H(2168)83

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

MAR 3 - 1983

CRATON LIDDELL, et al.,	eyvon mendenhall, clerk u. s. district court.
Plaintiffs,	E. DISTRICT OF MO.
v.	No. 72-100C(4)
THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS, STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,	
Defendants.	j

NUNC PRO TUNC

Court order H(2159)83, dated March 2, 1983, is amended <a href="https://nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.nunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.tunc.pro.t

This matter is before the Court on the "agreement in principle" in which twenty-two St. Louis County school districts and plaintiffs have entered, and on the statements of position regarding that agreement. See H(2141)83, dated February 22, 1983.

As so aptly stated by United States Supreme Court Justice Reed, "It is just as important that there should be a place to end as that there should be a place to begin litigation." Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U.S. 165, 172 (1938).

This case is in its twelfth year. To insure the broadest protection of public interest, this Court, on August 24, 1981, appointed as amicus curiae, Ms. Shulamith Simon, an able, distinguished, and respected member of the St. Louis Bar. In the last seven months, enormous sums of time, energy, and money have

been expended on discovery, production of documents, and other matters necessarily related to trial preparation for the 12(c) liability phase of this case. To introduce new parties and new issues at this penultimate hour would ill serve the cause of justice or education.

Having considered the interests expressed by certain members of the public, and since the parties' agreement is now of record,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the public may file with the Clerk of the Court, on or before March 16, 1983, an original and two copies of written comments pertaining to the "agreement in principle" and statements of position. Contemporaneously, the person or group filing a comment shall certify that a copy of the comment was mailed the same day to each party and committee listed on the Court's mailing list, including the Special Master. The name and address of the party or group submitting the written comment shall appear on the comment.

Dated this 3rd day of March, 1983.

ONTIES STATES DISTRICT CODG

H(2159)83

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

FILED

CRATON LIDDELL, et al.,)

Plaintiffs,) EYVON MENDENHALL, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT.
E. DISTRICT OF MO.

v.) No. 72-100C(4)

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION
OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS,
STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the "agreement in principle" in which twenty-two St. Louis County school districts and plaintiffs have entered, and on the statements of position regarding that agreement. See H(2141)83, dated February 22, 1983.

As so aptly stated by United States Supreme Court Justice Reed, "It is just as important that there should be a place to end as that there should be a place to begin litigation." Stoll v. Gottlieb, 305 U.S. 165, 172 (1938).

This case is in its twelfth year. To insure the broadest protection of public interest, this Court, on August 24, 1981, appointed as amicus curiae, Ms. Shulamith Simon, an able, distinguished, and respected member of the St. Louis Bar. In the last seven months, enormous sums of time, energy, and money have been expended on discovery, production of documents, and other matters necessarily related to trial preparation for the 12(c)

liability phase of this case. To introduce new parties and new issues at this penultimate hour would ill serve the cause of justice or education.

Having considered the interests expressed by certain members of the public, and since the parties' agreement is now of record,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the public may file with the Clerk of the Court, on or before March 16, 1983, written comments pertaining to the "agreement in principle" and statements of position. The person or group filing a comment shall promptly mail a copy of the comment to each party and committee listed on the Court's mailing list, including the Special Master. filed comment shall indicate to whom the materials were mailed and the date of such mailing.

Dated this 2nd day of March, 1983.