## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

TRUSSELL GEORGE, JR.
v.

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT JURY TRIAL OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS ET AL.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00338
JUDGE JOHN W. deGRAVELLES

MAGISTRATE JUDGE RICHARD L. BOURGEOIS, JR.

## JURY VERDICT FORM

## FIRST CLAIM - DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT BY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS ("DHH") <br> INTERROGATORY Number 1a <br> Did Defendant, DHH, discriminate against Plaintiff because of his disabilities? <br> $\qquad$ Yes <br> $\qquad$ No

(Regardless of your answer, proceed to Interrogatory Number 1b.)

INTERROGATORY Number 1b
Did Defendant, DHH, fail to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable
modification appropriate for his disabilities?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(If you answered "Yes," proceed to Interrogatory Number 1c. If you answered "No," proceed to Interrogatory Number 2a.)

## INTERROGATORY Number 1c

Has Defendant, DHH, proved that it would have been an undue hardship for it to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(Regardless of whether you answered "Yes" or "No," proceed to Interrogatory Number 2a.)

## SECOND CLAIM - DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT BY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS ("LDPSC")

INTERROGATORY Number 2a
Did Defendant, LDPSC, discriminate against Plaintiff because of his disabilities?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(Regardless of your answer, proceed to Interrogatory Number 2b.)

## INTERROGATORY Number 2b

Did Defendant, LDPSC, fail to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(If you answered "Yes," proceed to Interrogatory Number 2c. If you answered "No," then go to the
highlighted instructions that appear after Interrogatory
Number 2c.)

## INTERROGATORY Number 2c

Has Defendant, LDPSC, proved that it would have been an undue hardship for it to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable modification appropriate for his disabilities?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(If you answered,

1. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 1a; or
2. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 1b and "No" to Interrogatory Number 1c; or
3. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 2a; or
4. "Yes" to Interrogatory Number 2b and "No" to Interrogatory Number 2c

Proceed to Interrogatory Number 3.
Otherwise, STOP, sign and date the Jury Verdict Form, and alert the CSO.)

## COMPENSATORY DAMAGES UNDER TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT

INTERROGATORY Number 3:
Do you find that the Plaintiff's incarcerations in 2013 and/or 2014 caused him damage?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
(If you marked "Yes," please proceed to Interrogatory Number 4. If you answered "No," then STOP, sign and date the Jury Verdict Form, and alert the CSO.)

## INTERROGATORY Number 4

What amount will compensate Plaintiff for the damages, if any, he suffered as a result of the Defendant(s)' violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or Rehabilitation Act?

Answer in dollars and cents for the following items and none other:

1. Past bodily harm, pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and enjoyment of life.

## AMOUNT \$

$\qquad$
2. Future pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.

AMOUNT \$ $\qquad$
(If you inputted any sum, please proceed to Interrogatory Number 5.)

## INTERROGATORY Number 5

If you found either or both Defendants liable and awarded the Plaintiff any compensatory damages, how do you allocate the percentage of fault between the two Defendants?
$\qquad$ \% LDPSC
$\qquad$ \%
DHH
$\qquad$ \% Total (must be 100\%).
(Please sign the verdict form and hand it in.)

