

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
JACKSON DIVISION



JOHN DOE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs

v.

SETH HUDSPETH, et al.,  
Defendants

CIVIL ACTION

NO. J75-36 (C)

O R D E R

This cause having come before this Court on plaintiffs' and defendants' joint motion for continuance, and the Court having fully considered same, is of the opinion that the motion is well taken and should be granted. Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be and is hereby continued until the defendants have completed the previously commenced repair and renovation of the ten (10) residential cottages and the activity building of the Hudspeth Center, or until July 1, 1978, which ever is earlier.

ORDERED this the 18 day of February, 1977.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Agreed as to form:


  
TIMMIE HANCOCK


  
MICHAEL B. TRISTER

  
EDWIN A. SNYDER

  
STEVEN GOODE

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
JAMES P. BRANTLEY  
Attorneys for Defendants



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
JACKSON DIVISION

JOHN DOE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs

CIVIL ACTION  
NO. J75-36 (C)

v.

SETH HUDSPETH, et al.,  
Defendants

JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

This cause coming on to be heard on joint motion  
of the parties, ore tenue, for dismissal of this action,  
without prejudice, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this cause be and  
hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED this 29 day of May 1980.

Harvey G. Henderson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

Barry H. Powell  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

James F. Brantley  
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS