Case 3:17-cv-01799-JSC Document 14 Filed 05/19/17 Page 1 of 8

1	Marcia Hofmann (SBN 250087)						
2	ZEITGEIST LAW PC 25 Taylor St.						
3	San Francisco, CA 94102						
4	Email: marcia@zeitgeist.law Telephone: (415) 830-6664						
5	Attorney for Plaintiff CORA CURRIER						
6							
7	UNITED STATES DI	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
9	SAN FRANCISC	CO DIVISION					
10							
11	CORA CURRIER,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01799					
12	Plaintiff,						
13	v.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT					
14	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, $)$	FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF					
15	DEPARTMENT OF STATE,						
16	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,						
17	and)						
18	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,						
19	Defendants.						
20							
21 22	1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C.						
23	552, for injunctive and other appropriate relief.	Plaintiff Cora Currier seeks the expedited					
	processing and release of records requested from the Department of Homeland Security						
24	Department of State, Department of Justice, as	nd Department of Defense concerning the					
25	development and execution of travel restrictions l	barring nationals of several Muslim-majority					
26	countries from entering the United States. Ms. C	Currier is statutorily entitled to the expedited					
27	treatment she seeks.						
28							
	di						

PARTIES 1 2. Plaintiff Cora Currier is an employee of First Look Media Works, Inc. and a staff 2 reporter for The Intercept, an online news and journalism platform. Ms. Currier has covered 3 national security, counterterrorism, and immigration at The Intercept since 2014. She resides in 4 Marin County, California. 5 3. Defendant Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") is a Department of the 6 Executive Branch of the United States Government. DHS is an "agency" within the meaning of 5 7 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1). Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") is a component of DHS. 8 9 4. Defendant Department of State ("DOS") is a Department of the Executive Branch of the United States Government. DOS is an "agency" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 10 552(f)(1). 11 5. Defendant Department of Justice ("DOJ") is a Department of the Executive 12 Branch of the United States Government. DOJ is an "agency" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 13 552(f)(1). The Office of the Attorney General, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Office of 14 Legislative Affairs, Office of Legal Policy, Office of Legal Counsel, Office of Public Affairs, 15 U.S. Marshals Service, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, and Federal Bureau of 16 17 Investigation are components of DOJ. 6. Defendant Department of Defense ("DOD") is a Department of the Executive 18 Branch of the United States Government. DOD is an "agency" within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 19 20 552(f)(1). 21 **JURISDICTION** 22 7. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal 23 jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(a)(4)(B) and 552(a)(6)(C)(i). This Court 24 also has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 25 VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT 26 8. Venue is proper in this district under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. §

27

28

1391(e).

9. Assignment to the San Francisco division is proper pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c) and (d) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district and division, where Ms. Currier resides and works.

BACKGROUND

The Executive Orders Banning Nationals of Several Muslim-Majority Countries From Entering the United States

- 10. On January 27, 2017, President Trump signed Executive Order No. 13,769 entitled "Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States," which took immediate effect. The executive order was issued without any notice-and-comment period or interagency review.
- 11. The executive order banned nationals of Iraq, Syria, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, and Yemen from entering the United States for 90 days, suspended entry of all refugees for 120 days, and indefinitely banned Syrian refugees from entering the country.
- 12. The executive order attracted intense media attention from around the world and sparked protests throughout the United States.
- 13. Within days, more than a dozen lawsuits were filed across the country challenging the constitutionality of the travel restrictions. Several district courts issued temporary injunctive relief barring enforcement of Executive Order No. 13,769, including an order entered by the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington and upheld by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on February 9, 2017. These courts found that the travel restrictions were motivated by a desire to ban Muslims from entering the country, and there was a substantial likelihood that the order violated the Establishment Clause. The district court injunctions remain in effect.
- 14. On March 6, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order No. 13,780, which was to become effective and revoke Executive Order No. 13,769 on March 16, 2017. Like its predecessor, the new order aimed to restrict nationals of several predominantly Muslim countries from entering the United States.
 - 15. Before Executive Order No. 13,780 could take effect, federal district courts in

3

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Maryland and Hawai'i issued injunctions to block portions of it on constitutional grounds, again finding a substantial likelihood that the order violated the Establishment Clause.

16. Litigation over both Executive Order 13,769 and 13,780 remains ongoing in courts throughout the country, including before the Fourth Circuit and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeal. A key issue in this litigation is whether the executive orders intentionally targeted individuals based on their religion in violation of the First Amendment.

Plaintiff's First Set of Freedom of Information Act Requests and **Requests for Expedited Processing**

- On February 1, 2017, just five days after President Trump issued Executive Order 17. No. 13,769, Ms. Currier and her Intercept colleague Jenna McLaughlin submitted a FOIA request to DHS for records concerning the agency's analysis and implementation of the executive order from January 20, 2017 to the date of the request, specifically identifying CBP as an agency component whose records should be searched.
- 18. On February 1, 2017, Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin submitted a FOIA request to DOS for records about the agency's analysis and implementation of Executive Order No. 13,769 from January 20, 2017 to the date of the request.
- 19. On February 1, 2017, Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin submitted FOIA requests for records about the DOJ's analysis and implementation of Executive Order No. 13,769 located within the Office of the Attorney General, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Office of Legislative Affairs, and U.S. Marshals Service from January 20, 2017 to the date of the request.
- 20. Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin formally requested that the processing of all these requests be expedited because they pertain to information about which there is "[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity," and were "made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II).
- Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin also requested that the requests to DHS and DOJ 21. components be expedited pursuant to agency regulations because they involve "[a] matter of

Case 3:17-cv-01799-JSC Document 14 Filed 05/19/17 Page 5 of 8

1	widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the			
2	government's integrity which affect public confidence." 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e)(1)(iv) and 28 C.F.R. §			
3	16.5(e)(1)(iv).			
4	22. By letter dated February 13, 2017, DHS acknowledged receipt of Ms. Currier and			
5	Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA request and granted expedited processing.			
6	23. By letter dated February 9, 2017, DOS acknowledged receipt of Ms. Currier and			
7	Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA request and granted expedited processing.			
8	24. By email dated February 13, 2017, the DOJ Office of Information Policy asked			
9	whether the requesters would like a search conducted in the DOJ Office of Public Affairs in			
10	response to their request. They responded that they would like such a search to be performed.			
11	25. By letter dated February 14, 2017, the DOJ Office of Legal Counsel			
12	acknowledged receipt of Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA request and granted expedited			
13	processing.			
14	26. By letter dated February 17, 2017, the DOJ Office of Information Policy			
15	acknowledged receipt of Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA requests to the DOJ Offices of			
16	the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Legislative Affairs, and Public Affairs. The DOJ			
17	Office of Information Policy granted expedited processing on behalf of these components.			
18	27. By email dated February 17, 2017, DHS CBP acknowledged receipt of Ms.			
19	Currier and Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA request.			
20	28. By letter dated March 28, 2017, the U.S. Marshals Service acknowledged receipt			
21	of Ms. Currier and Ms. McLaughlin's FOIA request and denied expedited processing. The			
22	requesters appealed the denial to the DOJ Office of Information Policy by letter dated March 29,			
23	2017. The DOJ Office of Information Policy reversed the decision in a letter emailed to the			
24	plaintiff's counsel on April 4, 2017 and granted expedited processing.			
25	//			
26	//			
27	//			
28				

2

3 4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's Second Set of Freedom of Information Act Requests and **Requests for Expedited Processing**

- 29. On April 3, 2017, after the issuance of Executive Order No. 13,780, Ms. Currier submitted a FOIA request to DHS for records concerning the agency's analysis and implementation of Executive Order Nos. 13,769 and 13,780 from February 1, 2017 to the date of the request. Ms. Currier specifically identified CBP and the Office of the Inspector General as agency components whose records should be searched.
- 30. On April 3, 2017, Ms. Currier submitted a FOIA request to DOS for records concerning the analysis and implementation of Executive Order Nos. 13,769 and 13,780 from February 1, 2017 to the date of the request.
- 31. On April 3, 2017, Ms. Currier submitted FOIA requests for records about the DOJ's analysis and implementation of Executive Order Nos. 13,769 and 13,780 located within the Office of the Attorney General, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Office of Public Affairs, Office of Legal Counsel, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, and Federal Bureau of Investigation from February 1, 2017 to the date of the request.
- 32. On April 3, 2017, Ms. Currier submitted a FOIA request to DOD for records about the agency's analysis of the impact of Executive Order Nos. 13,769 and 13,780 from January 20, 2017 to the date of the request.
- Ms. Currier formally requested that the processing of all these requests be expedited because they pertain to information about which there is "[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity," and were "made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II).
- 34. Ms. Currier also requested that the requests to DHS and DOJ components be expedited pursuant to agency regulations because they involve "[a] matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity which affect public confidence." 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(e)(1)(iv) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv).
- 35. By letter dated April 4, 2017, DHS acknowledged receipt of Ms. Currier's FOIA request and granted expedited processing.

	CAUSE OF ACTION
	Violation of the Freedom of Information Act for Wrongful Withholding of Agency Records
	46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-45.
	47. Defendants have wrongfully withheld agency records requested by Plaintiff by
	failing to comply with the statutory time limit for the processing of FOIA requests.
	48. Plaintiff has exhausted the applicable administrative remedies with respect to
	Defendants' wrongful withholding of the requested records.
	49. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief with respect to the release and disclosure of
	the requested documents.
	REQUESTED RELIEF
	Plaintiff prays that this Court:
	A. Order Defendants DHS, DOS, DOJ and DOD to process immediately the requested
	records in their entirety;
	B. Order Defendants DHS, DOS, DOJ, and DOD upon completion of such expedited
	processing, to disclose the requested records in their entirety and make copies available to
	Plaintiff;
	C. Provide for expeditious proceedings in this action;
	D. Award Plaintiff her costs and reasonable attorneys fees incurred in this action; and
	E. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
	DATED: May 19, 2017 By /s/ Marcia Hofmann
	Marcia Hofmann ZEITGEIST LAW PC
	25 Taylor Street San Francisco, CA 94102
	Email: marcia@zeitgeist.law Telephone: (415) 830-6664
	Attorney for Plaintiff CORA CURRIER
	THOMES TO THEMEN COUNTY
	-8-
п	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	S DISTRICT COURT for the
	strict of California
Cora Currier)))
Plaintiff(s) V. Department of Homeland Security, Department of State, Department of Justice, and Department of Defense)) Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01799))
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
Department of Defense Office of General Counsel 1400 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-14	400
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. swer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
Marcia Hofmann Zeitgeist Law PC 25 Taylor St. San Francisco, CA 94102	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01799

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)							
		on (date)	; or				
☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)					
, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
designated by law to a	eccept service of process on bene	on (date)	; or				
		Off (date)	- , 01				
☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; 0				
☐ Other (specify):							
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.					
		Server's signature					
		Printed name and title					
		Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: