1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 5 AT SEATTLE 6 7 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION No. C09-0642RSL OF WASHINGTON, 8 9 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING SECOND v. 10 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 11 Defendant. 12 13 On May 19, 2011, the Court found that it has jurisdiction to evaluate the merits of 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 its entirety. 22

the Transportation Security Administration's designation of information as "sensitive security information." Dkt. # 61 at 5 n.3. Defendant filed a second motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 63) in which it argues that "while this Court has authority to determine whether 49 U.S.C. § 114(f) is an Exemption 3 statute and whether TSA has in fact designated the withheld materials as SSI under § 114(r)," jurisdiction to review the validity of TSA's designation of the information as SSI lies solely in the Circuit Courts of Appeal pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 46110. Defendant requests that the Court amend its previous order to delete the jurisdictional analysis in

Having reviewed the memoranda submitted by the parties, defendant's second motion for reconsideration is GRANTED. The Court's May 19, 2011, "Order Granting in Part Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration" (Dkt. # 61) is hereby amended to delete footnote 3.

ORDER GRANTING SECOND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

23

24

25

26

Dated this 20th day of June, 2011.

Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge