UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

TRUSSELL GEORGE, JR. Case No. 3:14-cv-00338

JUDGE JOHN W. deGRAVELLES

v.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RICHARD L. BOURGEOIS,

JR.

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT
OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND
CORRECTIONS ET AL.

JURY TRIAL

JURY VERDICT FORM

FIRST CLAIM - DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT BY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS ("DHH")

INTERROGATORY Number 1a	
Did Defendant, DHH, discriminate against Plaintif	f because of his
disabilities?	
Yes No	

(Regardless of your answer, proceed to Interrogatory Number 1b.)

INTERROGATORY Number 1b

Did Defendant, DHH, fail to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable

modification appropriate for his disabilities? Yes No
(If you answered "Yes," proceed to Interrogatory Number 1c. If you answered "No," proceed to Interrogatory Number 2a.)
INTERROGATORY Number 1c Has Defendant, DHH, proved that it would have been an undue hardship for it to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities? Yes No
(Regardless of whether you answered "Yes" or "No," proceed to Interrogatory Number 2a.)
SECOND CLAIM - DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT BY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS ("LDPSC") INTERROGATORY Number 2a Did Defendant, LDPSC, discriminate against Plaintiff because of his disabilities? Yes No
(Regardless of your answer, proceed to Interrogatory Number 2b.)
INTERROGATORY Number 2b Did Defendant, LDPSC, fail to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities? Yes No

highlighted instructions that appear after Interrogatory Number 2c.)

INTER	ROG	ATOR	N YS	lum	ber	2c
-------	-----	------	------	-----	-----	----

Has Defendant, LDPSC, proved that it would have been an undue hardship for it to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities?

Voc	No
Yes	No

(If you answered,

- 1. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 1a; or
- "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 1b and "No" to Interrogatory Number 1c; or
- 3. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 2a; or
- 4. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 2b **and** "No" to Interrogatory Number 2c

Proceed to Interrogatory Number 3.

Otherwise, STOP, sign and date the Jury Verdict Form, and alert the CSO.)

COMPENSATORY DAMAGES UNDER TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT

INTERROGATORY Number 3:

Do you find that the Plaintiff's incarcerations in 2013 and/or 2014 caused him damage?

\/	NIO
Yes	No

(If you marked "Yes," please proceed to Interrogatory Number 4. If you answered "No," then STOP, sign and date the Jury Verdict Form, and alert the CSO.)

INTERROGATORY Number 4

What amount will compensate Plaintiff for the damages, if any, he suffered as a result of the Defendant(s)' violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or Rehabilitation Act?

Answer in dollars and cents for the following items and none other:

1.			y harm, pain and suffering, inconv and enjoyment of life.	enience, mental
	AMO	UNT	\$	
2.			in and suffering, inconvenience, m of enjoyment of life.	ental anguish,
	AMO	UNT	\$	
		ou inp ber 5	outted any sum, please proceed to	Interrogatory
If yo Plain	u fou itiff a	ind ei	RY Number <u>5</u> ther or both Defendants liable and mpensatory damages, how do you fault between the two Defendants?	allocate the
		%	LDPSC	
		%	DHH	
		%	Total (must be 100%).	
	(Ple	ase si	gn the verdict form and hand it in.)