County of Contra Costa or its designee.			
7				
8		Respectfully submitted,		
9	DATED: August 31, 2009	LAW OFFICES OF MARK MERIN		
0				
1		BY: Mark Merin, Esq.		
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
13				
4	DATED: August 31, 2009	CASPER, MEADOWS, SCHWARTZ & COOK		
15		RY· /s/		
16		BY: /s/ Andrew C. Schwartz, Esq.		
17		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
18	DATED: August 31, 2009	McNAMARA, DODGE, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY,		
19		PFALZER, BORGES & BROTHERS LLP		
20		DV.		
21		BY: /s/ James V. Fitzgerald, III, Esq.		
22		Attorneys for Defendants		
23	DATED: August 31, 2009	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN, LLP		
24				
25		BY: /s/ Peter Obstler, Esq.		
26		Attorneys for Defendants		
27				
28				
		19		

ATTORNEY SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

Pursuant to General Order 45, section X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories as shown by the /s/ on their signature lines within this e-filed document.

DATED: August 31, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF MARK MERIN

BY: /s/
Mark Merin, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs