Q.

Q.

23

24

25

MORNING SESSION

THE CLERK: Civil actions 44309 and 44706, Robert 2 Charles Jordan, Jr. versus C. J. Fitzharris, et al for further evedenciary hearing. MR. COHLER: Ready for the Plaintiff and Petitioner. 4 MR. GRANUCCI: Ready for the Defendants, Your Honor. MR. COHLER: Please call Sergeant William Thomas Frederick. WILLIAM THOMAS FREDERICK. called as a witness by the Plaintiff, who, being first duly 9 sworn, testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please state your full name and address. 10 THE WITNESS: William Thomas Frederick, Correctional 11 12 Sergeant, Soledad Correctional Facility. Thank you. THE CLERK: 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COHLER: MR. COHLER: Q. Sergeant Frederick, do you pronounce 16 your name as if there was an "s" at the end, as "Fredericks"? 18 Α. I do. 19 And you are presently employed at the Correctional Facility in Soledad, California? 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22

About how long have you been so employed?

Sergeant, I would like to review your recent positions

About nine years the 9th of this month.

with you, please. Were you a Relief Adjustment Center

- Sergeant for one year in 1963, and 1964?
- A. I believe so. I don't remember the exact dates, but I
- 5 believe so.
- 4. And following that, Sergeant, were you Third Watch Relief
- 5 Sergeant for about 12 or 14 months until June of 1965?
- A. Yes, sir.
- q: Q. And since June of 1965, Sergeant, have you been the
- 8 Second Watch Adjustment Center Sergeant on O-Wing, and also
- 9 Second Watch Sergeant in A-Wing?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Is Unit Three of the institution composed of three wings,
- 12:0, A, and X-Wings?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Within Unit Three is there Orientation and so-called
- 15 Close-Custody Wing?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. And also within Unit Three, do O-Wing and X-Wing
- 18 presently comprise what is known as the Adjustment Center?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Since June of 1965, when you were assigned?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. On the first floor of O-Wing there are two corridors,
- 23 is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

Q. East and West?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And the cells off the east corridor are known as Maximum Security or "Max Row"?
- A. That terminology has been -- well, it's known actually -- actually it's the Grade I Section, but this has been -
 THE COURT: Please speak louder.

THE WITNESS: Actually it's the Grade I Section, the east side is the Grade I Section of the Adjustment Center, but the Terminology "Max Row" comes from sometime back. We continued to use this terminology, but it's not correct.

Q. Explain what Grade I means.

11

17

24

- A. The Adjustment Center is comprised of two buildings, 0-Wing and X-Wing, and Grade I is the first floor, Grade II comprises the second and third floors of 0-Wing, and Grade III comprises the X-Wing, the program section of the Adjustment Center.
 - Q. What does that mean, Sergeant, please?
- A. That is the section where inmates are offered a program;
 it is a graduated process. They may begin in O-Wing and
 graduate to X-Wing. They have opportunities for academic
 instruction, arts and crafts, yard facilities. They have more
 exercise, more other privileges in terms of visits and canteen
 privileges and so forth.
 - Q. What part of the program, as you described it, which is available in X-Wing and which deals with such matters as

- A. Would you repeat your question?
- Q. Certainly I will. Is there a part of the program, as you have described it, which is available in X-Wing but not in U-Wing?
- A. No, sir.

19.

20

21

22

- Q. No educational program?
- A. Well, occasionally some inmates will request cell
 gorrespondence courses, and at the present time we do not have
 an instructor. When I said that the inmates are authorized
 arts and crafts and academic courses in X-Wing, they have also,
 until recently -- our instructor transferred and the institution
 has been unable to hire a replacement, but when the instructor
 was here he would, on his own, go into 0-Wing and make
 arrangements for cell correspondence courses to inmates that
 requested same.
- Q. Would that be true of inmates detained on the first floor 18 of U-Wing as well?
 - A. Not in the isolation section.
 - Q. That is on the other side?
 - A. On the west side, correct.
 - Q. And that has been true since at least June of 1965?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And how many cells are there all together on the west side, the isolation section?

- A. A total of 24 cells.
- Q. And that has been true since at least June, 1965, when you were assigned?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are there lo cells at the forward part of that west corridor which are known as isolation cells?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. And are there six other cells at the rear of that west corridor on the first floor, sometimes known as quiet cells and sometimes strip cells?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And is that true since at least June, 1965?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. You personally sometimes refer to these rear cells as quiet cells and sometimes as strip cells?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, as best as you can recollect, Sergeant, was the usage picked up from jargon of the inmates?
- 19 A. As far as I am concerned, yes.
- Q. Since June, 1965, have your responsibilities included those of Second Watch Sergeant for both O-Wing and for A-Wing?
- 22 A. Yes.

- Q. And have you spent at least part of each day on duty in volume?
 - A. I don't spend as much in A-Wing. Most of my time is

- involved in U-Wing, but I do check into A-Wing every day.
 - Q. Do you make weekly inspections?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. You go to A-Wing at least once on a given day?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you also conduct an orientation class in A-Wing?
 - A. This is correct.
- Q. In the nature of custodial orientation where various rules are explained to inmates?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. You may explain more fully if you wish of what this consists of.
- 13 A. Well, we make them aware of certain rules that are
 14 necessary in the various housing units throughout the institu15 tion regarding count procedures, where they may walk, where they
 16 can go and unlocks and lock-ups, and the yard, and recreation
 17 facilities; and generally questions are asked concerning how
 18 long they are going to be there. It is a five-week orientation
 19 course and the classification process is explained somewhat at
 20 their request. I don't ordinarily do this. My orientation is
 21 rather brief. It depends on the group. It is a weekly group.
 22 There is a total of five groups and each week I give a lecture,
 23 you might say, to each group. Some groups are more talkative
 24 than others. The class may be 15 or 20 minutes. Some classes
 25 as much as an hour, hour-and-a-half, but we do have different

- 1 staff members throughout the institution -- the psychiatrists --
- 2 to make -- recently has a recording and the gentleman that
- 3 works for him comes down and makes his recording -- mail and
- visiting officers, and the Associate Warden makes an
- 5 orientation lecture, the various --
- Q. You refer to the psychiatrist. Is that a gentleman by
- 7 the name of Dr. Hack, H-a-c-k?
- 8 A. Right.
- Q. Is he a consulting psychiatrist?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you now stated all your duties which would require your making a daily check, a weekly inspection, and an orienta-
- 13 tion weekly?
- 14 A. Well, I check with the officer every day. There may be
- 15 some inmates that have problems that he can't handle. He might
- 16 refer them to me. Invariably he calls me on the telephone about
- 17 something. Normally there is correctional counselor that
- 18 resides during the eight hours with the officer; because of this
- 19 I dedicate most of my time to 0-Wing, but I do check in every
- 20 |cay.

- Q. These duties take approximately less than half your time,
- 22 don't they?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And has that been generally the case since June, 1965?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- Sergeant Frederick, would you please describe your 1 1 responsibility as Adjustment Center Sergeant in O-Wing as it has 5 been since June, 1965?
- My duties on O-Wing consist of the safe-keeping, the welfare, feeding and housing of all inmates. Also I assist in maintaining the place in a clean, sanitary condition. Additiony fally I set classification processes, and, also, I supervise A-Wing 8 as much as I possibly can.
- Is part of your responsibility, Sergeant, as Adjustment 10 Center Sergeant in O-Wing to train officers who have already 11 served a general institution training for the particular needs 12 in U-Wing?
- I am not the personnel training officer, but being 14 a supervisor I am required to help the officers do their jobs There are certain situations peculiar --15 properly.
- What are those certain factors which are peculiar to 17 the Adjustment Center's setting which you try to point out?

Well, the Adjustment Center is a unique situation. 19 is an institution within an institution and people that are 20 confined there are unable to adjust in a general population. 21 They cannot, perhaps, get along with their peers and staff They invariably violate the rules and regulations of the institution. Many of them are dangerous, have inflicted physical harm, have killed other people. Many of them may not have done so, but they have the potential for it. And, generally inmates that are confined in there are for segregation
purposes to enable -- all institutions, to give the majority of
the general population, the majority of the inmates the
opportunity to progress and try to help themselves while they
are in prison, it becomes necessary to segregate a very small
minority and place them under more stern control where they can
one observed closely and eventually try to get them out into

Because we are dealing with this type of people, it is obvious that all officers should be very careful, should be very lobservant in the course of their duties. Cell searches must be conducted; they must observe the behavior of the inmates. This is constantly stressed. The custodial functions, the observation of people, the situation where information is relayed to one another, perhaps a person's behavior will regress and it is necessary to bring it to the attention of the psychiatrist, for example; it is a number of things. I can't think of everything at this particular moment.

- Q. Sergeant, you spoke of some inmates who had various characteristics which you say would require their being in the Adjustment Center because they have the potential for being dangerous.
 - A. Yes.

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. How is that potential judged? Through what processes is it determined that the potential exists for a given inmate?

- A. Yes. I am not an expert on everything whenever dealing with people and sometimes we can have a wrong interpretation when we initially see a person, but it is when we begin to know him, begin having personal knowledge and information that is available in the file, the way the man has behaved in the general population the man may not have committed serious violations in terms of causing some person physical harm, but he may be a management problem; he may, where there in no motivation for him to take advantage of the program that is available to him, and he is constantly getting in trouble gambling or various other illegal activities that require separation for his own welfare and to try to help him understand what his problem is and eventually —
- Q. You mentioned a man who may have indulged in gambling.

 15 Would that be considered in the nature that he ought to be

 16 considered for confinement?
- 17 A. Un some occasions, yes; other occasions, no. It depends on the extent.
- Q. Sergeant, in the course of trying to pass on to your officers in the Adjustment Center that information which is peculiarly important to work in the Adjustment Center, do you, from time to time, give any instructions or offer any advice with respect to something known as a Suicide Watch?
 - A. Yes, sir, occasionally.

25

Q. Would you tell the Court about that, please?

1.154

Well, 1'm not -- in the course of my duties I'm not 1 authorized to strip any inmates unless I receive approval or authorization from my superiors. However, there are a few occasions when it's a matter of judgment; perhaps my superiors are not there, an inmate has suffered self-mutilation, or perhaps some other suicidal attempt, and my own judgment -- if I feel he should be referred to the psychiatrist. Normally I do so because I am not a psychiatrist and I figure that a 9 qualified person -- the case should be referred to a more cualified person than I am, and if my superiors are not present I use my own judgment for the safety of the inmate and may strip 12 him and place him on Suicide Watch. I would immediately notify 13 my superior and make arrangements for Dr. Hack to see him. How often does Dr. Hack visit the institution -- and I 15 ask you to tell the Court about the period June, July, 1965? I believe Dr. Hack's hours of duty are five days a week 17 from 5:00 o'clock in the morning till noon. Of course he is the 18 consulting psychiatrist for all three facilities, approximately 19 3,000--3500 inmates. He has a pressing schedule and he is only 20 one psychiatrist. I believe those are his hours, to the best of 21 my knowledge. Occasionally he is unable to -- because of demands placed on him -- to immediately see an inmate. He tries his best. He makes himself available as much as possible, 24 particularly on emergency cases.

Is there a record or a notation or a document of any

. 25

- 1 sort down in the Adjustment Center which makes available to you
- 2 at any given time the information as to where Dr. Hack may be
- 3 reached?
- A. Excuse me; in any writing, where he may be reached?
- Q. Is there any way at all that you have immediately at
- 6 hand, a way of finding out where Dr. Hack may be at that
- 7 moment?
- A. First I will call the hospital. He has an office in
- 9 the hospital and if he is not there he leaves word where he
- 10 might be reached somewhere in the institution.
- Q. Sergeant, as part of your responsibility -- well, let
- 12 me ask you this. Would you say that, aside from the general
- 13 institutional training which each officer receives, that yours
- 14 is the primary responsibility for training the officers in the
- 15 Adjustment Center for the peculiar needs of the Adjustment
- 16 | Center?
- 17 A. Yes, I would think that I would be directly responsible
- 18 for that.
- Q. And, in addition, the responsibility to see that your
- officers do, in actual practice, follow the rules?
- A. As best as is possible, yes.
- Q. And as the Adjustment Center Sergeant who has worked
- 23 with your officers, you are generally able to express an opinion
- to other personnel about an officer's ability?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. You do your best to correct officers if rules are not being properly headed or if standard practices are not being
- 3 followed, and you try to follow all of the rules?
 - A. Yes, sir, I try.
- Q. And to maintain performance of all policies and procedures?
 - A. I try my best.

15

16

21

24

- Q. Sergeant, do you, in your own individual discretion,
 glaced which rules or practices are to be followed and which can
 lo be ignored?
- 11 A. I don't understand your question. I am sorry.
- 12 Q. Do you exercise your own judgment in your own individual 13 discretion to decide what rules should be followed or what
- 14 rules should not?
 - A. I try to follow the rules and policies as well as I can.
 - Q. You treat each rule and policy with the same respect?
- 17 A. Well, all policies should be respected. It is my job 18 to see that they are carried out as well as I possibly can.
- Q. You try to see that you and your officers do what is supposed to be done?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In July, 1965, was there an officer named Hoagland working for you on the first floor of 0-Wing?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And at that time was there another officer working for

- you named Spoon?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And in July, 1965, the Program Administrator for Unit
- 4 TII was your immediate superior on the Second Watch?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And at that time was Mr. Johnston Program Administrator
- 7 for Unit III to whom you reported directly?
- A. Yes.
- 9. Q. And would you report to Mr. Kiepura from time to time if
- 10 12. Johnston was absent?
- 11 A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Sergeant, to your knowledge do you know to whom Mr.
- 13 Johnston, the Program Administrator, was directly responsible?
- A. At that time I believe -- I may be wrong -- Mr. Donnelly.
- 15 I don't remember when he became Deputy Superintendent. In any
- 16 event, if he was Superintendent at that time, Mr. Johnston
- 17 would report to him.
- 18 ୍ଷ୍ଟି Sergeant, Officer Spoon had been an officer on the
- 19 Second Watch in O-Wing, first floor, when you first were assigned
- 20 to the Adjustment Center?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And Officer Spoon remained there dealing with inmates
- 23 on both the east side and the west side of the first floor at
- 24 least until July, 1965, did he?
 - A. Yes, in relief capacity.

- Q. And, in fact, Mr. Spoon remained in that capacity girectly under your own supervision until about Spring time of this year, 1966?

 A. I believe so. I don't remember the exact date.

 Q. Do you recall that it was perhaps in April, 1966, that
- o Officer Spoon was re-assigned out of the Adjustment Center?
- A. I believe that was the date, somewhere around April or a March or something. I don't recall exactly.
- Q. Was Officer Spoon, who had been working under you and with whom you had been working in the Adjustment Center, relassigned without your having been consulted at all?
- 12 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. And had you personally been satisfied with his performance of his duties?
- 15 A. Yes, I was.
- 16 Q. You had not recommended that he be transferred?
- 17 A. No, sir, I didn't.
- Q. Was the re-assignment of Officer Spoon the cause of concern to the other officer for the job security?
- A. Well, some of the officers felt a little bad about it, 21 yes.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, I will object, hearsay.

 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. COHLER: Q. In your experience as Adjustment Center
 Sergeant and working for almost nine years at the institution,

would you say that officers are generally concerned about their job security? Generally in terms of -- well, let's put it this way. 4 That is a difficult question to answer. One enters the correctional field -- we are all aware of the fact that we are subjected to work any and all hours, long hours at a time. 7 Generally, however, when you begin to obtain a little bit of 8 seniority, a little bit of experience and you work nights for 9 several years and then finally you begin to work days, and if 10 you are family man, as most people are, working nights, can't Il see your children and your family, working days you can be with 12 them. There is no school in the evening for the children and 13 you can't spend some nights at home. If you have been working 14 days and then all of a sudden you get a change without any 15 notification or anything you might be a little bit concerned. 16 If you are notified well in advance then it shouldn't alter your plans. You have to expect this. But everyone would like to make plans, vacations and what-have-you, particularly in the 19 summer time; and if you are going to get a job-change from one 20 day to the next without any notification you would be a little bit concerned. But, normally, you wouldn't be. 22 You hadn't thought that Officer Spoon should be transfer-

It's just my personal opinion. I did not think he

red out of the Adjustment Center, had you?

24

No.

should be.

- Q. Indeed, when you learned of this, didn't you ask your own superior, Mr. Johnston, why Officer Spoon had been moved out of the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, I did.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

- Q. And Mr. Johnston did not -MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, hearsay.
- MR. COHLER: Your Honor, this is a defendant in this case. I believe it is an admission.
- MR. GRANUCCI: I know, Your Honor, but the ordinary rules would require -- if counsel wants to take advantage of the additions and exceptions to the hearsay rule, the ordinary foundation will show time, place and persons present.

THE COURT: You may establish.

- MR. COHLER: Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Johnston regarding the transfer of Officer Spoon?
 - A. Officer Spoon was not transferred. He was re-assigned.
 - Q. I beg your pardon. Do you recall any such conversation?
- A. Yes? I don't recall exactly verbatim what took place, but I did ask him why Mr. Spoon was re-assigned, yes.
- Q. And that was while you both were on duty at the
- A. Yes, sir.

institution?

- Q. And what did Mr. Johnston tell you, if anything, about what he knew as to the re-assignment of Officer Spoon?
 - A. Well, he eventually told me.

- Would you tell me what he told you at that particular time?
 - He didn't know himself at that particular time. A_{\bullet}
- Did he tell you he had checked into it with his own superiors?
 - Yes, sir. Α.

13

14

25

- Did you have a subsequent conversation with Mr. Q. Johnston on the subject matter?
- In what relation? I don't follow your questioning ١A. 9
- You mean if he gave me an answer? there. 10
- He said he was going to check into it? 11 Q.
- . A . Yes, sir. 12
 - You did have a subsequent conversation? Q.
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- What was the answer he gave you? 15
- As I recall it, he told me that -- let's see. 16 talked to some other people and it was the opinion, I believe, 17 he stated of Mr. Donnelly, the Deputy Superintendent -- it was 18 19 the opinion that perhaps the strain of the assignment was 20 beginning to get to Mr. Spoon and perhaps he unconsciously 21 may be becoming slightly overbearing, possibly, in his relation-22 ship with inmates. This is all I was told at the time. 23 personal knowledge from having observed the officer in question 24
 - Q. In relating the latter conversation with Mr. Johnston,

perform his duties, I, personally, felt otherwise.

- words such as "slightly", "possibly", and "perhaps." Do you recall that those were used by him?
 - A. Yes, as near as I can recall it. This was what was said; that possibly the job could be getting too much, and possibly he could be overbearing.
 - Q. In your experience in the institution, and particularly since you have been Adjustment Center Sergeant, Mr. Frederick, how often has it happened that neither you nor the Program Administrator has been consulted before a re-assignment?
 - A. I believe -- this is speaking for myself -- this is the first time it's happened to me, yes, that I haven't been notified, yes.
 - Q. Or to your knowledge that Mr. Johnston didn't know anything about it?
 - A. I don't believe he did at the time or he would have told me immediately, yes.
 - Q. To your knowledge this is the first time that you know of that there had been a re-assignment without Mr. Johnston knowing about it?
 - A. That I know of, yes.
 - Q. Yes. Now, Sergeant, Officer Spoon has come back to the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, sir.

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. In fact, wasn't he brought back on July 31, 1966, about two weeks ago?

I believe so, yes. Α. MR. COHLER: Mr. Grace, would you please mark for 2 3 identification as Plaintiff's next in order several pages 4 "stapled together, top page of which is entitled "Isolation Log", in the upper right-hand corner bears the writing "Item 5"? THE CLERK: Plaintiff's 12 for identification. 6 THE COURT: So ordered. 7 (Isolation Log marked as 8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 for identification) 9 MR. COHLER: Counsel, will you stipulate that what has 10 just been marked is produced by the Defendants? MR. GRANUCCI: It is the one we gave you, counsel. 12 MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I offer Plaintiff's 12 in 13 evidence. 14 So ordered. THE COURT: 15 MR. GRANUCCI: No objection, Your Honor. 16 17 THE CLERK: Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 in evidence. 18 (Whereupon Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 was received into 19 evidence) 20 MR. COHLER: Mr. Grace, will you please mark as Plaintiff's next in order for identification two pages stapled 22 tegether, the top page of which bears the heading "Segregation Record", in the upper right-hand corner of which is "Item 6"? 24

25

THE CLERK:

(Segregation Record marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 for identification)

Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 for identification.

Counsel, Plaintiff's 13 --MR. COHLER:

Same stipulation. No objection to its MR. GRANUCCI: admission.

> I offer it in evidence, Plaintiff's 13. MR. COHLER:

THE COURT: So ordered.

 $2 \cdot$

5

6

7

8

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CLERK: Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 in evidence.

> (Whereupon Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 was received into evidence)

MR. COHLER: Sergeant Frederick, I ask you to look, Q. 10 blease at what is now Plaintiff's Exhibit 12. Please take your time in looking through this document and explain as best you can the purposes of these documents as you may have had occasion to work with them in your capacity as Adjustment Center Sergeant.

This is what we call an Isolation Log. lt is required, to the best of my knowledge, it is required by State law to enter the names of all inmates that are undergoing isolation sentences. The top page that I am looking at is the -- normally would be the right-hand page on the book. It's a large reamertype binder -- huge -- a little bit larger than the size of this paper here.

The second page would be on the left-hand side of the One side would be for official visitations, doctor calls There is also an officer's roster where the and so forth. officer on duty is required to sign his name and the hours they are on duty, and at the bottom you have the column report. We

also log on this portion of the piece of paper the isolation count at various times of the day.

On the sheet where the inmates names are placed --

- Q. Excuse me. Would you refer to it, please, as the second page?
 - A. Well, it's the left side of one page.

- Q. In Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 it is the second page that you are looking at, is that correct? I ask you only to do this.
 - A. This is Item 5. Is this what I am reading correctly?
 - Q. That is Item 5 as it was numbered in the production of documents in the instant hearing. It is Plaintiff's Exhibit 12.
 - A. On the second page would be -- it is listed "movement of inmates."
 - Q. Thank you. You may continue, please, explaining the function of that page.
 - A. Well, you have the month and day of the year, and you have the commitment number of the inmate and his name, and the present isolation cell where he is confined and the time that he was placed in the cell and the time that he would be removed from isolation status, the time out. Then you have a column in the right-hand corner that is listed "comments". If an inmate is placed in isolation on pending disciplinary status and several days later he goes before a disciplinary hearing and he is issued an isolation sentence, then the officer will return to

- this log and he will enter so many days isolation, effective such and such a day.
 - Q. In other words, an inmate may be in isolation for a period of time before he appears before a Disciplinary Committee?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And if the disposition of the Committee is such that he is ordered to isolation, he receives credit for the time he has already been there?
 - A. Urdinarily. This is the general policy.
- Q. And there are inmates -- excuse me. You refer to
 Plaintiff's 12 on the first page thereof as listing inmates who
 were serving isolation sentences. Would the names of inmates
 who were actually housed in the isolation section but who had
 not yet had a disposition or who had not yet been held to serve
 a sentence be included?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. In other words, there are people actually housed in the isolation section not on that list because they are not there under an isolation sentence?
 - A. The only people that are, in effect, actually undergoing isolation sentences.
 - Q. And the second page before you in Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 includes the names of persons who are on duty at the time, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, the top page shows that.

3

5

6

9

16

17

20

21

22

- Q. It also is supposed to register anyone who comes into the isolation area, is that correct?
 - A. In the way of official disposition, yes.
 - Q. Thank you. Did you give a full explanation?
 - A. Yes.

3

5

9

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I ask you to look now, please, at Plaintiff's Exhibit
 13. Would you please explain to the Court again the function
 of each of the documents which comprise Plaintiff's 13?
- A. This is also required, I believe, by State law; that all innectes undergoing isolation or segregation status, that they are -- that a record of some sort be kept on each man. And this sheet is kept on each individual that is in the Adjustment Center, whether he is in the Adjustment Center or isolation, and we commonly refer to these as 114A's and 114B's, the A form being the isolation record and the B being the segregation record.
- Q. Which comprises which page? Is it not correct that the top page in Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 is 114B and what is here the second page is 114A?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Thank you. Please continue.
 - A. However, both forms are used for the same purpose. What I mean to say is that if a man is on isolation -- let's say a man is assigned to the Adjustment Center; we would use the segregation form. Now, if he incurred a disciplinary.--

- Q. Rather than waste all the paper and start a new sheet, invariably the same form is used?
 - A. Yes, sir, they have been combined. They are presently combined. It is all one form.
- Q. I will ask you some particulars, Sergeant, as we go through here, please. First of all, would you tell the Court with regard to the quieter strip cells, does an inmate ever leave the physical quieter strip cell itself without an escort?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- Q. And is the movement of an inmate, for whatever reason, such as a disciplinary hearing, from his quieter strip cell under escort recorded on 114A or B?
- 13 A. It should be recorded, yes.
- 14 Q. Now, would you -- that was true in July, 1965, as well?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would you look, please, at what you have before you, Plaintiff's Exhibit 13? Tell the Court to what inmate these comments in Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 relate.
- 19 A. Robert Jordan.
- Q. Do they relate, Sergeant, to more than one inmate?
- 21 A. No, sir.

- Q. In other words, these 114's, A or B, are used inmate by inmate so it is separate?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And can you tell from looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 13

- A. June the 28th, 1965.
- Q. Does that refer, Sergeant, as you can tell from looking at the form, to the time that Mr. Jordan was being classified upon his return to Soledad?
 - A. That is correct.

12

13

14

15

16

- 17

19

20

21

22

23

: 24

25

- Q. Now, looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 which you have before you, would you tell the Court, from what you can tell from that document, when Mr. Jordan was moved to one of the six rear quieter strip cells?
 - A. On July the 9th.
 - Q. Now, there are entries for dates previous to July the 8th?
 - A. Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q. Your Honor, have you had a chance to look at this carefully? I would ask you to do so now, if you please, particularly to the various columns to which we will be referring. I am sorry I did not provide an extra copy for your use.

Counsel, do you happen to have an extra copy?

Your Honor, I plan on using Exhibit 13 rather extensively. Would it be appropriate to have a short recess and have a copy made? I am very sorry I neglected to do that.

THE COURT: That is quite all right. I think I have glanced at. We can have a copy made at the regular recess.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

MR. COHLER: Q. Sergeant, would you look, please, at what -- let me start over so we are clear.

There is, on the left-hand side, a column under the term "date", is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And then there is a longer space for a written entry, a remark of some sort?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Then there are one, two, three columns, rather narrow, and a fourth with room for initials, is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

12

14

15

19

24

- Q. Is any entry which is made on a given date, would each of those be initialed by the officer making the entry?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I note that in Plaintiff's 13 in the first column after the space for comment there appear a series of numbers going down the page. Would you please explain the function of those numbers and what they mean?
- A. Well, formerly we would use the numbers to incidate the behavior of the inmate on a daily basis. We no longer use this number because it is not a valid indication of his behavior. I don't recall -- I believe the series went from five on down to one. I may be wrong -- the higher the number the worse the behavior.
 - Q. The higher the number the worse the behavior?

A. Yes, from what I remember. We no longer use it.

- Q. You discontinued it because it wasn't considered to be accurate?
- A. Yes. It wasn't an accurate -- as an example, normally the officers, prior to going home at 4:00 o'clock, somewhere between 2:00 and 4:00 o'clock in the latter part of the afternoon they would sit down and make entries on each inmate under their care, and they would go along -- they might put, say, a 4 or 3, and five minutes later something happened that completely altered this behavior pattern. So it wasn't a very good way of accurately indicating a man's behavior.
- Q. Were there occasions when officers might just pick up the number from the previous entry without making an individual evaluation?
- A. No. I can't predict what each man would do. Normally
 I would say that he is feeding them every day, he is clothing
 them, he is counseling them, talking to them, he is seeing them
 every day much more than I do, and I would like to think that he
 wouldn't just arbitrarily putting anything down; he would be, to
 the best of his ability, he would put down what he felt the
 inmate deserved on that date. But, as I stated, it wasn't accurate
 and we no longer use this.
- Q. For the record, Sergeant, would you agree with me that in all cases where there has been an entry made in that column the number appearing is 3?

- That is correct, yes. I might add that this number here was made only -- the entry here was made only by one Watch. It wasn't made by the Third Watch or the First Watch. That's another reason we discontinued it. The inmate was graded on an eight-hour shift.
 - That being the Second Watch? Q.
 - Yes, sir. A.

6

7

8 .

9

10

13

15

16

- When you have been on duty, from what time of the day?
- From 7:30 to 4:00 o'clock. A .
- 4:00 o'clock in the afternoon?
- Yes, sir. Α. 11
- Sergeant, would you look, for instance, please, at the 12 : second entry for the date 6/30? Look all the way over into what is the second of the narrow columns, and that says "no", does it not?
 - Yes. Α.
 - Q. Would you tell the Court what that means to you as you read the document from your experience?
- It means no exercise on that date. He was received on 19 20 that date.
- 21 And is there anything else that you can tell from the entry "no exercise"? Does that lead to any further inferences as to what he may not have had available to him?
- 24 A. Well, according to the entry to the same date and the same : 25 line, he was received in the second floor on L.T.S., II. This is

- the terminology which we no longer use, but it meant "long-term
- 2 segregation; grade II". It presently means Adjustment Center,
- 5 Grade II. As I mentioned, he was on the second floor where he
- 4 would have more privileges than he would downstairs.
- ş Q. I am sorry. I meant whether you could tell if "no" meant
- 6 no exercise. Would that mean no other privileges would be
- 7 available?
- A. Except for no exercise that day, he would have the same
- g forivileges that other inmates have.
- 10 Q. I am sorry. For instance, an inmate who had no exercise
- 11 would not be able to take a shower?
- 12 A. No, he wouldn't.
- 13 Q. You can't take a shower unless you are out for exercise?
- 14 A. No. Inmates have been known to shower in their cells,
- but he wouldn't be able to come out and use the actual shower room
- 16 i no.

- 17 Q. Sergeant, would you look with me now, please, at Plaintiff
- 18 Exhibit 13 at the entry bearing the numeral 7-1, July 1st, and
- 19 here we have, in the second column, do we not, an entry "yes"?
- 20 A. Correct.
 - Q. And what do you understand that to mean, Sergeant?
- A. That means -- well, we are going back quite some time now.
- 23 In my estimation it would mean -- quarter means the exercise. The
 - "C" would mean "corridor". That means the exercise in the corridor
 - Q. Perhaps we are on the wrong line.

- A. But other officers may use the symbol "C" and others may use just "yes" or "no". In answer, the word "yes" would indicate to me that on that date, July the 1st, he exercised.
- Q. Now, let us move to the third of the narrow columns, please, going over to the right on Plaintiff's Exhibit 13. There is an entry "S" for the same date, is that correct?
- A. Yes, there is.

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

- Q. Can you tell from the entry capital "S" what is indicated g upon that document?
- A. Well, I would say that he showered on that date, that he exercised.
 - Q. Could "S" stand for shave?
 - A. It possibly could, yes.
 - Q. What leads you to conclude that, in this particular instance, the "S" means shower rather than shave?
- Well, the absence -- there is three columns that I am 16 looking at and each column -- it doesn't designate here what 17 each column means. So from my own knowledge and my own personal 18 experience, any time a man is out, if he does not shower, we enter the symbol "R", which means that he didn't shower, or we'd 20 leave it blank on the shower portion of it. If he did, we'd put 22 "S". By the same token, when he is given the opportunity to shave, if he refuses to shave the symbol "R" is placed in there. £ 23 24 If he wasn't given the opportunity to shave it would be blank.
 - Q. Then with that explanation we should be able to tell

-18

something about the entry for 7/2, July 2 -- excuse me, July 3 on Plaintiff's 13. Would you look, please, opposite that date in the second of the narrow columns and read the entry?

- A. "No".
- Q. And that meant no exercise?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And then the third column, there is an "R", is that correct?
 - A. Nothing on the third column. It's blank.
- Q. I think we are having trouble with lines. Would you look carefully opposite 7-3?
- A. Oh, I see, excuse me. There is an "R" there. Now this "R" -- in this case, if he did not exercise it is obvious that he couldn't have showered. The "R" could be a refusal on his part to shave. He may have been given the opportunity to shave that evening.
- Q. Now, Sergeant, see if from looking at that document,
 Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, you could tell the Court that Mr. Jordan,
 to whom Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 refers, was moved to a quieter
 strip cell on July 9th, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir. From what I can read here, yes.
- Q. Now, looking carefully at the document, and use both pages please -- I think that will be necessary -- would you tell the Court on which day Mr. Jordan left the quieter strip cell?
 - A. On July the 20th.

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Let us turn to the disciplinary hearing. Would you look at that document, please, and tell the Court when Mr. Jordan, who was placed in the strip cell on July 9th, was taken to a

And please be careful and look at the entire document

According to what I am reading, except for coming out

and tell the Court whether or not Mr. Jordan was not continuously

in the quieter strip cell from July 9 through July 20, 1965.

for disciplinary hearing, he was confined all during that time.

disciplinary hearing?

- A. On July the 13th.
- Q. What entry are you looking at?
- A. I am looking at a combination of both the bottom line here and a continuation at the top line.
- Q. The bottom line on Plaintiff's 13 and the top line on the second page, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you identify the initials of the person who made those entries?
 - A. Those initials are mine.
 - Q. Did you, in fact, make those two entries?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you present at the disciplinary hearing to which Mr. Jordan was taken on July 13?
 - Q. Who else was present?

- A. I believe Mr. Kiepura was present.
- Q. Mr. Kiepura's capacity at that time was what?
- A. His present capacity, Counselor, Grade II.
- 4 Q. You and Mr. Kiepura were there. Did you both participate in the hearing?
- A. I believe we did.

8

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was there anyone else present?
 - A. The Escort Officer, Officer Spoon.
- 9 Q. Was Officer Spoon there in any capacity other than 10 custodial?
- A. No, sir; strictly escort.
 - Q. He had no particular function in the hearing?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Was Mr. Jordan also present?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Was this a meeting of a committee of some sort?
 - A. Disciplinary hearing.
 - Q. A disciplinary hearing. Does the committee have a name?
 - A. Other than disciplinary hearing?
 - Q. Disciplinary hearing. Do you happen to know what rule or regulation it functions under at the institution?
 - A. I couldn't tell you at this particular moment what rule it would function under. It's known as a disciplinary committee, disciplinary hearing.
 - Q. Mr. Grace, may I please have Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, the

Answers to Interrogatories? This has fallen apart, Mr. Grace. I will see that it stays together.

Sergeant Frederick, I ask you to look, please, at documents which were attached to the Answers to Interrogatories, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 here, and the one before you is what appears to be a C.D.C. 115 relating to Jordan, A46604 relating to an alleged incident on July 9th, 1965, correct?

A. Correct.

8

9

10

11

.2

,3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

3

3

- Q. Would you please tell the Court, Sergeant, the function of a C.D.C. 115 such as the one you have before you?
- A. It's an official form, Report of Violation of Institutional Rules, submitted by a reporting officer to a Disciplinary Committee
- Q. Could you go further? For instance, does it contain a charge? Explain its total function.
- A. Yes, sir. The person named above is the inmate, is charged with a specific violation of a rule, and it's considered an official report of a violation.
- Q. Now, there is a heavy line approximately center-way down the page, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there is a caption below that line: Plea, Findings, and Disposition?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is the entire disposition which may be made after a hearing upon a violation or alleged violation of a rule such as

A. Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

LO

IJ

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

Š

- Q. That is the total disposition made by the committee as a committee, is that correct?
- A. I don't follow you question. Would you repeat that, please?
- Q. Would you look, please, at the lower portion of C.D.C. 115 where it says "Disposition"?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And tell the Court whether or not whatever may be under there is the full disposition ordered by the committee.
 - A. Yes. Normally this would be the case.
- Q. Now, directing your attention specifically to the document you have before you originally attached Answers to Interrogatories and now part of Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, the C.D.C. 115 relating to Mr. Jordan, relating to an alleged incident of July, 1965, would you please read the disposition which you find?
 - A. 'L.T.S. 1, 29 days isolation."
 - Q. What does "L.T.S. 1" mean?
- A. At that time it meant long-term segregation, Grade I.

 Presently it would be Adjustment Center, Grade I, the first floor.
- Q. Would you explain, please, whether or not that is a classification which Mr. Jordan, in this instance, would remain until such further action?
 - A. Yes. Upon completion of the 29 days isolation sentence,

- Q. The 29 days isolation which is written opposite "Disposition" says what is to happen to Mr. Jordan in this instance during those 29 days and the L.T.S. I says what his classification will be following the isolation?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you see on the document before you, Sergéant, a portion entitled "Action Taken By"?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you see two signatures there?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Could you read those signatures?
- A. "W. T. Kiepura" and "W. T. Frederick".
- Q. And those are the signatures of you and Mr. Kiepura and were the only two people present at this hearing?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were those signatures placed upon the form at the time the disposition was made, do you recall?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Would you look just above and to the right of the two signatures, please, Sergeant, where there appear to be initials? Would you tell the Court whose initials those are?
 - A. "R. H. D.", Mr. Donnelly.

- Q. Was he at the hearing?
- A. No, sir.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, was Deputy Superintendent as you recall?
- A. He was either Assistant Superintendent or Deputy, I don't recall exactly.
- Q. To the left of where you have been looking, Sergeant, there is the printed part of the form which says "approved", is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And a signature appears there, is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Would you read that for the Court, please?
 - A. "William Jordan".
 - Q. What position did he have, if you recall?
 - A. He is Administrative Assistant to the Superintendent.
 - Q. He wasn't at the hearing either, was he?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, to your knowledge, Sergeant, in the normal course of events did officials who initial or sign, but who have not been present at the hearing, do so to indicate their approval of the disposition of the case?
 - A. Yes, sir, as far as I know, that is correct.
- Q. And do they have anything before them except the printed form C.D.C. 115 itself?
 - A. No. They have the 115.

- Q. And it is on the basis of that that they approve or disapprove in some cases?
- A. I would say it is on the basis -- I would assume that they would digest the whole thing, the actual report of the violation and the disposition, findings and so forth, and then approve or disapprove of the disposition.
 - Q. In other words, what is found --
 - A. Yes, right.

3.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Sergeant, would you turn back, please, to the other document before you, the 114, Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, and I direct your attention to what is there, the second page, and the portion thereof, where there appears some handwriting. Would you please read that?
 - A. On the top, very top?
 - Q. Yes, please.
 - A. "29 days isolation", then "assigned L.T.S. 1."
- Q. That is, in fact, the same disposition as appears on the C.D.C. 115?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. There appears a second handwriting entry below that.
- A. "To remain in this strip cell until behavior warrants change."
 - Q. You made both of these entries?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. At the same time?

- A. Correct.
- Q. The second entry which reads, "To remain in strip cell until behavior warrants chang," there is an entry which is found nowhere on the C.D.C. 115?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And that particular disposition which placed Mr. Jordan in the strip cell part of the isolation area did not receive the approval of anyone who signed the 115? There are no other inftials, is that correct?
 - A. Would you repeat your question?
- Q. Let me break it down. Are there any initials other than yours opposite the disposition whereby Mr. Jordan went to the strip cell?
 - A. No, just my initials only.
- Q. Was it your discretion and your judgment at that time that resulted in Mr. Jordan's being put in the quiet cell?
- A. It was my judgment as a member of the hearing that he should be placed in a quiet cell until his behavior warranted change. However, I did not make the writing in the 115 itself. This was made by Mr. Kiepura and obviously forgot or failed to make this entry, as well as I can remember.
- Q. Well, for whatever the reason it may not have been made, the approval, the signatures of those who approved were, it was based only on the 115?
 - A. That is correct.

- A. No, sir. I don't see one.
- Q. Would you be very careful about that, please?
- A. No, I do not see an entry posted that he showered.
- Q. No entry at all, is that right?
- A. No.

9

410

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, on July 20th, Sergeant, as you can tell from the form 114 which is Plaintiff's 13 here on July 20th Mr. Jordan was moved and housed in a cell forward of the regular isolation area?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And would you look now again at the 114's, Plaintiff's 13 here and tell the Court when, in fact, that form shows that Mr. Jordan did have a shower the first time after he got out of the quiet cell?
 - A. On the 24th, of July.
- Q. That would be the fourth day, I believe, after he was removed from the quiet cell or strip cell?
 - A. According to what I am reading, that is correct.

- Q. And can you tell what officer's initials appear opposite that entry for the 24th?
 - A. I believe they are Mr. Hoagland's initials.
 - Q. And what does the entry itself say?
 - A. "Corridor, exercise, and shower."
- Q. Thank you. Sergeant, do inmates who are taken from a strip cell to a shower and inmates who are taken from an isolation cell use the same shower facilities?
 - A. Yes, sir.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, Sergeant.

THE COURT: We will take a short recess and you can have these zeroxed and copied if you wish.

(Whereupon a ten-minute recess ensued)

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I have concluded my direct examination.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

MR. OAKES: Q. Sergeant Frederick, you testified on direct examination that part of your duties as the Adjustment Center Sergeant was to aid and train the men, the officers under your direction. As part of your training, what are some of the essential things that you think the men should be aware of while working in the Adjustment Center?

A. Well, the behavior of the inmates, the fact that they are disturbed people, many of them are dangerous and they explode

- verbally and sometimes physically, and the officer should be cautioned to be careful in handling these types of people.
 - Q. Would it be fair to say that you stress using what might be called a professional approach?
 - A. Yes, sir.

6

8

g

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Now, I think it is Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, the Isolation Log, I believe; would you say this was an official or semi-official log?
 - A. Official log.
 - Q. An official log?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Does it always accurately reflect everything that happens, everything that occurs with every person that enters the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Well, I wouldn't say accurately. There are people that do come into the Adjustment Center and they may come in and come out and fail to sign in. We try to keep as accurate a record as we possibly can under the circumstances.
 - Q. For example, do not the chaplains enter the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, sir, they do.
 - Q. And do their names show up on this log very often?
 - A. Well, not too often. Everyone that makes a visit to the Adjustment Center is required to sign in; but, as I say, occasionally, being people, will forget to sign in. Theoretically

- they are supposed to, including chaplains and doctors, the Superintendent, anyone who enters.
 - Q. Now, in your experience, does Dr. Hack, the psychiatrist, visit the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Does his name often show up on this log?
- A. Not too often, no. He is pressed for time. He's more interested in interviewing and evaluating the inmates than he is in placing his --
- Q. So it is your judgment that he is in the Adjustment Center more often than would be shown by this log?
 - A. Definitely.
- Q. Now, I believe on direct examination it was brought out that Mr. Jordan's name does not show up on the isolation log until the date of July 13th.
- MR. COHLER: Excuse me, counsel, it wasn't brought out; but you may certainly proceed along those lines.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me -- yes, his name is listed on July the 13th, 1965, as being in cell 1221 at that time, and the time in is dated July the 9th. This was the date that he appeared before the disciplinary hearing; and appearing is 29 days isolation on the comments portion of the column. It states 29 days isolation -- would be effective 7/9.

MR. OAKES: Q. Yes; in effect then a man may be moved into an isolation or a quiet cell and be there for several days

before it would appear on this log?

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, sir. It only appears once he has been before a committee.
 - Q. Is his move recorded in other places?
 - A. It should be recorded on the 114 sheet.
 - Q. Is every move -- pardon me. In the officers' area of the Adjustment Center is there a large bulletin board?
 - A. Yes, sir. It is recorded on that, and upstairs we have a roster. Of course when we talk about records we can go into many other portions of the institution. The control office is notified of any cell moves and the cell cards are changed, the custody classification cards are changed, the mail room cards are changed, the visiting cards are changed. This way the entire institution has some knowledge of where a particular inmate is housed so if it involves one little cell move it involves a tremendous amount of paper work.
 - Q. What is the essential card?
 - A. The Custody Classification Card, the warden's card.
 - Q. And that is kept where?
 - A. That is kept in the Custody Office. It is a confidential card and has a lot of information.
 - Q. But every move is aggragately and rapidly reflected in there?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. Thank you. Now I believe on direct you did discuss

- 1 Officer Spoon and the fact that he was moved from the -- or re-
- 2 assigned from the Adjustment Center up to other duties for a
- 5 period of time?

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And I believe you stated you disagreed with this move?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q. That the move was prompted by your superiors?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- Q. Would you say that you, at times, disagree with other actions of your superiors also?
- 11: A. Yes, I would say so.
 - Q. This is in common course of conduct, I think, in all --
 - A. Yes I -- while we are dealing with people and no one is perfect and I do have some disagreements, yes. I am thankful in many respects that despite the disparity in rank that I feel free to enter the office of the Superintendent or any of my superiors and discuss a disagreement.
 - Q. Your views are well-heard then?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Fine. Now, in relation to Plaintiff's Exhibit number 13, the Isolation or Segregation Log, I believe it is marked "Item 6" also at the top but that is not relevant to this. First of all, in the entry to, I believe it was 7-1, on this record you stated that you thought that the "S" stood for shower, is that not correct
 - A. Yes. Of course it could be shave, but the second column

- says "yes", which I would interpret as having exercised that date,
- 2 and I would interpret the "S" as showered.
 - Q. This is the second floor of O-Wing, is it not?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. The men exercise in groups of four, do they not?
- A. Upstairs, yes.
 - Q. They are not single-men exercises?
- 8 A. No, sir.

5

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

.23

24

- Q. So there may have been four men in the corridor, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when there are four inmates in the corridor is the officer in the corridor?
 - A. Yes, sir; standing or sitting at the desk observing these people. We have one officer assigned to each side of the unit.
 - Q. But is this the period when a man normall takes a shower?
 - A. When he is out exercising, yes.
 - Q. When he is on L.T.S. he is free to take a shower when he wishes?
 - A. Any time an inmate is out of his cell exercising he is free to take a shower, yes.
 - Q. Now, in shaving in L.T.S., II, the second tier of O-Wing, is not the shaving done by the officers -- let me withdraw that and rephrase it, please. Do the men shave in L.T.S., II during the Third Watch?
 - A. They shave on all three floors, yes.

- Q. They shave every other night?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. One night the officer will go down and pass out razors on one side and the next night on the other side?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Control of these razors is important, is it not?
 - A. Yes.

5 :

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Since the control of razors is quite important, and the men are free to shower as they wish, could it be that the man on the Third Watch marked "S" in the third column for 7-1 to indicate that he shaved?
 - A. Yes, that is possible.
- Q. In fact we are not really sure what this represents, are we, Sergeant?
- A. Well, the form-- the present form that I am looking at I must admit is rather confusing. It could be possible I could be wrong, yes. This is the correct symbol for each column. I could be wrong. Yes, it's rather confusing.
- Q. In fact, is there not a problem during this period with this form so far as it was not too accurately kept?
 - A. Yes, to a certain degree.
- Q. Were there not problems with men -- withdraw that. At this period of time was it not kind of a common practice for the officers to only indicate something that was somewhat out of the ordinary?

A. Well, I would say so, yes.

2

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. In other words, common, every day things they may or may not mark down, depending on the press of business?
- A. Yes. The inmates are fed three times a day, but that is never logged. You don't have time to do this.
- Q. So at that time it was kind of slow and normal things, things that may have been out of the ordinary or abnormal --

MR. COHLER: I would like to object here to the use of "abnormal!" We have a form we ought to be careful with.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. OAKES: Q. Actually I think I am through with this line of questioning in any event.

Now, on direct examination, referring to Plaintiff's 13, the Isolation Log --

MR. COHLER: Excuse me, counsel, Plaintiff's 12 is the Isolation Log and Plaintiff's 13 is the 114.

MR. OAKES: Q. I apologize. Again referring to Plaintiff 13, the Isolation Record on the second page as I believe they are put together here which should be the 114A form, it shows 29 days isolation, to remain in the strip cell until behavior warrants change", is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. With your initials?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. On the C.D.C. 115, and I am not sure of the Exhibit number

on that --

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

MR. COHLER: Counsel, it is part of the Answers to Interrogatories, which, I believe, are Plaintiff's 3.

PR. OAKES: Q. In any event, the C.D.C. 115 which dealt with that situation, I believe there is a notation to the effect that the inmate was disrespectful toward the committee at that time?

- A. Yos, sir.
- Q. Was that, in your opinion, the reason for the man being kept in the quiet cell?
- A. Yes. He was remanded back to the quiet cell as a result of his behavior before the committee, yes.
- Q. And his behavior resulting from the infraction in the first place?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, in bringing a man out -- withdraw that.

Would you say that there is quite a bit of communication between yourself, your officers and your superiors, particularly the correctional counselors and the program administrators?

MR. COHLER: May I ask counsel to say communication about what? We are being quite general here.

MR. OAKES: I.mean generally.

MR. COHLER: Then I will withdraw the objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes. We discuss --

MR. OAKES: Q. Do you normally discuss cases?

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

- la A. Discuss cases, yes.
- 2. Now, does a good share of this discussion deal with the
- 5 den that you have under your care and custody in the Adjustment
- 4 Jenter?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Does this discussion normally deal, or often deal with when
- q a man should be removed from an isolation cell or strip cell?
- A. Yes. Among the discussions this often arises, yes.
- 9 . And is it the general policy to bring a man out of a
- 10 | quiet cell as soon as possible?
- 11 A. Yes.

13

17

- Q. And was that the general policy in July of 1965?
- A. It's also been that policy as far back as I can remember.
- 14 MR. OAKES: Your Honor, I have no further questions of
- 15 this man at this time. I would like to reserve the right to re-
- 16 call him later.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 18 BY MR. COHLER:
- MR. COHLER: Q. Sergeant, I believe I recall accurately
- 20 that you stated on cross examination just now that you occasionall
- 21 disagree with your superiors?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Was one such disagreement you had with your superiors
- related to the subject matter as to whether or not water basins
 - and water pitchers ought to have been installed in the strip or

quict cells?

- A. It wasn't a real disagreement. I had reservations as to whether we should furnish this equipment.
 - Q. Thank you.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OAKES: Q. You stated that you had reservations about having this equipment placed in there. Would you like to say why, please?

A. Yes. They were in there previously several years ago, and invariably they would throw the water on the officer and other staff members. Occasionally they would accumulate a large quantity of urine and throw it on your face or clothing. They would bang the bars with it and destroy the fixtures. I just had reservations. I didn't say that they shouldn't have wash facilities. I believe everyone should have washing facilities; but I just couldn't quite make up my mind. I wasn't against it, but I did have reservations. I just don't like to continue to undergo this kind of behavior and I don't expect the officer to undergo this.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

MR. COHLER: Q. Sergeant, I believe you just stated that you think everyone ought to have an opportunity to wash, is that correct?

A. Yes.

3

5

7

11

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Can you tell the Court, please, any way you could think of implementing the ability of an inmate to wash while not involving the dangers you said led to your reservations?

Well, I may not be able to tell you at this particular moment, Mr. Cohler. Just from the time of -- in my mind at this time -- well, during the time in question last year the inmates were furnished with two Styrofoam cups. Many of them would accumulate cups and have as many as a dozen cups there. The officers, in the performance of their duties, would not leave just one or two cups, they would give him as much as he desired to drink and then he would invariably leave several cups, whatever amount of cups were on the bars, two, three or four, he would leave this water there. Generally we try to limit them to two or three cups because an accumulation of debris isn't too good if they accumulate too much trash so to speak. But from the top of my head, I am trying to answer your question to the best of my ability, at that time with the stirofoam cups it's possible that an inmate could, after he drank as much water as he desired and the cups were refilled, it is possible that he could use these cups to wash his hands if he really wanted to wash them. He wouldn't have a half-a-gallon. If he wanted to wash them he would take a cup or two of water and at least wash his hands out and wash them.

Possibly he wouldn't be throwing this small amount on the officer, but when you have a half-a-gallon of drinking water and

in a plastic wash basin, there is a great deal amount of liquid that can be thrown and is thrown since we have installed this equipment. The officers have been abused, they have had water and urine thrown on them; so I can only answer to the best of my ability.

It is exceedingly difficult. You try to help these people to help themselves; you try to furnish them all the necessities that any human being should have; but unfortunately they abuse this equipment -- and who knows all the answers? I certainly don't.

Q. In other words, when an inmate abuses his equipment you feel that he perhaps ought not to have all the necessities a human being ought to have?

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. Argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. COHLER: I am merely restating the witnesses words, Your Honor.

Do you have the question in mind? I will ask the reporter to read it back.

(Record read by the reporter)

MR. COHLER: Q. I would like you to answer.

A. Yes. Perhaps he should be given this equipment and if he abuses it perhaps it should be removed for some length of time.

Perhaps this should be handled this way. He could have it as long as he isn't abusing equipment and degrading personnel. If they

Э

do this, perhaps it could be removed for a day or so and later returned to him. This is a possible answer to our problem.

- Q. The time in July, 1965, none of this equipment was available at all, was it?
 - A. No, sir, other than the stirofoam cups.
 - Q. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Sergeant -- have you completed, counsel?

MR. COHLER: I have, Your Honor.

MR. OAKES: I believe we rest, Your Honor. We have completed the examination of this witness. We ask leave to recall him.

THE COURT: I just had one question or two.

Sergeant, and I appreciate your general exposition of this subject; it is very enlightening. There has been testimony in the record that the strip or quiet cell has been used as a facility in order to observe or watch potential suicides.

THE WITNESS: To control people, yes.

THE COURT: Is there any other facility in the correctional institution that might afford the same type of facility -- in the hospital or the like?

THE WITNESS: No, sir, not to my knowledge. The hospitals don't have any proper staffing, the proper -- well, I would say the proper structure. They have dormitory-type beds; they have a bed and so forth, and it's exceedingly difficult. We are presently under-staff and always have been in the Adjustment --

THE COURT: Do you have any records available, Sergeant, with respect to the number of suicides that have been consummated in the so-called strip or quiet cell?

THE WITNESS: In my nine years at Soledad I believe, Your Honor, there were two inmates in nine years that actually committed suicide.

THE COURT: In the cell?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. I can recall two men.

THE COURT: Do you regard the so-called strip or quiet cell as a facility to be used in punitive or preventive measure?

a preventive and as a control factor more than anything else. I don't personally think of them as punitive. Some people do.

There is a very thin line between punishment and control. I don't think anyone is more aware of it than we; but we in corrections are primarily responsible to try to help them help themselves, and one way of doing this is to control them first, to give them time to try to think about what he is doing and perhaps straighten himself out. It's a hard answer to give you.

THE COURT: There is a fine dividing line?

THE WITNESS: I'm not a psychiatrist, but my personal opinion, the quiet cells are used, and I have used them, strictly as a control measure.

THE COURT: What rule do you have, Sergeant, with respect to stripping a man per se, just taking his clothing away?

Your Honor, except in extreme emergencies, and it's a matter of judgment; if an inmate mutilated himself, or if he attempted suicide by hanging. We are obligated to protect the inmate from himself.

Many of these men are dangerous. While they were loose they would vent their anger, their potential for violence on other people. But once they are locked up they seem to direct the destructives toward themselves. They can't reach anybody else. I don't know.

و ء

,21

THE COURT: Is it your rule, Sergeant, that the only occasions when you take the clothing away from the inmate is when he might have some potential toward self-destruction?

in an emergency measure. There have been times where it was necessary to strip a man to control a disturbance which didn't have anything to do with suicide. But it was done as a control factor, here again; not as a punishment factor. This was the only thing we could think of at the time to prevent a full-scale riot or disturbance and the destruction of State property. It isn't done as a matter of general practice. I don't have the authority to strip a man per se. I have to receive authorization except in an extreme emergency, and the only emergency I can think of that I would actually strip a man would be for his own self-protection.

THE COURT: Do you have available, or could you make

available the number of attempted suicides in the so-called strip or quiet cells?

THE WITNESS: In the actual strip cells?

9,

THE COURT: Yes; attempts at self-destruction, either mutilation or the like as you described them.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe we have had too many suicide attempts in the actual quiet cells. We have had in the rest of the unit, but not in the quiet cells.

There is -- well, there is no cell furnishings in there, and the man is in about as far back as he can go in an institution and no other inmates are in contact with him. It's exceedingly difficult in a quiet cell for an inmate to secure a razorblade to mutilate himself, so, as a result, they may attempt suicide out front upstairs in the general population or at the North or South Facilities, but if they are placed in a quiet cell the risk is reduced.

THE COURT: How would you determine, Sergeant, transferring a man to a medical facility as such after an attempted suicide as distinguished from a strip cell? What would be your dividing line?

THE WITNESS: We would make this recommendation to -- a psychiatrist would make this recommendation.

Normally, when a man exhibits self-destructive behavior we feel he should be referred to the psychiatrist for psychiatric evaluation. We might even make our recommendation that he be

considered for medical facility. The psychiatrist will interview the man at great length and he will have a great deal to do with whether the man is removed or not.

THE COURT: I have no further questions.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont.)

BY MR. COHLER:

MR. COHLER: Q. May I just ask -- Sergeant, I believe you just stated, in response to His Honor's questions, that due to the absence of facility in the quiet cell or strip cell there was really no opportunity for a man to attempt suicide.

- A. I didn't say there was no opportunity. I said the risk was considerably reduced. I don't care if -- well, if a man wants to commit suicide, Mr. Cohler, he is going to do it. It is unfortunate that this is part of -- you are obligated to protect them from each other as well as themselves, as well as to protect staff members; but all you can do is work -- place them in a situation where you can control them. And such a place, unfortunate is what we might call a strip cell.
- Q. If a man, indeed, did have clothing when he was in the strip or quiet cells, that would be one available means for at least an attempted suicide?
 - A. Yes, sir. He could hang himself.

THE COURT: One further question. How do you determine the length of time, let us say, in the instant case of Jordan, the 29 days that you indicated as a period of time? What is your

measure of 29 days? How do you determine the 29 days as distinguished from five, ten, twenty?

2

3

5

6

8

9,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: When a man is undergoing a 29-day isolation sentence he doesn't necessarily spend the 29 days in a quiet cell. Often the disciplinary hearing will remand him to a quiet cell with a specified number of days. Often, because of his behavior they may not specify days. They may just say, "place in quiet cell till behavior improves." It's always been our policy since I have worked there as an officer that we do our best, remove people from quiet cells as soon as possible, as soon as we feel that they are able to demonstrate sufficient control to come out and be housed in certain other regular cells. Unfortunately we are over-populated, and despite the fact that an inmate is behaving, perhaps we have no room. We can't get him out on certain particular days. But ordinarily, since I have been Adjustment Center Sergeant I am off on weekends, and every Friday I have made a personal practice sometime during Friday to check with the officers to see how the people are doing, the people. that may be back there during that time, to see how they are Often the officers themselves will recommend to me -doing. perhaps a disciplinary hearing is three or four or five days off and the inmate is doing well -- the officer often will say, "well this man is doing well; why don't we move him out?" Often I will check the inmate files and note that they are doing well and I might ask the officer, "well, is everything all right?

And in this case every Friday I try to vacate as many as I can.

THE COURT: Where do you place the inmate after vacating him from the quiet cell?

THE WITNESS: Wherever his classification requires. If he is to return upstairs I will return him upstairs; if he is to return on the Grade I on the other side I will return him to the other side; if he is still on an isolation sentence like 29 days or ten days and he might have done five, he will come out into regular isolation sentence to finish his original sentence, but he wouldn't do it all in the back.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont.)

BY MR. COHLER:

8.

MR. COHLER: Q. Sergeant, what might go into disposition such as 29 days? His Honor asked you what might be taken into consideration or what might be a controlling factor in the determination of 29 days. Is it not true, Sergeant, that 29 days is the maximum isolation sentence permitted without approval of the Superintendent?

- A. Without approval of the Director.
- Q. The Director of Corrections?
- A. No longer than 30 days, yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Sergeant.

MR. GRANUCCI: Nothing further from this witness, Your Honor. We ask leave to recall him.

Your Honor, the Plaintiff's next witness is MR. COHLER: Mr. Wells, and I would like to have either an opportunity to go 5 Well into the lunch hour or else to take a recess now so it is not interrupted. THE COURT: We will take a recess and resume at 2:00 o'clock. (Whereupon the proceeding was adjourned until 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon) 9.

AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. COHLER: Please call Mr. Wells as Plaintiff and Petitioner's next witness.

THE CLERK: Mr. Wells.

WARREN WILLIAM WELLS,

called as a witness by the Plaintiff, who, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Will you state your full name and occupation?

THE WITNESS: Warren William Wells. I don't have any

occupation as of now.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

3

. 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

24

25

MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Wells, how old are you, please?

- A. Nineteen.
- Q. Were you at one time an inmate at the Correctional
- 16 Training Facility at Soledad?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. Are you presently on parole?
- 19 A. I am.
 - Q. Approximately how long were you an inmate at the Correctional Training Facility?
- A. Oh, I was there about a year and a month the second time.

 I was there once and left and came back.
 - Q. The last time you were there, Mr. Wells, how long were you there, approximately?

- 1. A year and a month, that is the last time.
 - Q. When did you leave on parole?
 - A. June the 22nd.
 - Q. Of this year?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When you were at the Correctional Training Facility, Mr. Wells, was there an area known to you as the isolation area?
 - A. Yes, there was.
 - Q. Was there an area known to you as the strip cell area?
 - A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Would you please tell the Court where the strip cell area and where the isolation area was at the Correctional Training Facility?
- A. Well, the isolation area section is the first floor of U-Wing, the left side, and the strip cell section is the last six cells on this floor.
 - Q. Have you ever been detained in a strip cell?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And when was the first such time, please?
- A. Well, I believe it to be either August or September of 1965.
- Q. And about how many days, if you remember, were you detained in a strip cell in either August or September of 1965?
 - A. No more than five days.
 - Q. Can you remember about how many days it was? -- if it was

- liless than five days?
- A. I don't know if this to be exact, but I think three.
- 3. Were you a ward of the Youth Authority at that time?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. You had a Y.A. number, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. At the time you were placed in the strip cell?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Would you please describe for the Court the physical setup of the strip cell, and I refer you specifically to doors and window openings.
- A. Well, there is a square cell with bars, and then about three feet from the bars there's another wall with a door and a window on the door, and next to that there's a bigger window with a steel flap, and both windows have a steel flap that can be closed or opened.
- Q. Can they be closed or opened by an inmate inside the strip cell?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were the steel flaps on the door and window you were in the first time ever closed?
 - A. All the time.
 - Q. The flaps were closed all the time?
- A. The flaps were closed all the time that first time I was in there.

- A. Well, there's two square things up in the top in the back, rear wall of the cell, but they didn't work.
 - Q. What do you mean they didn't work?
- A. There wasn't any ventilation in the cell at all. I'd put my hand up there and there wasn't no air of any sort coming out either one of the squares.
- Q. What did you wear when you were in the strip cell this first time?
- A. The first time, when I first was placed in there, were bluejean material coveralls.
 - Q. Did you have anything else to wear at that time?
 - A. No, I didn't.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Including underwear or socks?
- A. No socks or underwear. Just coveralls.
- Q. Mr. Wells, at this time when you were in the strip cell, how did you go to the bathroom?
- A. Well, there's a cement block in the corner of the cell -rear corner of the cell -- and it's about two feet off the ground
 high, and there's a so-called commode in the center of this cement
 block, and --
 - Q. That was the only facility similar to a toilet?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Would you please tell the Court, describing as best you

- can, the state of cleanliness of this strip cell when you were placed in it the first time?
- It was filthy around the so-called commode on the wall . 3 the corner of the wall where the walls met in the corner where the toilet was. There was human body waste all on the wall and 5 it was just a very strong odor in the cell. It was nasty.
 - Did you ever ask for anything to clean up the cell with?
 - Yes, I did. The first day I was in there I asked for something.
 - Do you remember who you asked? I don't want you to guess. If you can recall you may state.
 - I am not sure. I have got two names in my mind, but I couldn't really say either one of them for sure.
 - Would you like to say which two?
 - I know it was either one of the other, but I don't know. It was either Officer Spoon or Officer Milo, one of the two.
 - What were you told when you asked for something? Q.
 - "Later on." Α.
 - That is what the officer said to you?
 - Yes. Α.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Were you ever given anything to clean up the cell with?
- No, I wasn't.
- How often was food brought to you when you were in the 23 strip cell the first time? 24
 - Two times daily.

- Q. Approximately what time of day would that have been?
- A. Once in the morning and once about between 2:30 and 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon.
 - Q. What kind of food was this? Was it similar to mainline food or was it something special?
 - A. Well, at this particular time it was similar to mainline food, but --
 - Q. Did you eat the food that was served to you?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Why not?
 - A. Because I couldn't.
- Q. Why not?

6

8

9 م

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Because of the odor and the conditions of the cell and having to use the bathroom and eat with the hands. You couldn't wash.
- Q. You never had a chance to wash your hands before food was brought?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Did you ever have a chance to wash at all?
 - .A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever have a chance to take a shower this first time?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you make any effort to try to get away from the filth and odor, as you have described it?

- Well, I had to sit to the front of the cell next to the bars -- sideways along the cell bars to keep as far away from the rear of the cell as I could. That way I was away. I tried to 3 stay away from the odor because it was -- it would make you sick. And the reason that I couldn't eat my food is not that I didn't 5 want to eat. It was because they opened the door and slid the 6 food in and close it, and you can't see at that part -- there was 7 some parts of the cell you could see cracks of light like on the 8 back wall, but in the front you can't, and you have to feel around 9 for the little plate. And -- it's just -- you just don't want to 10 eat. 11
 - Q. You say you sat near the front part of the cell, Mr. Wells What part of the cell is this commode located?
 - A. In the rear.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How often was water brought to you when you were in the strip cell, Mr. Wells, this first time, approximately, your best recollection?
- A. I'm pretty sure it was once in the morning and twice at night. Twice after the next shift came on.
- Q. Was there a water basin in the cell of any sort at this time, the first time you were in the strip cell?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Or a water pitcher?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Or soap?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Towel?

- A. Nothing.
- Q. Toothbrush?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Mr. Wells, would you recognize personnel at the institution known as medical technical assistants or M.T.A.'s?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did they wear distinguishing clothing of some sort?
 - A. Yes; a white smock.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with an M.T.A. during these three days, as you recall it, the first time?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Could you tell the Court, please, what that conversation was about?
- A. Well, I didn't know what was wrong with me at the time, but the three days I'd been in there I'd been sitting most of the time until sometimes I'd get up and walk to keep warm, but most of the time I had sat on the floor next to the bars to stay up in front of the cell.

THE COURT: To keep away --

THE WITNESS: To keep away from the back of the cell, because it was kind of nasty back there and that was the cleanest part of the cell. And I had a very sore pain; and I had seen one of the M.T.A.'s about it. I asked him what could this be.

Where was the pain?

1

8

9ء

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- In my rectum. And I asked him what could this pain be 2 caused by. He told me he wouldn't know but I'd have to be checked by one of the doctors; so when I was released from the 4 strip cell and taken back to Max Row next door I seen the doctor, 5 and they told me -- they let me go to the hospital anyway: and the doctor interviewed me in his office and he checked me and told me that I had hemorrhoids or piles, whatever you want to call it, and he asked me -- this was Dr. Kunkel, and he asked me did I want them cut out, and I told him anything to be done that would stop the pain, and he said okay; so they had surgery that day and he made the statement in his office: had I been in the strip cell; and I said, "Yes," and he asked me what I'd been sitting on, the cement floor? and I said, "Yes." And he said, "That's probably what brought them down." Then he went on to explain blood clotting up into a knot, warmth of your body, and all' that because I didn't know; and he explained it to me. So I had them removed and there was no more said about that.
 - You have stated that you were released from the strip cell on this occasion. When was the next time you were placed in the strip cell, approximately?
 - Now, sir, if this was the first time -- see, the first and the second times in the strip cells were so close together. might have been the second time. Well, if it was the first time, the second time was very shortly after this, and I would say no

- Q. And about how long were you in the strip cell on that occasion?
 - A. Around five days.

- Q. Were the conditions essentially the same as you have described them?
- A. Well, it was a different cell, but it wasn't as bad as that specific cell, but it was dirty still. It wasn't clean.
 - Q. In what way?
- A. Well, I mean there wasn't as much body waste in this cell.

 The odor was bad and things, but it wasn't that where you was

 afraid to sit on the toilet.
- Q. Were you in the strip cell on another occasion?

 THE COURT: How many days, Mr. Wells, on the last occasion? Five days, was it, on this last occasion?

THE WITNESS: The last I was just speaking of. I'm pretty sure it was five days. I know I did a three-day stretch, then a five-day, then a long one.

MR. COHLER: Q. Would you turn your attention to the third time you were in the strip cell and tell the Court when that was?

A. October the 26th of 1965, I entered the strip cell, and came out around November -- Thanksgiving holiday I came out of the strip cell for about five days and I went back until Christmas Eve day, the 24th of December. So I did 58 days in

- isolation; excluding five of those days, I did them all in the strip cell.
 - Q. And those five days were around Thanksgiving time?
 - A. Yes, around that period. I don't know the exact dates.
 - Q. Were you a ward of the Youth Authority at that time?
 - A. Yes, I was.

5

6

7

8

₂ 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You had a Y.A. number?
- A. Yes, I did.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- MR. COHLER: Q. What did you wear when you were put into the strip cell at the beginning of that period in October?
- A. Nothing.
- Q. There was a period of time when you were in the strip cell during the period from the end of October until the end of December, approximately, that you had no clothing?
 - A. When I was put in.
 - Q. When did you get clothing?
 - A. The next day.
- Q. Did you have clothing the remainder of those days you were in the strip cell?
 - A. I did, yes.
- Q. You have described metal flaps previously. Were the flaps opened or closed when you were put in the strip cell the end of October?

A. Closed.

- Q. Were they ever opened at all during the time you were in the strip cell?
- A. Yes. They were opened during the time I was in the strip cell.
- Q. About how often were they open and closed and how that varied, please?
- A. They were opened -- when they first started open -- Officer Mash opened -- he start -- was the one that started opening the flaps on his shift in the afternoon through the night. And if we didn't make too much noise or talk too loud they would stay open until the morning when the next shift was working, and if didn't nothing happen I guess they would probably leave them open, but if ir. Spoon was working he'd usually close them when he came on if they were open. Now if they were closed when Mr. Nash came on he would leave them closed. This was the first stage of this 50-day sentence. But after he'd been in there so long, Mr. Nash began just to leave -- if they was closed when he came he would open them.
- Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Nash about the flaps during this period?
- A. Yes, I did. We had conversations with a whole lot of people about trying to get them open.
 - Q. Will you tell the Court about those conversations?
 - A. Well, would it be right for me to say by somebody else?

1 Because I didn't --

5

6

8

9-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- Q. Only your own knowledge; not what some one else may have told you.
 - A. Not what somebody else did that I know did?
 - Q. If you know of your own knowledge.
- A. Alphonso Esparza, he was in there with me for the same thing.

THE COURT: Who was that, Mr. Wells?

THE WITNESS: Esparza. We was both in there for the same violation: inmate disturbance, making noise.

Okay, and when it was nearing Christmas and we wanted to write letters, I wanted to write and let my mother know why she couldn't come see me, but I couldn't. We would ask if we could write a letter, how can you write a letter in the dark, so please open the flaps. So anyway Esparza got a 115. That way they had to take him to the Disciplinary Committee. He told them all about how he wanted to write a letter and he should have a way to write a letter and he hadn't done anything to keep from writing a So they said that, yes, the flaps could be opened to write a letter, if he wanted to write a letter. So I don't know if this was supposed to be a rule or written or anything, but that was what was said in the Committee because we were able to write And they give us pencils and paper to write letters.

But now after a couple times of writing letters successfully without no intervening with nobody else, Mr. Nash came back and said one night he is going to open the flaps. Well, we'd have to keep the noise down because if we talk loud he is going to get in trouble. He's going to get chewed out by his superior. And this left us feeling that Mr. Nash wanted to open the flaps; he wanted to do something for us, but if he did he could get in trouble.

MR. GRANUCCI: I move to strike the last sentence which is purely his own conclusion.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I think that the reaction of the inmates in the strip cell is relevant in this inquiry and that reaction should include their impression of the officers and the way in which they observed the demeanor of the officer. It might not have a lot of weight --

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

_{-,} 5

MR. COHLER: Q. You may continue, Mr. Wells.

A. And Officer Nash and his relief officer, Officer Thompson Officer Nash would work five days and Officer Thompson would relieve two. Those two officers -- well, they did treat us different from all of the rest of them. They -- like bringing water in, for instance.

Now, they have a little cup about the size of a Dixie Cup in the room and they fill it up with water. Now, some of the officers would fill it up with water and walk out, and that's the truth. They would fill it up with water and walk out and that was our water for that half of the day. I don't care what nobody says

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

no angel.

the only man that was doing us any real -- treating us like human's Now, I'm trying to sound like some angel or something; I'm not. I've done a lot of wrong, but I don't think I've ever done anything as bad to be kept in a place like this as long --

that's what happened to me. But Mr. Nash would fill it up with

I raised noise and things because, I don t

water and let you drink it and fill it up again till you got

know: I didn't have anything else to do but sit in there, so I

figured I might as well do something. So I'd make noise and let

off tension and get the pressure off of me, but I wouldn't mess

up on his shift because he was being good; and if I messed up it

would step on his toes, and that probably would end up messing up

filled up with water and leave some water in your cup.

I messed up.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, I object to the conclusionary statement of this withess. I think that he has lapsed over from testimony into argument, and improper argument at that.

THE COURT: Well, it is a natural protestation that would ordinarily come from a man who had been subjected to some type of penal services. I will allow it.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I'm talking wrong I'm sorry, but I want to talk.

THE COURT: You can talk.

MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Wells, I don't want to interrupt you. Was there a particular incident that you can recall shortly prior to Thanksgiving involving an inmate housed next door to you and

at least in part yourself?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was an incident involving me -- well, it really didn't involve me because they made it involve me; but this inmate, Esparza, was caught with some tobacco in his cell that you roll cigarettes with, and this officer had found what they called a contraband because you ain't supposed to smoke. found the tobacco in his cell and we'd just got out of the strip cell and we were nearing the end of the first 29 days' sentence when he found it. So he said, "Okay, we're going to go to the strip cell:" and Esparza, I guess he was sick of the strip cell. He started arguing, "I don't want to go to the strip cell; you can give me five days lock-up but please don't." So they got in a verbal boisterous argument, and both of them are of Mexican descent and they were arguing in Mexican, and I was in the first cell and I called him because he was a good friend of mine and I was tired of seeing him get hung out because they dropped the bait out.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. That is an improper conclusion.

THE COURT: Yes, I will strike "dropping the bait out."

MR. COHLER: Q. You may continue, Mr. Wells. Try to

limit.yourself to your own observations.

A. Well, they was involved in a loud argument. I told the inmate, Esparza, to stop arguing, just do what he says because we was going to get out in a few days. Anyway Esparza had threatened

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the man. He says, "If you keep bothering me I'm going to cut That's what he said. The man struck his chest your heart out." out and said, "Cut it." So they kept arguing and finally he says, "No. not unless I can take my personal letters." So anyway that's when I told him, "Man, be quiet; don't say nothing else;" as I was saying this some officers -- I guess the officers up front -had called for some more officers to take Esparza to the strip cell. Well, I'd just got through telling him that and they told me to, shut up, big-mouth, you're going too."

So they took Esparza to the strip cell, came back and took me to the strip cell.

- Two different cells?
- Yes, two different. These didn't have the cement commode in the corner. These had a hole in the floor.

And they took me back there; and on the way back there I stopped to ask Sergeant Frederick, who was walking on my left, why I was going to the strip cell. I slowed down my pace of walking and turned toward him at an angle, and before I could get it out of my mouth Officer Fontana put me in a choke-hold. Sergeant Frederick grabbed me by my testicles with his fist and Officer Nance grabbed both of my feet off the ground. So I was off the ground and Officer Miller was hitting me in my mid-section with a closed fist. They took me to the strip cell that way and I couldn't breathe. I was struggling, trying to get some breath and trying to get the man's hand from where it was because it was

hurting; and they took me in a cell and through me; and I asked them what did you do that for -- I was mad, I was really mad, and they told me, "We were subduing you."

The next day we went to the Disciplinary Committee.

Esparza went for contraband and beligerance to an officer and I

went for agitation. They said I agitated Esparza into arguing.

I got 29 days again, plus I got restricted diet. That's the little

a little thing made out of a whole bunch of food put together in

one little compressed box. And Esparza got 29 days without R.D.

But when they gave it to him he was mad; and he was arguing with

them and screaming he didn't want 29 more days in that place,

because the first 29 days they said we didn't have to do it all in

the strip cell but we done 22 days of it and during that 22 days

I didn't ever get no 115. So they couldn't say that they kept me

back there for 22 days because I was messing up because I didn't

get a 115.

So he said, well if he kept us in there, "I know you are going to keep us all of this 29 days," so they told him he was beating on the front of the cell making noise and they told him to give his coveralls to them and his canvas mat because he was making this noise. And he told them, "No, I'm not going to give my coveralls and my mat to you;" because he'd already been stripped one time in there all night and he said he wasn't going to freeze to death. So they went and got some tear gas and came back with a little red container of tear gas and a gas mask. Ufficer Miller

stood in front of my cell and Esparza's cell -- our cells was side by side -- and my flap was down because the Committee, didn't take us to the Committee room -- they came to the strip cell and heard us in front of the cell and told us we was guilty. So that's why the flaps was down, because the Committee was back there.

Now, all of the Committee was present. I don't know his first name -- Mr. Johnston, Lieutenant Flores, Sergeant Frederick, officer Mota, and I am pretty sure Counselor De Carli was there; and he told them if he didn't give them his coveralls and his canvas mat that he was going to have to put some tear gas in his cell. Esparza told them, "Well, if that's what it's going to be, but I don't think it can be worse than freezing because I'm not going to give you my coveralls and canvas mat."

- Q. Who is he?
- A. Officer Miller. He walked in the cell with the gas mask on and sprayed. All I heard was a big "sh-h-h" noise, you know, loud air, and like there was a lot of people fighting in there. thought they'd went in there and physically taken them off, but it was Esparza on the floor. It just made him do that. He couldn't breathe and he was trying to breathe and he was going into convulsions and things in there; and the man kept talking to him through the thing. He eventually did shove out his mattress and jumped out off his overalls.
 - Q. You didn't see any of that happening?

`8

A. Well, he had to shove them out because our cells was right next door together and Officer Miller came out with the mat and the coveralls. And at that time I was pretty mad because I hadn't said anything, and this tear gas -- it just don't stay in one spot. It moves. All over the place. And It's all in my cell and I'm burning up and coughing and choking, and I'm telling them, "Why did you have to do that? Come in here; don't pick on him. Come in here and take mine." And I threatened them to come in there.

So with a little tear gas that they had left, he squirted it in my room and closed my flaps and walked on.

- Q. How much was there left?
- A. Not enough to hurt me like it hurt him. He'd got the majority of the can.
 - Q. Do you have more to relate about that incident, Mr. Wells?
 - A. Yes, I have a little more.

At night Mr. Nash came on. Mr. Nash -- Officer Nash came on -- wait a minute. I'm not sure if Officer Nash came on that night. I'm not positive, but whatever officer, they opened the flaps and doors of Esparza's cell and they opened the door out because his cell was full of it. And his throat -- he was messed up. And he kept asking could he have some clothes, and they said not until the man that took them came back on shift.

So that night I still had my canvas mat and I picked thread out of my mat and made a line and took my coveralls off

and tied them in a ball and threw them out the hole in the screen. And he made a thread -- he had a thread that he'd made before; we used to fish sandwiches back to each other to eat because of the ordeal he was on -- he had to eat on, because he couldn't eat that. So we had two lines and I sent him my overalls that night. He slept in mine. If he had got caught I would have got some more time because I was violating an inmate rule of contraband line in my room.

So the next day they gave him his clothes back about noon-time. That's all I have to say.

- Q. Mr. Wells, were you given a toothbrush at all during the 50 or so days?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Did you get one after you were released from isolation?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Wells, do you have an occasion to see the dentist shortly after you left the strip cell?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Tell the Court about that.
- A. Well, when I left the strip cell I hadn't brushed my teeth in so long that my gums, my front -- I had two cavities that I had put in -- maybe it was on the streets -- but I had two cavities in the front of my teeth up back near the gums, and I hadn't brushed my teeth so that my gums had growed down over these cavities or attached to them. And when I came out of the strip

cell and brushed my teeth -- when I first came out I was brushing them quite regular and the two cavities was gone. One day, I didn't know when they came out. Just one day there was a hole 3 there, then it was gone. So I put in a request to see the centist and he'd asked me, "You haven't been brushing your teeth 5 very often, have you?" And I told him, "No." He says, "That's why your cavities fell out, because when you did get to brush 7 them your gums had growed down here,"and when I brushed them the 8 gum broke back up and the cavity was loosened and fell out --9 washed out. And he refilled them for me. 10

Q. Thank you, Mr. Wells.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Mr. Wells, you are presently on parole, are you not?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Where are you on parole?
- A. Here in San Francisco.
- Q. Now, you testified that you were an inmate of Soledad for how long?
 - A. Around a year.
- Q. Around a year. And the first time you went into a quiet cell was when?
- A. It was either August or September -- was my memory -- what I can remember.

MR: COHLER:

MR. COHLER:

May I see it?

3

2

MR. GRANUCCI: It is a copy of his affidavit.

Would you mind having it marked for

I show you a document. Have you seen that?

4

identification if you are going to use it?

6

5

MR. GRANUCCI: I am just refreshing the witness! recollec-

tion.

7

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Have you examined that document?

8

Yeah, I looked at it.

10

Does it refresh your recollection as to the amount of time you spent in the quiet cell on the first instance?

11 12

It says from the 3rd to the 5th of August.

13

Now, were the flaps closed all the time, Mr. Wells? Q.

14

During this three-day period. Α.

15

What about when they brought you your meals? Q_{\bullet}

16

They opened the door. A.,

17

They opened the door? Q.

18

Yes. Α.

19

How long did they leave the door open?

20

Long enough to put the plate on the floor.

21

And then closed it again? Q.

22

Yes, sir. Α.

23

Did they come again to pick up the plate?

24

A. Yes, sir.

And what did they do then?

- A. Open the door, take the plate out.
- $ho_3 +
 ho_4$. And closed the door again?
 - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How often did they bring you water?
- A. Once in the morning and most of the time twice in the afternoon.
- Q. Twice in the afternoon? Do you know what times in the afternoon?
- A. No. There wasn't no way I could tell the time, but I know once the first time would be when it was daylight and the next time dark.
- Q. The same officer would bring you water both times in the afternoon?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember who that was?
- A. At this period of time -- this month, I can't remember exactly who was working at nights.
- Q. Okay. Did they bring you toilet paper while you were in the cell?
- A. It was toilet paper in the cell when I came into it. The only thing I was brought while I was in the cell was food and water.
 - Q. They never brought you toilet paper?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. And you wore blue coveralls that first time?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, the second time you were in a quiet cell was when?
 - A. I don't know exactly, sir.
 - Q. Does the date October 26, 1965, mean anything to you?
 - A. Yes. That was the third time, I think.
 - Q. It was the third time?
 - A. That was the time where I did 58 days in isolation.
 - Q. Were the flaps closed when you entered in October?
 - A. When I first went in, yes.
 - Q. They kept them closed?
- A. They kept them closed until we had -- until Esparza requested through the Disciplinary Committee that we might be able to write letters to our mothers.
 - Q. Yes. Now, what about for feeding? Were they open?
 - A. They opened the door.
 - Q. Would they close it again?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And they took your plate out again?
- A. Open the door and take the plate and close the door.
 - Q. And that was twice a day?
 - A. Twice a day.
 - Q. Do they ever open the flaps for a head count?
- A. They opened the door. The flap, the small square on the door -- they opened and shine a flashlight and close it.
 - Q. And that is all?

- A. Yes. That is all.
- o. How many times a day?
- A. They don't do it in the day time. They count at night.
- Q. How many times?
- A. I don't know, sir.
- Q. You say they never took a head count during the day?
- A. Well, maybe they took their head count when they feed.
- 8 Maybe.

2

4

5

6

10

11

. 12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- ' Q. Who brought you your food?
 - A. The officer on shift.
 - Q. Did inmate porter ever bring you your food?
- A. Not in the strip cell, no. He would be on the food cart, but he wouldn't be allowed to bring the food inside the cell when I was in there. This time the officer did. But later on after -- en the strip where I did 50 days, I think in about December sometime, the inmate orderly did bring food in there, but I wasn't eating food then. I was eating R.D.
- Q. And now back to the August -- your first time in the quiet cell in August. Did they flush the toilets?
 - A. Yes, they flushed them in August.
 - Q. When?
 - A. About twice a day.
 - Q. When?
- A. Well, when I first went in the strip cell in August I can remember this, that they flushed the toilet early in the morning

- and they flushed it in the afternoon after dinner:
 - Q. Did they ever flush it at night?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How about when you were in in October?
- A. Well, it varies in October.
- Q. How about the second time?
- A. Depends on what officer was on, what kind of -- how the toilet would be flushed. If Mr. Spoon was working he'd flush it at 4:00 o'clock in the morning and then -- then you'd have to get up in the morning and use the bathroom and he wouldn't flush it no more. Mr. Nash would flush it when he came on shift, and if we hollered where he could hear you he'd flush it when you asked him sometimes.
 - Q. He would?
 - A. Sometimes.
 - Q. Do you think Mr. Nash was pretty good to you?
- A. I don't know. Maybe Mr. Nash was doing what he was supposed to do.
- Q. Now, you say you were sitting on the cold floor in August, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you have a mat to sit on?
 - A. Yes, I had a mat.
 - Q. Did you sit on the mat?
 - A. Well, I rolled it up and I tried to sit on it but the mat

3

4

5.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So you preferred to sit on the floor?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Even though the floor was cold?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Mr. Wells, do you speak Spanish?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. You don't speak any Spanish at all?
- A. A few slang words.
- Q. A few slang words?
- A. And a few common words such as hello and things. I don't mow how to speak the Spanish language.
- Q. If two people were speaking together, would you understand them?
 - A. Depends on what they was talking about.
 - Q. Supposing it involves cutting somebody's heart out?
- A. Well, now if you are referring to Esparza, he didn't say that in Spanish.
 - Q. I didn't?
 - A. No. He said it in English.
 - Q. And Officer Mota said that in English?
- A. Said, "Here, cut it." Do you want me to tell you what they said? Well, they was talking about each other, you know "chingado tu madre."
 - Q. I'm afraid I don't understand things like that, Mr. Wells.

And I could understand because I was there for three years 1 Well, now --Q. 2 You opened the subject. THE COURT: 3 I suppose I did, Your Honor, I suppose I MR. GRANUCCI: 4 did. 5 MR. GRANUCCI: Q. But, now, Mr. Wells, let us get this 6 one thing absolutely clear. When Esparza told Mota that he was going to cut his heart out, was that in English or Spanish? English, sir. Α. 9 Would you swear to that under oath? Q. 10 Your Honor, the witness is under oath. MR. COHLER: 11 THE WITNESS: I would swear to it. 12 MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Now, did you ever give any coveralls to 13 Esparza? 14 Yes, I did. Α. 15 Was this before or after the gas incident? Q. 16 After the gas incident. Α. 17 Q. You are sure? 18 Yes, I'm sure. 19 A_{\bullet} And who was present at that incident? 20 Q. When they tear-gassed him or --21 A. When they tear-gassed Esparza? 22 Q. Want me to name all the people that I can remember? 23 That you can remember. 24

Mr. Johnston, Mr. De Carli, Mr. Kiepura, Lieutenant Flores

Sergeant Frederick, and Officer Mota.

- Q. They were all there?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How long a burst of gas was fired into Esparza's cell?
- A. I don't know how much it was. The can of gas is compressed tear gas and it's about this big (indicating) and it's mostly used to quiet down a whole lot of people, and it was squirted into this small cell; and I don't know how long it was squirting, but it was a lot of gas because the whole tier was up and coughing.
 - Q. The gas spread out from Esparza's cell?
 - A. Over the whole tier.
 - Q. What did they do when they finished gassing Esparza?
- A. They closed his door and his door was opened later on because the man that came on didn't understand what all this gas in this cell -- he opened the door trying to get all that gas out of there. My flaps were closed.
 - Q. Did you get gas in your cell?
 - A. Just a little bit because I only got a little bit.
 - Q. Officer Mota tried to gas you?
 - A. Yeah, but it wasn't very much gas.
 - Q. When did he do that?
- A. He just walked in front of my cell and squirted. Oh, yes. I threw a cup of water while they were throwing the gas, and maybe that's the reason that he squirted gas at me, because I was kind of hostile and I was screaming loud and I was very angry

because of the lack of understanding of these officials -- were supposed to be the bosses and they were standing up there like vultures watching this man gas this boy.

MR. GRANUCCI: I will ask that the word vultures be stricken from the record.

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

-15

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Now, Mr. Wells, you say you threw a cup of water --

THE COURT: That may be the way it appeared to him at the time.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Was it accidental or did you do it deliberately?

- A. Purposely. I was mad. I wanted to throw something and that was the only thing to throw was a cup of water.
- Q. You didn't accidentally hit the bars, did you, and spill the cup on the people there?
- A. No . The people there were out in the hallway and there's a screen, you know. And they were standing there while he was doing this tear-gassing, and I was hollering, "Why are you doing this?" I was screaming; I don't know everything I said -- some bad words. I said a lot of bad things.
 - Q. But you did throw the water?
- A. I threw the cup of water up against the screen and it sprayed out.
 - Q. You are sure it was water?

- A. I'm positive it was water -- and I know I'm under oath.
 - Q. Do you know the difference between water and urine?
 - A. Yes, I do.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you are sure it was water?
- A. I'm positive it was water.
- Q. Now this cup, was this an extra cup you had in your cell?
- A. This was the only cup I had in my cell at this time. I have had more than one cup in my cell, but I only had one this time.
 - Q. They allowed you to keep extra cups?
- 11 A. No. Sometimes I'd get two cups in there for the purpose 12 of -- when Mr. Nash come on I would try to get them all filled up 13 and wash my hands and face.
 - Q. How would you dry your hands and face?
 - A. On my coveralls.
 - Q. You would?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, you have been sitting here throughout these proceeding here in San Francisco?
 - A. Since yesterday.
 - Q. No further questions.

MR. COHLER: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No questions. Thank you, Mr. Wells.

MR. COHLER: Thank you Mr. Wells.

Please call Mr. Jordan.

ROBERT CHARLES JORDAN, JR., 1

called as a witness on his own behalf, who, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Would you please state your full name for the record?

> Robert Charles Jordan, Jr. THE WITNESS:

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER: 9

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

21

- MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Jordan, how old are you, please?
- A. Twenty-seven years old.
- And, except for the fact that you are here for this hearing in San Francisco, are you presently an inmate at the Correctional Training Facility in Soledad?
 - Α. Yes, I am.
- Have you been at the Correctional Training Facility, for periods of time, more than once?
 - Α. I have been there twice.
- You were there once; you were transferred somewhere else 20 and brought back, is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- When were you transferred to the Correctional Training 22 Facility most recently leading up to your present incarceration 23 24 there?
 - June of 1965. June, last part of -- June 27th, somewhere

of '65.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. When you were at the Correctional Training Facility, was there an area known to you as Isolation?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And an area known to you as a strip cell?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you please tell the Court where the strip cell and the isolation areas are?
- A. The strip cells are located in 0-Wing, which is the Adjustment Center on the first floor on the left-hand side, in the back behind the grill gate separating from the regular isolation center.
- Q. Please speak as loudly as you can. Take your time, any time you need to answer.
- Mr. Jordan, have you ever been detained in a strip cell at Soledad?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I am referring now only to the period of time that you have been at Soledad since you returned at the end of June, 1965, when was the first time you were put in a strip cell at that period of time?
 - A. July 9th, 1965.
 - Q. And how long were you kept in a strip cell during July,
- 24 1965?
 - A. Twelve days.

- Q. During the twelve days, Mr. Jordan, did you ever have writing materials in your strip cell?
- A. I had a potition and three forms that were returned to no by the Court in my cell from about 13th or 14th of July, and was allowed two pieces of paper and I smuggled in a pencil from my next-door neighbor, and that is all I had.
- Q. Was there light to write by in the cell when you had this paper and pencil?
 - A. No.

2

3

7

9

10

14

- Q. Did you write?
- A. I wrote on the two pieces of paper and I smuggled in two process of paper -- or three -- I forget exactly how much -- and I wrote on the pieces of paper, yes.
 - Q. Mr. Jordan, would you please describe the window and foor openings of the strip cell, as you recall it, during this period in July?
 - A. The windows and the doors?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- A. The door is a solid door and it has a little square in it with a crossbar or -- I may be wrong about crossbar, but it has a creen in front of it and it has a flap that lets down and lets up, and it's got a little hook on it. When they put it up the nook snaps like a night light on a door, and that keeps the flap up, and they have a space -- it is not a window, it is just an opening in the wall. There is no glass in it. And they have a

- 1 screen and they have squared bars -- they form squares -- and 2 they have a flap, a big metal flap that goes over this with the same type of hook on it.
 - Mr. Jordan, during the period July 9 through July 20, 1965, when you were in the strip cell, were these flaps ever closed?
 - Just about all the time, yes.
- Was there a period of time when they were left open for awhile?
- On one occasion Officer Hoagland left them open for approximately an hour, or hour and fifteen minutes, in order for 12 me to write some letters, and -- and the doors were opened 13 during my chow, which is about fifteen or twenty minutes or 14 twice a day.
- How much ventilation was there when the flaps were 15 16 closed?
- 17 I don't know. The ventilators that they had, as far as I know, didn't work. They have ventilators in there, but they 19 to not work, and they have little cracks where they put the flap up, and they have a little crack under the door, and this is all the ventilation that you get.
- Mr. Jordan, would you recognize personnel at the institution known as a medical-technical instructor or M.T.A, if you 24 saw one?
 - Α. Yes.

- Q. They wear distinguishing clothing?
- A. They wear white uniforms.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with an M.T.A. when you were in the strip cell in July, 1965?
 - A. I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

24

- Q. As best as you recall would you tell the Court, please, what that conversation involved?
- A. I had a rash on my body that I asked for treatment for.

 I had an eye irritation that I asked for an eye wash of some type
 for, and my knees and elbow joints were hurting and my hip
 because I was laying on the floor, and they were bothering me
 and I asked could I have a balm of some type for this and I
 asked to see a doctor.
 - Q. You asked to see the doctor?
 - A. I asked to see the doctor.
- Q. Starting with the period July 9, would you tell the Court, please, the first time that you actually wrote to the doctor?
- A. I wrote to the doctor approximately the week after I was released from the strip cell, which I'd say was the 1st of August. I don't know -- I was in 106 when I wrote him. That was up front. I'd say it was a week. I got out the 20th and I guess I wrote him about the 29th or somewhere around there.
 - Q. What was the name of the doctor?
 - A. Conkle.

- Q. Do you know what his position was at the institution?
- A. He is the Chief Medical Officer.
- Q. And when you wrote to Dr. Conkle, what did you write about?
- A. I told him that my stomach was bothering me and that I believed -- I didn't know because I am not a doctor -- but I believed that I had hemorrhoids, and I'd like to be taken to the hospital and given a physical examination and some type of treatment for my stomach troubles.
- Q. Did you say anything to Dr. Conkle about your request to be taken to the hospital?
- A. Not that I can recall. I mentioned the fact that I had just got out of the strip cell and I was hurting all over, but primarily what I was interested in was getting some kind of treatment for my stomach and for what I believed were hemorrhoids.
 - Q. Did you get any response from Dr. Conkle?
 - A. No.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. Did you write again to Dr. Conkle at a subsequent time?
- A. Twice.
- Q. When was the next time, please, as you recall it?
- A. Approximately November or late October, I forget exactly.

 23 I wrote to Dr. Conkle and a Dr. Bailey.
 - Q. Who is Dr. Bailey, please?
 - A. Dr. Bailey is the dentist.

What did you write to Dr. Conkle at that time, the

- 3 4

1

- 7
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

- 16

- second time you wrote to him? Essentially the same thing. I told him that I wrote Α.
- him once before and he hadn't answered the letter, so I didn't think he had got it because I gave it to the M.T.A. instead of regular mail channels so I figured the M.T.A. put it in his pocket and lost it, so I was writing again and I was enclosing a Request for Interview slip along with my letter and I'd anpheciate it if he could call me to the hospital because I believed I was sick and I thought I had a serious complaint. I have got a complaint and could he see me.
 - Did you get any response from Dr. Conkle to that letter? ્વુ**.** A_{\bullet} No.
- You say you also wrote to Dr. Bailey. What did you write to Dr. Bailey?
- I had seen Dr. Conkle when he come through. See, he comes through, but he won't stop and talk to you. He will ask what is wrong and keeps right on walking. All he has is a formality: how are you: bye; and he is gone; and I asked him 20 to contact Dr. -- I didn't know who the dentist was -- I asked 21 him to contact the dentist because I had a filling that -- well, a tooth that had chipped and I had a filling I thought was coming loose, and I had a tooth decayed, and I'd like to get them fixed; and he told me that I was on the waiting list. I'd talked with the M.T.A. I don't know how many times, and I

had seen him just about twice a week for about a month.

- Q. The M.T.A.?
- A. M.T.A. assistant, and he kept telling me I was on the list, and I couldn't understand why I wasn't being taken up since I was on the list; so I got Officer Fincannon to call and find out what the dentist's name was, and I wrote him a letter and enclosed the interview slip, and I sent that through the regular mails and he got it and called me over.
- Q. You saw Dr. Bailey shortly after you sent him the interview slip and letter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did he do something for your teeth?
- A. They extracted two teeth. One was chipped and the one that was decayed. He extracted them and that was about three days after I wrote my letter; and then he called me back again and he filled the loose filling and another one; and then they called me back again, and I didn't want anything done then. And then I wrote him again -- no, I didn't write him; I just sent a request for interview and they took me back up, till now I have get all my teeth filled or worked on that I need repaired.
- Q. You say you went back on one occasion to the dentist and you didn't want anything done. Did you refuse treatment at that time?
- A. Yes. Well, we had a little misunderstanding. He wanted to pull one and I didn't want it to be pulled because I don't

. .

have any teeth on the top and it was a molar and if I let him pull that, then I wouldn't have anything to chew on. So I asked him could he fill it. He said it was very deep and he didn't believe it was possible. I said, "Well, in that case I don't want it pulled; I will just leave it there." So later I went back and he agreed to just fill it. He examined it and took X-rays and he decided it may be possible that he could fill it without pulling it.

- Q. You have told the Court that you wrote to Dr. Conkle twice and, although you thought one of them may have miscarried, you didn't receive a response. Did you write again?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Approximately when was that?
- A. First part of February, about the 6th or 7th.
- Q. Of this year?
- A. Yes, '66.
- Q. Did you see Dr. Conkle after you wrote to him in February, 1966?
- A. Yes, I seen him. I think it was within about five days six days; after I wrote him he called me over to the hospital.
- Q. Do you happen to remember the date that he called you over to the hospital?
 - A. The 14th I am pretty sure. It might have been the 15th.
 - Q. Of February?
 - A. Of February.

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- And at that time what did Dr. Conkle do during his examination?
- He gave me a -- he looked in my eyes with a little flashlight -- well, it is not a flashlight, it's -- he looked in my eyes with a light and he looked in my ears. He looked at my fingers; had me hold my fingers out; tapped me with a hammer; looked in my throat; looked in my rectum; and felt in my groin; had me cough and held the stethoscope, or whatever you call it, up to my heart, and that was it.
 - Did he take a urine sample?
 - A. No.
 - Did he take a blood sample?
 - No. Α.
 - Mr. Jordan, would you turn your attention back now THE COURT: Let's take a short recess.
 - MR. COHLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 - (Whereupon a ten minuté recess ensued)
- Mr. Jordan, would you please turn your MR. COHLER: Q. attention to the period July 9th through July 20th, 1965, when you were in the strip cell at Soledad? Would you tell the Court, please, the state of cleanliness of the cell when you were first brought to it on July 9th?
- I don't know about July 9th because it was at night when I came in and I couldn't see. But the next day -- you know the policy between inmates; if you are put in a cell you kind of

shake it down to make sure there isn't any contraband that they are going to blame on you. You kind of shake down the cell or search the cell to see that there isn't any contraband in there or something of that nature that they might try to claim is yours and, therefore, give you more time in that cell or lead up to something that they can give you. So I inspected the cell as best I could, and it was dirty. They had stuff all over the commode. They had writings on the wall and -- well, not writings. I shouldn't say writings, but they had either blood or beet juice or something like that. A guy wrote "hapa" --

THE WITNESS: I said somebody had wrote "hapa."

MR. COHLER: Q. Would you spell that, please?

A. H-a-p-a, or something like that. It is a Spanish word that means hurrah, or something like that.

there was a little food droppings on the wall in the back of the cell there with what I consider human defecation, because -- I didn't touch it or anything like this to see for sure. I just take it for granted from the smell that is what it was. And that night, from laying on the floor -- which I was given a mat, but I'm pretty tall and your head sticks out from under the mat, so you have to lay it on the floor because there is no pillows -- and I'd say there was urine on the floor because of the smell, and when you walk around, which I did a lot of that night, and I was barefooted, you could feel the

sticky on the floor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. Was there an odor in the cell?
- A. There was an odor.
- Q. Would you describe the odor, please?
- A. I can't describe the odor. All I can say, it was a foul odor. It didn't exactly smell like a sewer because it wasn't that foul, but it was one of those odors you just kind of -- nauseous; you don't like it. You'd like to get away from it, and it causes your eyes to burn. It irritates them and makes you want to wipe them and close them, and it bothers you pretty bad.
- Q. Does the smell give you the impression at all that it might have been the smell of bodily waste?
- A. Yes, bodily waste plus old food, because old food, bodily waste all mixed together and just it comes up smelling pretty bad.
- Q. Did you ever become ill in the sense of vomiting when you were in the cell at this time?
 - A. On two occasions I became ill, yes.
 - Q. Did you vomit at either of those times?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was the vomit ever cleaned up?
- A. By myself with my hands. Nobody likes to leave that stuff there, so you scrape it together with your hand, but it still leaves the stuff on the floor that you can't scrape up.

Nobody cleaned it up but me, though.

- Q. Was the cell cleaned at any time in any way by anyone, except yourself?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Were you ever given anything to clean the cell with?
 - A. No.

THE COURT: Over what period of time?

MR. COHLER: July 9th through July 20.

MR. COHLER: Q. Is that the way you understood my question?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you were first put into the strip cell on July 9, 1965, Mr. Jordan, what were you permitted to wear as you were put into the cell?
- A. I wasn't permitted to wear anything. I was taken out of my cell on the second tier, which was a long-term segregation. medium classification, I guess, and I was taken to the isolation -- to the strip cell, and I was ordered to take my clothes off, and I put up what I call a vocal protest about this. And I was --

THE COURT: You put up a vocal protest?

THE WITNESS: That is what I call it. It's a little more than a vocal; it was a profane protest. I didn't want to give them up. It got kind of sticky there, but I ended up giving them up; and they put me in a cell.

Q. How long were you in the cell, starting with July 9th, 2 1965, without any clothing at all?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

21

22

23

- A. Till -- from that Friday night till the next Friday evening.
 - Q. Approximately a week?
 - A. Approximately, yes.
- Q. Did you have any clothing at all during that period of time?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall a conversation on the first Monday, which was the 4th day that you were in the strip cell; in July, 1965?
 - A. The Monday following the Friday? Yes.
- Q. Do you recall -- withdraw that. Are you certain, in your own recollection, with whom that conversation was held?
- A. I'm not absolutely sure. I believe it was Officer Dodd; but I couldn't be sure. I arrived at the name Officer Dodd because it was only, oh, five officers it could have been. It was a day officer which eliminates a couple, and there was a couple more officers that I wouldn't ask for anything under any circumstances; so I figured it was Officer Dodd, or it could have been Officer Nance.
 - Q. You say -- go ahead.
 - A. I was through.
- Q. You say you are certain it wasn't at least one other officer because there are some people you won't ask for anything.

Why is that?

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 0-Wing at this time -- they have changed the designation of the buildings -- but at this time they had two sections; one was the Adjustment Center, which was X-Wing, and the O-Wing was a long-term segregation, and this wasn't an This was problem inmates. Adjustment Center. These were the inmates that were unmotivated who did not have any desire to go along with the program, in their estimation, or had no desire to conform to their program, and who they felt didn't deserve any better than what they were getting, which was to sit in a room and vegetate until they showed some desire to go along with the program and then give them some privileges. And they had a certain, what I call a type of correctional officer working in there who was more or less told that these individuals in this building were not to receive any special favors or anything out of the way that they didn't have come by it.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection. I don't like to interrupt the witness, but I think we are getting into hearsay, and we are also getting into remote matters and speculative matters of opinion, and not direct testimony.

MR. COHLER: If there is a reasonable motion to strike, I will agree to it.

MR. GRANUCCI: I move to strike.

MR. COHLER: How much? There has been a lot of testimony. Do you want to have it read back?

MR. GRANUCCI: Yes. Just the part about the officer 1 being instructed. That may go out. Yes, I recall that. THE COURT: That 3 portion may go out. We will resume at 2:00 next Monday, at the hour of 2:00 5 P.M. 6 The plaintiff, Jordan, is remanded to custody of the 7 Marshall until the further order of this Court. 8 MR. COHLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 MR. GRANUCCI: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 (Whereupon the proceeding was adjourned until 2:00 P.M. 11 on Monday, August 15, 1966.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

25

23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION

BEFORE: HON. GEORGE B. HARRIS, JUDGE

ROBERT CHARLES JORDAN, JR.,

Plaintiff and Petitioner,

VS.

б

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C. J. FITZHARRIS, et al,

Defendants and Respondents.

No. 44309 and 44786

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

EVIDENTIARY HEARING

August 15 and 16, 1966

Reported by: MERILYN SEYBERT

MONDAY, AUGUST 15 1966, AFTERNOON SESSION, 2:00 P.M.

THE CLERK: Civil Actions 44309 and 44786, Robert Charles Jordan, Jr. vs. C. J. Fitzharris, et al., further evidentiary hearing.

MR. COHLER: Ready for the plaintiff and petitioner, your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: Ready for the defendants, Your Honor.

ROBERT CHARLES JORDAN, JR.,

recalled as a witness on his own behalf, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Will the witness restate his name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Robert Charles Jordan, Jr. FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

- Q. When we recessed last Firday, I believe you were beginning to relate a conversation of Monday July 12th after you had been in the quiet or strip cell the previous Friday. Would you tell the Court, please, whether you recall having a conversation on that Monday?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Do you recall with whom that conversation was?
- A. I don't know exactly who it was. I believe it was Officer Dodd, but it may not have been. It may have been somebody else.

THE COURT: Would you try to keep your voice up.

MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Jordan, is there any officer

whom in your mind you don't think it was with?

- A. There is two that I know it couldn't have been.

 It couldn't have been Mr. Nash, because it was a day officer.
 - Q. Is that Mr. Nash, N-a-s-h?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Thank you.
- A. And it couldn't have been Mr. Spoon, because I wouldn't have asked him anything. Other than those two, it could have been any officer.
- Q. You mentioned you wouldn't have asked Officer Spoon for anything. Would you explain that further to the Court, please.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. I think the plaintiff's feelings about a certain custodial officer would not be relevant here. I think the important thing is the physical condition--

THE COURT: Unless he relates the feeling to some abuse or alleged abuse on the part of the individual, I agree.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I wouldn't want to qualify what I think the witness will say as abuse, but I think it bears toward the conduct of the officer as viewed by an inmate.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Spoon was an officer--he didn't have much use for the inmates, and all the inmates understand this. You didn't have anything extra or any favors or anything that he didn't have to do by the orders of his superiors. He didn't do it.

MR. COHLER: Q. What was the conversation about on this Monday with whomever it was, Mr. Jordan?

- A. I asked the officer, could he return my clothes to me, my coveralls anyway, and he said that he would see if he could do it.
- Q. What happened after that? Did you receive your coveralls?
- A. No. He came back--not immediately--he came back later that day and he told me he wasn't authorized to return my clothes to me and that he discussed this with somebody. He didn't say who it was. He just said he talked to somebody up front. And he wasn't authorized to return my clothes to me and I would have to see Sergeant Owens, as he was the one that took them from me.
 - Q. Did you see Sergeant Owens subsequently?
 - A. That Thursday, yes.
- Q. Did you have any conversation before you saw Sergeant Owens on Thursday regarding your clothing?
- A. Not that I recall. I asked--I just asked that officer, and that is the only one I asked for my clothes.

7

5

8

10

13

12

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

- Q. At this time, from the Friday you had been put in the quiet cell or strip cell at least through that Monday, had the flaps been opened or closed?
 - A. They had been closed.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$ Did you have a conversation with anyone about the position of the flaps?
- I don't recall the day. It was, I believe, it was the 14th. I said the other day I thought it was Officer Hoagland, but it was the night officer. He left the flap open for me for about an hour so I could write two letters. He thought I was going to write one letter, but I ended up writing two. And I asked Mr Nash, I think it was Tuesday night or it might have been Wednesday, I asked him if he could let the flaps down, and he told me he wasn't authorized to do that -- he didn't say "not authorized," he just said he couldn't do it, and he said I would have to see the sergeant about it. And he called the sergeant for me and the sergeant told me he couldn't let them down because he wasn't the one that put them up, and I would have to see Sergeant Owens about it since Sergeant Owens put me in the strip cell/ He was the one to decide to put the flaps back down.
- Q. When you say that Sergeant Owens had put you in the strip cell, do you mean physically handled your being placed in the strip cell?

- A. He was the sergeant on duty in the O-wing the night that I was moved to the strip cell, and he was the one that made the order that I be moved, and he was the one that took the clothes and the one that put the flaps up. And according to everybody else, he was the one that was going to have to put the flaps back down and be the one to give me my clothes back.
- \mathbb{Q} . Did you have a conversation with Sergeant Owens when he came back on duty?
 - A. Yes. I saw him on Thursday, the following Thursday.
- Q. Please relate that conversation as best you recall it.
- A. He came back. I asked the officer on duty--I am not sure, but I think that was Mr. Nash too, or Mr. Thompson; it was one of the two--and he came back and he talked to me for about a half hour about the incident that I had been involved in and that got me placed in the strip cell and why did I do it and stuff like this, and at the end he said he was going to give me back my coveralls. And he did this the next night, Friday. He brought my coveralls back to me and he told me that he was going to let me out of the strip cell Monday. He was going to leave a note, because he couldn't move me because after 4:00 o'clock on Fridays his watch couldn't move anyone. And he would leave a note to have me moved Monday if he had the time to do it. And he

 let the flaps down that night.

- $\mathbb{Q}.$ Did the flaps stay down until you were eventually released from the strip cell?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What happened then?
 - A. The officer put them up the next morning.
 - Q. The next day you say?
 - A. The next morning.
- Q. Did they then remain closed until you were released from the quiet cell or strip cell?
- A. No. The morning before I got out, they were let down. Officer Hoagland let them down. I wasn't out yet, but Sergeant Friedrick came back and told me if I didn't make any noise out front that he'd let me out front. So they let the flaps down since I was getting out of the strip cell.
- Q. You said, Mr. Jordan, you had your clothes taken away from you on a Friday that you went in and they weren't given back to you until the end of the next week. Did you have any clothing at all during that period?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Were you permitted to keep your glasses?
 - A. Yes. They didn't take my glasses.
- Q. When were you released from the quiet cell or strip cell?

- A. On the--on Tuesday, I believe, Tuesday afternoon, the 20th.
- Q. Were you taken before a Disciplinary Committee at any time during the period you were in the quiet cell or strip cell?
 - A. Yes, I went to the Committee on Tuesday.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$ That was the first Tuesday after the Friday you were placed in the cell?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Had you been taken to the Disciplinary Committee before that Tuesday at all involving this incident?
 - A. No.
- Q. What had you been told about why you were in the quiet cell or strip cell?
- A. I was told I was in the strip cell because I spit on a correction officer.

THE COURT: Because you what?

THE WITNESS: Spit on him.

MR. COHLER: Q. How much water did you have during the time that you were in the quiet cell or strip cell, Mr. Jordan?

- A. You mean cups? Or how much they gave me?
- Q. Any way that you had water, yes.
- A. They gave me all the water I wanted. They come in right after breakfast or sometimes right before breakfast

and they pour--I had a little white cup and they would pour water, and if I was awake I would drink that and they would give me some more and then they would leave. And at night, officer Nash always gave us all we wanted.

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$ What were the facilities for going to the bathroom in the quiet or strip cell?
- A. They got -- In the cell I was in, they have a concrete block that is raised up off the floor. I don't know how far. I am not too clear on measuring distances. But it was almost knee-high off the floor, and inside they have got a toilet, in the middle of the concrete.
 - Q. How often was that flushed?
 - A. Twice a day.
 - Q. Was it flushed at the same times every day?
- A. No. It wasn't always flushed at the same time. It was just sometimes they would come in real early and flush it in the morning before you woke up and when you wake up it would be flushed. And sometimes they would flush it after dinner--in the morning sometimes they wouldn't flush it--and then at night they flush it at the same time they have the--they bring the water around. It is about 8:00 o'clock, 9:00 o'clock, somewhere around there.
- Q. During the period when you were not permitted any clothing, what did you have to sleep on?
 - A. What they called a strong blanket. It is a canvas

mat about--I would say about five feet long, about four feet wide, something like that, five feet wide. It is two little thin pieces of canvas that has got a blanket in the middle of it, like a horse blanket, and it is triple stitching all the way across so you are not supposed to be able to open it up.

Q. Was the blanket which you were given at the beginning of the period the same blanket as you had at the end?

A. No. We had-- Tuesday I caught this Sergeant
Loefsky--that is the one I talked to about getting my flaps
down--and I told him I couldn't sleep with this blanket.
You see, they have got two kinds of blankets, new ones and
the old ones. The new ones, like I say, they have this
triple stitching. It is like a quilt with stitching going
up and down. And you can't use it too well because it is
made--it is laid out on the floor and you sleep on top of
it. And if you try to fold it over to keep the cold off
you, it doesn't do any good because you have to lay flat
on your back or flat on your stomach, and you have to lay
still or it will come off.

So you try to get you an old blanket that somebody else has already had that was had for maybe 29 days and in 29 days he maybe has made a sleeping bag, like a camper bag, and you can get inside of it and you can sleep a little bit.

It is still cold because it is not thick. But it is better than laying on top of it.

so to start off with, I had a new one and I didn't get an old one until Wednesday. And then the one I did get didn't have any blanket in it.

- Q. Did you ever have a chance to wash at all before you ate your meals, Mr. Jordan?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever have a chance to wash at all during the period July 9th through July 20th when you were in the quiet or strip cell?
 - A. No.
 - Q. There were no towels, soap?
- A. No. They don't let you have -- They didn't then, anyway, didn't let you have no towels, no soap, no tooth brushes, no combs, anything of that type.
- Q. Mr. Jordan, would you think very carefully, please, during the entire period you were in the strip cell or quiet cell from July 9th through July 20th, were you ever offered an opportunity to take a shower?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you in fact ever take a shower?
- A. No. I asked Sergeant Owens Thursday, the following Thursday, when he was talking about giving my clothes back if I could have a shower, and he said I would have to see

the second watch, because he couldn't let me out of my cell to take one.

The second watch, they weren't too happy with me, so they didn't let me have a shower.

- Q. Now, after you were released from the quiet or strip cell, where were you placed?
 - A. In the fifth cell up front.
 - Q. In the general isolation?
 - A. Yes, general isolation.
- Q. If you go to take a shower from the general isolation cell where you were placed after you left the quiet or strip cell, do you use the same shower facilities that you would if you had taken it from the strip cell itself?
- A. Yes. There is only one shower in the section. It is up front.
- Q. How long after you had left the quiet or strip cell and then moved up front did you in fact have a shower?
- A. I got out Tuesday, I believe it was Tuesday. I either had a shower Friday or Saturday, one of the two days.
- Q. Within a few days after you left the quiet or strip cell?
- A. It was about two days, two days, three days, they gave me a shower.
- Q. Are you quite certain you did not have a shower during the time you were in the strip cell or quiet cell?

```
1
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Mr. Jordan, have you ever been convicted of a felony?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was that felony?
- A. Assault with force likely to produce great bodily harm.
 - Q. You were put in the quiet cell on what day?
 - A. On a Friday of July 9th.
- Q. Did they take your clothes away from you when they put you into the quiet cell?
- A. Yes, that night, before they put me in the strip cell, yes.
 - Q. Did they take all of your clothes off?
 - A. All of them, yes.
- Q. Now, did you see Dr. Kunkel at all while you were in the strip cell?
 - A. I saw him twice.
 - Q. Did he--
- A. I don't know. I wouldn't say Dr. Kunkel. I seen the doctor. All the time, Dr. Kunkel doesn't come around. Sometimes it is Dr. Kunkel and sometimes the other doctor.

1

3

Ą

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

17

19

21

22

23

24

He is the same thing. He is a doctor.

- Q. He is not an MTA?
- A. No, he is a doctor.
- Q. Do the MTA's come by regularly?
- A. I would say they did. Sometimes I was asleep and I didn't see them. But every morning I was awake I saw them come back, I saw them come by.
- Q. When you went into the cell, did you notice the condition of cleanliness of the cell?
 - A. Not right then, no.
 - Q. You didn't?
- A. No. It was night, see. They don't have any lights in there, and they shut my door up, and there is no way you can see anything in there.
- Q. So you didn't know then whether the cell was clean or dirty, is that right?
- A. No-- Yes, that is right. I mean, I couldn't tell,
- Q. Was there any nauseating odor in the cell at that time, when you first went in?
 - A. Yes, there was an odor in there, but--
 - Q. Was it a very bad odor?
 - A. Not when I first went in and--
 - Q. Not when you first went in.
 - MR. COHLER: Excuse me. Was the witness through with

his answer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

[4

15

.6

.7

.8

.9

10

1

12

3

4

5

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. If you want to explain your answer, Mr. Jordan, take all the time you want.

MR. COHLER: I couldn't téll.

THE WITNESS: See, when you first go in, it is not so bad because as long as nobody is in the cell they have the door open. So the wind is blowing through there and all this. But when they put you in there, they lock the door and after awhile it kind of fills up. So when I first went in there, you could smell the smell, but it wasn't bad enough to be complaining about.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. It wasn't?

- A. No.
- Q. Was there human body waste smeared all over the walls and floors of the cell?
 - A. There was stuff smeared on the walls.
 - Q. How many walls?
 - A. Just the back wall and the left side wall.
 - Q. Just the back wall and the left side wall.
- A. It wasn't all up to the ceiling or anything. It was a little bit here and a little bit there, like somebody was playing in it or something.
- Q. A little bit here and a little bit there. How about human vomit; was there any of that smeared over the walls?

- A. Not that I could tell.
- Q. Mr. Jordan, I am going to show you page 10 of the original of your complaint filed in this action. I would like you to read--

MR. COHLER: Which action? There are two consolidated.
MR. GRANUCCI: The civil rights, counsel.

I would like you to read page 10, the sentence starts on line 4 and ends on line 6. Would you read it out loud, please.

- A. "The floors and walls of the cell were also encrusted and filthy with the body and urinary wastes of the previous occupants who evidently and obviously placed them there deliberately."
- Q. Now, Mr. Jordan, when you were in the cell, did you write on the walls of the cell?

MR. COHLER: Excuse me just a minute. Would you clarify the time, please?

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. During that whole period, from July 9 to July 20, did you write on the walls of the cell?

- A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. You didn't write at all?
- A. Not that I can recall, no.
- Q. Do you have a nickname in the institution?
- A. I have two nicknames.
- Q. What are your nicknames, Mr. Jordan?

```
1
```

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

A. No. Not then, no.

I am going to show you a couple of photographs and ask you whether they will refresh--all I want to know is a ves or no answer to this question--whether after looking at those photographs you can remember whether you wrote on the walls of the cell.

MR. COHLER: At this time, July 9th to July 20th 1965?

Q. July 9 to July 20. MR. GRANUCCI:

"Spot of Tea" and "Cadillac."

MR. COHLER: 1965?

THE WITNESS: You are asking did I write this?

MR. COHLER: From July 9 to July 20 1965.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. You didn't write that?

I wrote that, yes.

MR. COHLER: I think we ought to be careful about time.

MR. GRANUCCI: I know we have to be careful--

I am not sure the witness is understanding MR. COHLER: you.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. We are talking about this period from July 9th to July 20th. Did you write this?

- A. No.
- Did you write it then?
- A. No.
- Q. The same thing is true of these (indicating)? you write that then?

4

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

- Do you recall when you did write it, Mr. Jordan? Q.
- I have a pretty good idea when I wrote it.
- Would you tell us, please? Q.
- In about 1964, just before I went to San Quentin, A_{\circ} or one time before that, they had me and another inmate named Thomas Walker locked in the strip cells for, I forget how long, but we were back there a week or two together. And this -- We "signed in," is what they call it. He said, "I am going to put mine over here and you put yours over here, and I will put yours over here and put mine over there."
 - But you didn't do it during this July period?
 - A. No, not that I can recall.
- Was there human body waste on the floor of the cell when you went in on the evening of July 9th?
- There were a few smears, but it was more on the walls than it was on the floor. There was urine on the floor. It wasn't smeared on the floor. It was just on the floor.
- Yet you said you didn't notice the smell when you first went in.
- In the first place, when I first went in I wasn't too interested in smelling things. I was mad. It almost took physical force to get me in the cell to start out with. Like I say, it takes awhile for this to build up to

7

9

.4

,5

.6

.8

19

30

32

31

?3

55

4

the spot where you would notice it. The strip cells always smell bad. Every time I have been back there they smelled bad, and I have been back there about a million times. So after awhile when you are put in the strip cell, you expect a little smell. You just associate--you get it in mind from the beginning it is going to smell bad, and it just didn't smell as bad as it got to smelling at the time.

- Q. When did it really get to smelling bad, Mr. Jordan?
- A. I would say about 2:00 o'clock that night, when I got cold and I was running around in the house trying to keep warm and my eyes began to sting and so you stop running and go to rub your eyes. And when you are running, it makes you take deeper breaths, and it begins to get to you.
- Q. Now, you say the flaps were closed--they closed up the flaps when you went into the cell.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When was the next time they opened the flaps?

 MR. COHLER: Excuse me. You said "the next time they opened" them. I don't think there is any reference they

MR. GRANUCCI: All right.

were opened a previous time.

- Q. After they were closed, when you went into the cell, when did they open them?
 - A. They opened them for count.
 - Q. When?

L9

?3

- A. About every hour.
- Q. About every hour.
- A. Well, see, it all depends on who the officer is.

 See, they got these night officers, and some of them they don't want to wake you up. If they open the door, they wake you up. So if they think you are asleep, they can't see inside the cells, so they figure you may be asleep, so some of them give you consideration and they will open the flap and shine the light inside. Some of them, they will put the key in the door and open up the door and make all the noise and put the light in and holler, "Are you O.K.?"
- Q. Wouldn't open and closing that big heavy flap make just about as much noise as opening the door?
- A. They don't open -- See, they have two flaps. They have the great big one --
 - Q. They have the window flap and the door flap. Right
- A. One is about this big, about this wide (indicating) on the door.
 - Q. Which one did they open?
 - A. They open the door flap and shine the light in.
 - Q. Didn't that make any noise?
- A. No. It makes -- Like I say, the man was trying to give you a little consideration. If he puts his key in the door, it is night and it is dark and it is quiet. There is not a sound in the building. And if he puts the key in the

4

3

5

6

8

9

11

13

l5

[4

16

.8

.9

.7

30 31

32

?3

¾ 5 door and turns the lock, it is going to make a lot of rattling. He has to open the door and it makes noise when it closes. This is more noise than— They have a little latchet up at the top, see, and they take the latchet down, shine the light in and it doesn't hardly make any noise.

- Q. So they opened the flaps that night for count.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did they open the flaps the next day, the next morning?
- MR. COHLER: Excuse me. Do you mean for count? Or open them generally?
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Open them generally?
 - A. $^{\prime}$ No.
 - Q. Did they open them for you for breakfast?
 - A. No. They opened the door.
 - Q. Did they leave the door open?
- A. Yes. They leave the door open. They bring your food, and the door stays open, because if they close it you can't see to eat. On occasion, when Officer Spoon was working, they closed the door and the flaps and everything else and you do the best you can. Some of the others, they keep the door open until you finish eating and then they close the door.
 - Q. About how long would that be?
 - A. I don't know. I would say ten or fifteen minutes.

Would they follow the same procedure in the evening,

Q.

ķ0

A. Not all the time.

or for the afternoon meals?

- Q. Not all the time?
- A. No. At this time, Officer Hoagland, I believe it was, sometimes he would leave the door open. And sometimes he would close the door and leave the little flap open. It all depends in whether he was in a rush or how he felt that day.
 - Q. Depended upon how he felt?
- A. I suppose. I don't know. This is just what he did I just took it for granted if he felt like doing some work, he would leave the doors open. If he didn't feel like a bunch of hasseling and messing with the keys, he would go on and leave the flaps down.
- Q. You mean he would leave the door open even after you finished eating?
- A. No. They bring the food, see, and they give it to you. And if they close the door and leave the flap up, you have to pick at your food because you can't see what you are eating. So most of the times, they leave the door open while you are eating. And then they come back in about, oh, ten minutes, fifteen minutes, and they pick the trays back up. And when he takes the trays out, he shuts the door.

50.

- Q. He would never leave the door open after he took the tray out?
- A. Once or twice he did, but the reason he did this, he took the tray out and took it up--well, just, they have a grille, grate, separating it from the main isolation section. And he put the trays on the cart, and then he pass out water and flush the toilets, and then he would lock the door.
 - Q. But he would leave the flaps open then?
 - A. No. He would leave the door open.
 - Q. Leave the door open.
- A. Yes, because he had come in there to give you the water and the toilet paper.
- Q. Did he leave the flaps open any other time, Officer Hoagland?
- A. No. I thought the other day that it was him, but I made a mistake. It was the night officer left them open one night.
 - Q. Was that Officer Nash?
 - A. It was Officer Nash or Officer Thompson.
 - Q. Officer Hoagland, then, never left the door open.
 - MR. COHLER: Excuse me. Had you finished?
 - I saw your lips moving and I didn't know whether--
- THE WITNESS: The other day you asked me did they leave them open, and I stated that I believed it was Officer

6

8

25

 $_{
m Hoagland}$ who left it open because I wrote a letter. But it was the night officer and Officer Hoagland doesn't work at night.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Now, you wrote a letter while you were in the quiet cell, is that right?

- A. I wrote two of them.
- Q. You wrote two letters. Were the flaps up or down when you wrote the letter?
- A. At the time I wrote the letter, one door flap was left open for the express purpose of allowing me to write the letter.
 - Q. Who was the officer that let you write the letter?
- A. It was Officer Nash or Officer Thompson, one of the night officers.
 - Q. One of the night officers.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Jordan, how did you get paper in the cell? I mean, writing paper?
 - A. From my next door neighbor.
 - Q. Was this when you were in the quiet cell?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How did you get a pencil?
 - A. From my next door neighbor.
- Q. Was this next door neighbor of yours also in the quiet cell?

- i

- A. He was in the quiet cell next to me.
- Q. How did he pass that to you?
- A. Well, to start off with, I asked the officer, and I don't believe it was Officer Hoagland--in fact I know it wasn't--and I asked him for a pencil and a piece of paper because I had been given a petition back from the court and I wanted to answer--not answer it--I wanted to fill it out. They had sent me some forms. I didn't have the form, so they sent me three forms and my petition back and told me to fill out the forms and send the petition back. So I wanted a pencil to fill out the forms, and they wouldn't give it to me.
 - Q. Who wouldn't give it to you?
- A. Nobody. The officer, the sergeant, Friedrick, none of them would give it to me. So I got mad about that, and I made a few remarks about it and I was told, well, it might be a long time before I get to write anything if I kept on fat-mouthing.
 - Q. Who told you that?
- A. Whoever the officer was. And I told him I thought I had a right to have this material because it was legal material and I had a right to access to the courts, and he told me I didn't have any rights they didn't want to give me. So this guy that is next to me, when they closed the doors and went away, I hollered over and asked him did he

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

have any paper or pencils because I was going to write somebody about it. And he told me he did, and so--

- Q. Excuse me. I don't want to cut you off. But there was something I want to clarify in my own mind. You can just answer yes or no. Was this other neighbor of yours in the quiet cell at the same time?
- A. Yes, he was in the quiet cell on the other side of me.
 - Q. At the same time.
 - A. Yes,
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. So I asked him, did he have one, and he said he did and he had his clothes and he had his mattress and he had a line.

So that night— It was a Tuesday night, now, because we had cheese sandwiches. And he put one of these nylon lines in a cheese sandwich and the officer brought it to me He told me he didn't want to eat it so the officer gave it to me. And when they left they left the door open, and I threw a line and he hooked it and he passed me I believe it was six or seven pieces of paper and a pencil.

- Q. Mr. Jordan, this is sort of interesting to me. You say you threw a line out?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Did you weight the line with anything?

. 7 8

9

6

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

2324

- A. No. You don't have to weight them.
- Q. You don't have to weight a line to throw it out?
- A. No. The line is-- What you do is, you roll it up and you get up at the top of your bars, because they got a screen, see, and you just throw it.
 - MR. COHLER: What are you proposing to do?
- MR. GRANUCCI: I am going to ask Mr. Jordan to pick out some string.
- MR. COHLER: Just a moment, though. Are you going to establish--
 - MR. GRANUCCI: I would like to see him try to do it.
- MR. COHLER: Well, let's establish that this is similar to what he might have in his cell.
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Let's see.
- Q. Mr. Jordan, I am going to show you a box with a variety of different kinds of strings. There is some fairly thin rope, there is some ordinary wrapping string, and there is thread.
 - A. This one.
 - Q. All right. Let's see you try.
 - A. Where do you want me to throw it?
 - MR. OAKES: Would you need an accomplice?
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't need any help. Where do you want me to throw it?
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Throw it to me.

(The witness indicates.)

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. You threw this string when the door was open?

- A. Yes.
- Q. How did you hook onto the other line?
- A. It is easy to hook on.
- Q. What kind of a hook?
- A. A button hook.
- Q. A button hook?
- A. You take a button off of your overalls and you tie it on and you open it, you bend the hook, you bend the button.
- Q. This is like one of those metal hooks that you have on your--
- A. You have-- See, after you get to the strip cell a little while, you get pretty slick at this sort of stuff.
- Q. I imagine you do, Mr. Jordan. This sounds like the aud adventures of a certain fictional character in the "Boy Wonder Robin."

MR. COHLER: Will we strike that, please?

MR. GRANUCCI: I think I will stipulate that can go out.

THE WITNESS: I have been back in the strip cell quite

a bit, and you know, we do that all the time. If they put you on RD, your next door neighbor feeds you. If they won't let you have something, if your next door neighbor--

THE COURT: Put you on what? RD?

THE WITNESS: No, they didn't. I said if they do.

Suppose I had a next door neighbor and he did something
that they thought was out of line and they put him on--it
is a restricted diet. It is a little block of chopped
celery and lettuce and all this sort of stuff, and little
teeny bits of meat. It is pretty unappetizing because
they freeze it in little blocks, and the average fellow
doesn't eat it.

THE COURT: Is that the diet throughout the day? Is that all you get?

THE WITNESS: I have never been on it, but I have had neighbors on it and they eat it twice a day, one block RD and two slices of bread twice a day.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Mr. Jordan, let's get back to this fishing business. I take it that is what you call it, isn't it?

- A. That is what they call it.
- Q. The doors have to be open for you to do that, don't they? Or can you get it through the flaps?
- A. No, we get -- Even if the flaps were open, you couldn't get it out.
 - Q. You couldn't get it out.
 - A. If the doors aren't open, no.
 - Q. The door has to be open--

2 3

5 8

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

B0 21

22

- Yes. A.
- --and the door to your neighbor's cell has to be open, too, doesn't it?
 - Yes. A.
- I take it you have to throw more than once, don't you, before you could successfully hook somebody else's line?
- It all depends on who it is. He wasn't much of a And we threw twice, if I recall, and we succeeded in hooking them up.
 - The doors were open at that time?
 - This is chow time.
 - It was chow time?
- I just got through telling you, the officers--See, they bring you sack lunches first, they open your door, and they put the sack lunches in. And then he walks back to the cart in the main part of the isolation, and he gets your tray and he brings this back and he slides it to you. And then--maybe your neighbor wants to give you one of his sandwiches, it all depends on who the officer is -- if it is certain officers, forget it. There is not going to be any Other officers say O.K., he gives you the Sandwich, he leaves, he will go away to pick up your food, and he will come back and he will pick up the tray and shut the door.

- Q. You say you did this line-throwing while meals were being served and while officers were handing out dinner, is that right?
- A. The officers didn't know what was in the sandwich. All they did was bring the man's sandwich, as far as he knew. It is not no Manila rope. It is a thread, but it is thicker than thread and a little heavier than thread. It is nylon.
 - Q. How long a line is it?
- A. It all depends on how good you and the other guy are.

 If he is kind of bumbly, you would have to make a long one.

 But if he is good, if he can see it, he can get it if he is any good. If he is not, it might cause you a little trouble because the line only throws good so far.
- Q. I don't understand this yet, Mr. Jordan. In other words, you put a line in a sandwich. Is that it? Inside of a sandwich?
- A. You have got all day, from breakfast all the way—we eat breakfast about 8:00 o'clock, 8:30. You have got from 8:30, the officers don't come back there unless they have something to do, if they are going to get somebody to take them somewhere. They never come back there to see if you are quiet or acting up. They don't care what you are doing. They know you are there. You haven't got away because there are too many gates, doors, bars and so forth.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Right.

A.

So you are there. You have all day to make all of the line you want if you have got the material to make it.

- Q. Where do you usually get the material to make it?
- Off the mattress. A٠
- Off the mattress. Q.
- Yes. A٠
- Your neighbor, in other words-- Let me summarize this, and if I am confusing you or misleading you or anything, tell me, because I don't want to-- In other words, your neighbor got a length of line and he put it inside a sandwich.
 - A cheese sandwich.
- Then he put it inside a cheese sandwich, and one of the officers brought that sandwich to you.
 - Right. A.
 - You opened up the sandwich--
 - Took the line out.
- -- and you took the line out, you knotted it at the end or you --
 - I rolled it up, just like I did that line there.
- Rolled it up just like you did the piece of string, Q. and you threw it out the door.
- Meanwhile, your neighbor who had another piece of line did the same thing.

- A. No. He has a hook.
- Q. He has a hook.
- A. You have got to have a hook or it won't work. The line would slide over.
- Q. Your other neighbor, though, had a hook of some sort which he tied onto another piece of line, is that right?
 - A. Yes.

]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And he threw his line out?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And hooked to yours.
- A. Right.
- Q. And you pulled the hook into your cell.
- A. No. He pulls mine in.
- Q. He pulls yours in.
- A. Yes. You got to pull it all the way in, and he ties the stuff that he is going to send, whatever it happens to be, on and throws it back out, because if he don't throw it out it will catch on something. So he throws it all the way out on the deck and you draw it in.
 - Q And then you draw it in.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. This was done while the guards weren't watching?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But it was done during meal time while they were

 passing out food in the section, is that right?

- A. What? The fishing thing? No.
- Q. When did you do it?
- A. During the meal time. See, they don't stand there. See, they pass out the food and they lock the big gate they have between the two sections, and they go all the way back up front.
- Q. Weren't they passing out food to the other cells at the same time?
- A. No. They pass food to the other cells first and then-- You know, we are the last guys that get anything. They pass everything out to everybody else first and then if they have anything left over, we get that. This is what it amounts to. A lot of times you don't get your full issue, because they run out by the time they get to the last cell. You know, they get to you, when they get to you, which is last.
- Q. After they serve you though, you said that they left the door open, and they are not in the wing any more?
 - A. They are in the wing. They left our area.
- Q. Aren't they picking up trays from the earlier cells that have been fed first?
- A. No. They feed you, you are the last ones to be fed. They lock the gate between the regular isolation and the strip cells. They take a cart, and the inmate trustee

guard area on the-- or whatever they call it there, and they sit down and they smoke a cigarette and they eat a piece of cake or something they find on the trays that they like.

Q. You mean they steal the food from the inmates and eat it themselves?

MR. COHLER: Your Honor --

THE WITNESS: No, they are not stealing food. This is part of what they get--there is no rule against officers eating. In fact, they have a rule that some officer is supposed to eat some of the food the inmates are eating.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. That is not in O-wing, is it?

- A. That is all over. There is supposed to be some officer eat the same food as the inmates eat to block the inmates from claiming something is wrong with the food.
- Q. In any event, Mr. Jordan, you have got some writing paper and pencils.
 - A. And a pencil, one pencil, yes.
 - Q. What did you do with it?
 - A. I hid it.
 - Q. Where did you hide it?
- A. In the bars. See, they have a little sliding bar, and as long as the door is not open there is about this much empty space (indicating).

- 11

- 15
- 17
- 20

- 24

- 10
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 16
- 18
- 19
- 21 22
- 23

- Q. Is it like a shelf?
- Something like a shelf. The door slides on this thing, like that (indicating), and as long as the door is not open you have got about this much space with an empty thing. When the door is opened it will knock anything out that is in there. But the officers don't usually open the doors unless you are going some place, and so you tuck whatever you have to hide up there and if they happen to come in and look around they don't see anything.
- To the best of your knowledge, when did you engage Q. in this little fishing expedition? What day? I won't hold you to dates. But what day of the week?
- I don't know. It was either the same day or the day after or the day before I wrote the letter.
- It wouldn't have been the day after you wrote the letter, would it?
 - I wouldn't have had anything to fish for.
- That is right. So it was the day before you wrote the letter. Were you supposed to have pencils and paper in the quiet cell?
- It all depends on what you mean by "supposed to." According to the Director's rule, I was supposed to have pencils and paper and legal papers, and I was supposed to be allowed to have the flaps down, and I was supposed to be allowed to--

Q. I am just asking you about pencil and paper now, Mr. Jordan.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, counsel used the term "supposed to." I think the witness should state his understanding in full.

THE WITNESS: I was supposed --

MR. GRANUCCI: My question was restricted to pencil and paper, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I was supposed to have it, but-- Can I explain something?

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Sure. Go ahead, explain your answer.

- A. Me, myself, I wasn't getting anything from them because I did something that, you know, kind of got all of them. I had spit on one of their officers, see, and they told me that I wasn't getting nothing.
 - Q. Who told you that?
- A. Sergeant Friedrick told me, for one. Mr. Spoon made a point to tell me, for another one, and the general attitude of the officers that came in contact with me was, "You are going to pay for this. This is it." I spit on one of their brother officers, and they were going to see that I didn't get anything that didn't absolutely have to be given to me.
 - Q. In any event, five or six days later you had a

So he didn't know the general attitude, I guess,

- pencil and paper, was writing letters.
 - A. Yes. I had a pencil and paper.
- Q. Did you write the letters when the flaps were open? Or when they were closed?
 - A. I wrote the letters while one of the flaps was open.
 - Q. One of the flaps was open.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was this during meal time?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What time was it?
- A. This was at night time. At the time, this Officer Nash was what we call a fish bull.
- Q. Excuse me? What did you say? Officer Nash was what?
- A. What we call a fish bull. In other words, he wasn't too well aware of what was going around the institution or that particular place, see, and these guys are the kind of guys that you can get to give you a break if you just treat them with a little respect.
- Q. He was an inexperienced officer, then, who would give you the break?
- A. Yes, because he wasn't in on being an officer like the rest. He had just come off the street. He hadn't been there a long time.

в

because I told him that I had some legal material that Mr. Kiepura gave me and I was supposed to be able to write a letter back here and could he leave the flap down for me. And he asked me was he supposed to-how did I get the paper. And I told him a lie. I told him Mr. Kiepura gave me the paper. And since Mr. Kiepura gave me the paper, it should be all right since Mr. Kiepura is in the hierarchy, it seems to him it might be all right if Mr. Kiepura give me the pencil and paper, it would be all right for me to write a letter, so he left one flap down so I could write the letter.

- Q. I want you to take a look at page 10 of your original complaint, lines 17 through 25. I wonder if you would read that to me, please. Page 10, lines 17 through 25, the original complaint.
- A. Plaintiff also requested that a flap be left open so that some of the fresh air and light could enter the cell, this request also made to both the officials and the Chief Medical Officer. Plaintiff was refused again in his request and the flaps remained tightly shut up. Plaintiff was forced by this action to lie in a dense, rancid and onerous atmosphere of putrid decay, in a perpetual gloom or twilight for 23-1/2 hours a day, . . .".
- Q. If you will, your amended complaint, starting at the last line on page 3 to line 5 on page 4.

A

Line 5?

10

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

51

22 23

24

- A. No.
- You didn't?
- No, I said I tricked the officer into leaving the flaps

Start on page 3 at the last line and then read to line 5 on page 4.

"During plaintiff's confinement in said strip cell, plaintiff was forced to remain in said strip cell with said flaps and door of the second wall closed. As a result, plaintiff was deprived of light and ventilation for twelve days, except that twice a day the door of the second wall was opened for approximately fifteen minutes."

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, before counsel proceeds further, the use of the original complaint in this nature is proper, at least in part, since the plaintiff himself The amended complaint is a legal document drafted by counsel, no part of which was written by the witness. I hope counsel will be careful which way he proceeds.

THE WITNESS: I said that.

MR. GRANUCCI: You said that? Q.

- I said just what it says in there. A.
- But you didn't say that today, did you, Mr. Jordan? Q.
- I just got through telling you the same thing.
- Didn't you just testify that the flaps were left open while you were writing?

4 5

 open for about twenty-five minutes, and he closed it up right after that. And the only reason he opened that flap was because I had asked him before that, would he leave the flaps down, and he told me he couldn't. And he said the sergeant, and the sergeant told me he couldn't leave the flaps--he couldn't open the flaps and leave them down.

But the officer, as I said, was a fish officer, which means he didn't know too much about what was going on, and me being in possession of the pencil and me being in possession of paper, someone had to give that to me, someone had to give me that paper, and I mentioned the name of one of his superiors as giving that pencil and paper and giving me authorization to write it.

- Q. That wasn't true then either, according to your testimony.
- A. No, but I wanted to write that letter. I had a reason for wanting to write that letter.
 - Q. What was the reason?
- A. Because I wanted to write somebody and tell them what they were doing to me back there.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, would this be an appropriate time for a recess?

THE COURT: All right.

(A short recess was taken.)

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Mr. Jordan, before we adjourned,

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

20

19

21 22

24

23

you testified, did you not, that you wrote two letters while you were in the quiet cell?

- A. Yes.
- \mathbb{Q} . This was when the flaps were open, or at least one of the flaps?
 - A. Yes, the door flap.
- Q. Now, on direct testimony I think you stated that Dr. Kunkel or some other doctor came around once or twice while you were in the quiet cell. Is that right?
 - A. They come around every Monday.
- Q. Do you remember whether Dr. Kunkel came at any time?
 - A. I know I saw Dr. Kunkel once.
- Q. Did you ever complain to Dr. Kunkel about the condition of the cell, filthy conditions?
 - A. The first time he came, yes.
- MR. COHLER: I didn't hear the witness' answer. I am sorry.

THE WITNESS: The first time he came.

- MR. GRANUCCI: Q. What did you say to Dr. Kunkel, if you remember?
- A. I told him, to start out with, that I was sick and that I caught something from the cell. And he says, "What is wrong with the cell?" And I said, "Come on in and look at it." He wouldn't come inside. He stands outside the

door.

- Q. Was that the outside door? Or the inside door?
- A. The outside door. The corridor. See, he never comes in the cell. He stands outside the door. I told him, I said, "Just come in and take a smell and you will see what is wrong with it." I said, "The whole place is messed up," and he says, "Well, I don't see anything wrong with your cell." And I said, "Well, you just don't want to see anything is wrong with the cell." I said, "Look at all that stuff there," and I pointed in the cell, "the stains on the floor and stuff like this." He says, "Well, ask the officers for something to clean it up," and he walked off.
- Q. Did you tell Dr. Kunkel that you had vomited in your cell?
 - A. I don't know if it was Dr. Kunkel or not.
- Q. They brought you water, didn't they, while you were in the quiet cell?
 - A. The officer?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Yes, they brought me water.
 - Q. How many times a day?

San San San San

- A. Twice a day.
- Q. Twice a day.
- A. Sometimes -- I remember a couple of times they

1

8

2

LO

4

8

.6

:0

1

3 4 5

brought it three times a day. Officer Hoagland, every once in awhile, would make an extra trip just before he went home, which was—— I don't know what time he went home—— he went home at 4:00 o'clock, but he come back about 3:15, and he give me some water. I don't know if he gave the rest of them anything. But he come back and give me some water.

- Q. This was all the water you wanted to drink?
- A. Yeah, I had all the water I wanted to drink.
- Q. It was your testimony that it was usually twice a day, but sometimes three times a day?
- A. I was back there twenty days, twelve days, something like that. Twelve days, and in those twleve days, I would say two, maybe three times I got what I would say extra water, which was an extra trip by the officer.
 - Q. That would be three times.
 - A. Three times, a couple of times.
- Q. I want to show you page 3 of your declaration in opposition to motion for summary judgment. I would like you to read lines 25 through 29, please.
- A. "During the time that I was in the strip cell, I was given a cup of water three times a day. On each occasion that I was offered water, I was permitted to drink as much as I wanted while the officer or 'trustee' was there to pour from the pitcher they carried."

2 3

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

18 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

O.K. Thank you.

Now, Mr. Jordan, let's talk about the toilets. often were the toilets flushed while you were in the strip cell during the period in July?

- Usually they were flushed twice a day, once early A. in the morning and once in the evening.
 - Usually twice.
- Yes. But sometimes they weren't flushed in the morning.
 - Were they always flushed at least twice a day?
 - A. No.
 - They weren't? Q.
- A. I No. The night officer always flushed them. He made a point of flushing them.
- Would it be fair to say they were flushed sometimes in the morning, sometimes in the afternoon, and always at night?
- No, not in the afternoon. Sometimes in the morning, always at night, never that I know of in the afternoon.
 - Never in the afternoon.
- One time, I believe the inmate that was the A.. porter, he came to pick up my tray and I asked him, would he flush it. And he told me--his name was Piasano--and he told me he would see to it. He was an assistant cop and he had a lot of privileges around there and he went around

8

6

9 10

11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

24

23

in the toilet hisself and flushed it because there was a lot of stuff in it. The officer wouldn't do it. In other words, only once in the afternoon they

- In the afternoon?
- Q. Yes

flushed the toilet?

- In the afternoon, yes, one time.
- Your declaration in opposition to motion for summary judgment, page 3, line 30 to page 4 line 3. Would you read that out loud.

"During the time that I was in the strip cell, the toilet was flushed sometimes in the morning, sometimes in the afternoon and always at night, but no more than that."

That "sometimes in the afternoon" -- when I say in the morning, that means in the morning time. But sometimes they wouldn't get around to flushing the toilet until 11:00 o'clock.

- You wouldn't count that as the morning?
- No, I would count -- Yes, I would count that as the morning, yes. I would say that was in the morning.
- So then it is your testimony that the toilet was Q. flushed, were always flushed at least twice a day?
 - A. No.
 - No, they weren't? I mean twice in a 24-hour day?
 - No, they weren't. A.

Q. They weren't?

A. No. They were flushed every night. They had two officers working at night, Officer Nash, who, as I say, was a fish officer, a new officer, and he was kind of conscientious about his job and you didn't have to worry about him flushing his. And they had Officer Thompson or Thomas, I don't know exactly what his name was, and he was an officer, he would carry out all of his duties and he was one of those type officers and you could depend on him flushing them.

But then in the afternoon or the morning or whatever you want to call it, we had Officer Spoon---

MR. GRANUCCI: I think we understand you, Mr. Jordan.

MR. COHLER: I think he ought to be permitted to finish his answer, Your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: Oh, he has been over and over it.

MR. COHLER: You asked the question several times, counsel.

MR. GRANUCCI: I just asked him how many times the toilet--

THE COURT: Did you complete your answer?

THE WITNESS: No. I was explaining why sometimes they didn't.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: They had Officer Spoon and they had

4

5

в 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

16

17

18

19

20 21

24

25

Officer Hayes, I believe his name was-- I don't know what his name was. But sometimes they didn't get around to flushing the toilets.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. You say the toilets were always flushed at night.

- They were always flushed at night.
- All right. Page 11 of your original complaint, lines 14 and 15.
- A. ...on numerous occasions the toilets were not flushed at all for the full 24-hour period."
 - That is enough.
- That is what I just got through saying. They flushed the toilets at night, that is 9:00 o'clock or 8:00 o'clock, or somewhere around there, and they wouldn't get flushed again until the next night at 9:00 o'clock. is 24 hours.
- You say that means they weren't flushed at all for an entire 24-hour period?
- They would flush them. The night officers would A. come on and they would flush the toilets, and they flushed them, I think, about 8:00 o'clock or 9:00 o'clock.
 - Q. Fine.
- And then they don't flush them again, they wouldn't get flushed again until he came back the next night.
 - Q. So that would be--

A. At 8:00 o'clock.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. That would be a 24-hour period?
- A. That is what I meant by that.
- Q. Did you have any extra water cups in your cell?
- A. No -- I don't know.
- Q. How many water cups did you have in your cell?
- A. I had one, to start off with, and then I took one off my plate and I put that one up there, and Officer Hoagland let me have it. And then Officer Spoon came in and he took it. He took it away. See, I had two cups, but he took it. So I don't think I had the cup more than two days and other times I had one cup.
- Q. When the officers brought you water, they would let you drink as much as you want; is that right?
 - A. Yes, they would always let me drink as much.
- Q. Would they fill up the cup and leave you with a cup of water?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So that you could drink that water later?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Or you could rinse off your hands with it?
- A. You could rinse off your hands. But I want to tell you, this cup is about this big and about that big around (indicating), and when you drink it, it is about five swallows. And there is not much to rinse your hands with.

в

- Q. Did they bring you toilet paper when you were in the cell?
- A. Sometimes they did, sometimes they didn't. It would all depend. Sometimes they would bring it with them when they came and sometimes they wouldn't.
 - Q. What shift would bring you the toilet paper?
 - A. All of them.
 - Q. All of them.
- A. When they come around with the water, they bring a roll of toilet paper with them when they come around with the water. Sometimes they don't have the toilet paper with them when they come around with the water.
- Q. You mean, you could get toilet paper at least once a day?
 - A. Most times, yes.
 - Q. Most of the time once a day.
 - A. Most of the time twice a day.
 - Q. Most of the time twice a day.
- A. Like I say, they bring it around when they bring the water, but sometimes the officer forget, the toilet paper stays up front. Sometimes they might be out, when he comes around, he knows the next man is going to bring water so you will get your toilet paper anyway.
 - MR. GRANUCCI: I have no further questions, Mr. Jordan.
 MR. OAKES: I have a couple of questions, if I may.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

- Q. Mr. Jordan, would you say you are the most easily manageable inmate in the institution?
 - A. No.
- Q. You are not always as quiet as you have been in the courtroom, are you?
 - A. No. I am not quiet at all really.
- Q. I just have one more question. I am a little intrigued about this fishing episode that we went through earlier.

First of all, do I understand that the sliding door on the bars in the interior part of the cell has expanded metal on them? They have a grille on them? Doesn't the bar have a wire?

- A. Yes, a wire.
- Q. So the entranceway through the steel door looks directly onto a mesh wire which is over the vertical bars.

 Is that correct?
- MR. COHLER: I don't follow that. Could you try again, please?

THE WITNESS: I got it.

MR. OAKES: Mr. Jordan did.

THE WITNESS: See, the doorway is about--bigger than I am, and I am six-one, and it is about, maybe, a foot, two

feet bigger than me. It is not all the way covered up with wire.

MR. OAKES: Q. About how far would that be? About how much of it is covered with wire?

A. I believe about that much of it at the bottom is not covered with wire (indicating). That is where they slide the tray. That is off the floor. That is not part of the door. The door starts about that far from the floor.

- Q. I see.
- A. And then at the top of the door, that is about that much with no wire on it (indicating). That is over your head.
- Q. When you were going through your fishing expedition with your neighbor, which neighbor was it?
 - A. I don't recall who it was. They have the records.
- Q. Would it be on your right side? Or your left side as you were facing out?
 - A. I don't really recall.
- Q. Isn't it true, if it were say, the one on your right-hand side, that you would have to go over to the right-hand side of the cell where there is a steel plate and throw around the corner to get to the right-hand side?
- A. No. See, if he is on your right, well, that puts his door over here and it would put your steel flap to his left. So you get up on the bars and you throw your line

1 01
2 yc
3 dc
4 ar
5 it

out first, see, you throw your line out the door, see, and your line goes over like this where he can see it from his door. And then he gets up on his door, sticks his arm out and he has the hook, see, and he winds his line and throws it. There is an art to it, see. You just don't throw it.

- Q. I assume that there is.
- A. See, you throw it and just as it gets to the end, you make a little grab, and it turns the corner and it plops down and you drag it back.

MR. OAKES: I have no further questions. I don't under stand it, but maybe he can demonstrate it for me sometime.

MR. COHLER: No questions, Your Honor. Thank you, Mr. Jordan.

(Witness excused.)

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, but for any rebuttal witness which may be necessary, that is the plaintiff's case at this time.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, at this time the defendants will move for a nonsuit in the civil rights action and a dismissal in the habeas corpus case on the ground the plaintiff has not proved any deprivation of his constitutional rights to justify relief on either theory.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I think there is at least a prima facie case, and I don't think it bears extensive

argument.

1

2

3

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

MR. GRANUCCI: At this time defendants will present to the Court for identification the disciplinary records on Inmate Alexander.

THE CLERK: Defendant's Exhibit F for identification.

(Disciplinary Records, Inmate Alexander, were marked for identification as Defendants' Exhibit F.)

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. GRANUCCI: Inmate Harris'-- counsel has already been furnished with copies of those records--

MR. COHLER: I acknowledge service. Thank you, counsel.
THE CLERK: Defendants' G for identification.

(Disciplinary Records, Inmate Harris, were marked for identification as Defendants' Exhibit G.)

MR. GRANUCCI: I respectfully move their introduction into evidence on the same theory on which the Court admitted the disciplinary records of the witnesses Esparza and Porte; namely, to show bias against the institution.

MR. COHLER: With the offer limited as previously, no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That will be the order.

THE CLERK: Defendants' F and G in evidence.

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Disciplinary Records, Inmates Alexander and Harris, previously marked for identification as Defendants' Exhibits F and G were received in evidence.)

MR. GRANUCCI: Our first defense witness will be Dr. Kunkel.

DR. EDWARD PAUL KUNKEL,

called as a witness on behalf of the defendants, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your name and occupation to the Court

THE WITNESS: Edward Paul Kunkel, Chief Medical Officer at the Correctional Center at Soledad.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Where did you receive your education?
- A. I am a graduate of the Indiana University School of Medicine, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Q. Would you summarize briefly your professional experience.
- A. Upon graduating from the medical school, I entered the United States Naval Service and spent 30 years, a little better than 30 years in the United States Navy as a Medical Officer. Having served through the various grades, I retired as a Captain in the Medical Corps of the United States Navy.
 - Q. Where are you presently employed?

- A. Presently I am Chief Medical Officer at the Correctional Training Facility of Soledad.
- Q. Are you familiar with the section of the prison k_{nown} as O-wing?
 - A. I am.
- Q. Do you visit that wing in the furtherance of your duties?
- A. When I am not on vacation, I visit each and every cell every Monday morning.
 - Q. Does that include the Isolation Row?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Does it also include those cells known as strip cells or quiet cells?
 - A. It does.
- Q. Now, have you familiarized yourself with the records of your medical department at the institution relating to the dates of your visits during the period of July 9 through July 20 1965?
- A. No, not as far as medical. I don't record my visits in the medical department. We sign in when we go into the Adjustment Center or to X-wing. We normally sign in and out. And I have looked at the records and on the two Mondays in question I personally went through the Adjustment Center.
 - Q. Did you visit each inmate in the strip cells on

those two Mondays?

- A. I make it a special point to see every inmate, especially in the quiet cells.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to observe the condition of cleanliness of those cells?
 - A. I observe the cleanliness of all cells.
- Q. Directing yourself particularly to the strip cells or the quiet cells, what was the condition of cleanliness that you observed?
- A. Well, I can't recall the dates in question, because that is far from being, but I know if there would have been anything wrong from a sanitary standpoint, I would have called it to the attention of the sergeant, which I have done on several occasions, and corrective measures are usually taken immediately.
- Q. Do you recall making such complaints with regard to plaintiff Jordan?
 - A. I do not. I don't remember.
- Q. During this time in question, did you receive any letters from Jordan requesting medical treatment?
- A. Oh, I probably did. I receive quite a few. I would like to explain to the Court how I handle those letters that I receive from the Adjustment Center.
 - Q. Would you do that, please.
 - A. During the week, I receive any number of -- some are

2 3 4

 letters, some of them are supposed to be interview slips that we receive, and I keep a record of them. I know that there is no emergency, because if there is an emergency in the Adjustment Center or X-wing, my MTA usually picks it up in the morning and he refers it to us. And then we call the inmate over to the hospital for examination.

If something happens after the MTA has made a sick call, the sergeant calls this to our attention. Then I usually send an MTA to X or O-wing and tell him to take a look at him and, "If, in your opinion, you feel that a medical officer should see him," we ask him to bring him to the hospital and the medical officer can see him.

Now, regarding these letters, threats, interview slips that I receive during the week, I keep a record of them.

On Monday morning when I go through, I look at the chart that they have in the Adjustment Center, find out the name of this individual, where this individual is that sent me the letter, sent me the interview slip, and I chart it down in my book. I carry a book with me when I go through there. I make an appointment personally to talk with the individual that put in the letter.

If he--after talking to him, if I feel that he needs to be brought to the hospital for examination, then he, later on, after I finish my trip, during the day he is brought into the hospital for examination.

- If I feel that he doesn't have a just complaint, I don't believe what he is telling me, and he still insists he has some pain, then I prescribe medication. We have an MTA that follows me along and ordinary routine medications are dispensed at that time.
- Q. Now, Doctor, just to clarify the matter, do you have any independent recollection of seeing plaintiff Jordan in the quiet cell--
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. You do not.
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Directing your attention to February 14th of this year, did you examine plaintiff Jordan?
 - A. I did examine Jordan on that day.
 - Q. What did that examination consist of?
- A. Well, I received— I received another letter from Jordan, I think one got into his medical jacket for some reason or another, but Jordan was going to the Board in May, and apparently—he had been complaining to me on my rounds, and the letter he sent on—I forget now, it was February sometime, February the 10th or somewhere around there—for I decided, in view he was supposed to go before the Adult Authority in May, he had been complaining this and that, I better bring him over to the hospital and examine him.

4

6

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

and I recollect examining him, and I couldn't find anything wrong physically with inmate Jordan. Dr. Kunkel, based on that examination, did you

so I sent for Jordan and he was brought to the hospital

- formulate an opinion as to his health?
 - He was in good health. A.
- He was in good health. Now, Dr. Kunkel, over how long a period of time have you been visiting the Adjustment center, particularly the Isolation Row?
- There was--ever since I became Chief Medical officer in 1955.
 - That, of course, includes the strip cells?
 - It does. A.
- Through that period, you have had an opportunity to observe the general condition of cleanliness of Isolation Row and the srip cells?
 - I have. A.
 - What has that condition been throughout the years?
 - Exactly what years are we talking about? MR. COHLER:
 - 1955 through the present. MR. GRANUCCI:
- Your Honor, I see no foundation in the MR. COHLER: record for general conditions throughout that many years as they may bear on two weeks.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, ordinarily I would agree with counsel. However, as part of the plaintiff's case,

5

в

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

24

has brought out certain changes have been made in the quiet cells. Your Honor has had an opportunity to inspect the Isolation Section generally, and the quiet cells in particular, and Your Honor has had, pursuant to that inspection, an opportunity to observe the cleanliness of that area.

What I expect to elicit from this witness is, if anything at all, whether or not there has been any change in that cleanliness.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor will recall that we were cautioned not to slip on the wet floors that had just been washed. I don't see how there could possibly be any bearing between several years of cleanliness and the day we were there.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

MR. GRANUCCI: Miss Reporter, would you read back the question, please?

(The question was read.)

THE WITNESS: It has been quite satisfactory. Most of the time, very, very good condition.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Have you noticed any change in the level of cleanliness since February of this year?

- A. I have not.
- Q. One further question, Doctor: What is RD? It doesn't relate to plaintiff here. However, the term has been mentioned, and we might explore it.

A. Well, RD is a diet, it is a special diet. It is made up in loaf form. It contains all of the food--essential food elements, carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins, and everything else. It is very similar to the K-rations that we had during World War II. It is put up in loaf form and it is served to certain incorrigibles that are brought before the Disciplinary Committee, and the value they receive twice a day amounts to about 2,500 calories. It is not too palatable a food, but it is a food that you can be sustained on indefinitely. Along with that, they get two slices of wholewheat bread. They get two rations a day.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your witness, counsel. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

- Q. Doctor, if I recall correctly--and please correct me if I am mistaken--you testified that on several occasions you have told a sergeant that there was not a proper state of cleanliness. Is that correct?
 - A. That is right.
 - Q. And sometimes it is cleaned up?
 - A. I didn't say "sometimes." I said it is cleaned up.
 - Q. I thought you said sometimes. We can check that.
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. You do not have a specific recollection in the

period of July when Mr. Jordan, as you have been informed, was in the quiet or strip cell, have you?

- A. No specific recollection, no.
- Q. Then you have no recollection of whether or not this was one of the times when things may not have been as cleaned up as you would have liked, is that correct?
- A. No. If the inmate would have complained to me and in my own opinion I felt that certain things were wrong, I would have taken steps to correct them. That is part of my responsibility.
- Q. Do you recall the names of all the inmates over the past years who have told you that there was something wrong, and you have taken steps to correct it?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Doctor, do you recall specifically whether or not that was the occasion during the period of July?
- A. No. If he had told me that and I found anything wrong, it would have been corrected.
- Q. Based upon your general experience and your general responsibilities, if Mr. Jordan had said anything to you during your rounds, you would have asked the sergeant to do something?
- A. If I felt in my opinion corrective measures should have been taken.
 - Q. Do you have any specific recollection during this

- period whether or not there was vomit in plaintiff's cell, in Mr. Jordan's cell?
- A. No, there wasn't any or I would have taken steps to have had that cleaned up.
- Q. At any time that there is vomit in the cell, you take steps to have it cleaned up, is that correct?
- A. I take steps to have it cleaned up and find out what the cause of it was and whether the inmate is ill.
- Q. Dr. Kunkel, when you referred to checking in at the Adjustment Center, how many cells are there in the Adjust-ment Center?
- A. Well, usually in the Adjustment Center on one side think there is about 108, or the capacity is 108. I have never counted them.
- Q. Do you sign in when you go into the Adjustment Center?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. You sign in before you visit all 108 cells and you sign after you visit all 108?
- A. Well, sometimes we sign in, and then we go through the bottom floor and then we go through the second floor.

 And when we come down we ask them to check us out. We don't know how it is checked out or whether we are checked out. The main thing I am interested in is whether I am checked in.

1

- 4
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 21

- 22
- 23 24

- What I would like to establish, Doctor, is how many cells you see after you check in and before you either check out yourself or you are checked out. How many cells are there to be seen?
 - Wherever there is an inmate.
 - What is the total cell capacity? 108?
 - I think it is 108.
 - I am not trying to pin you down.
- No, I don't know. I have never counted them. know I have to make them all, so it doesn't make any difference if there is 300.
- MR. COHLER: Mr. Grace, may I have Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, please.
- Dr. Kunkel, I would like to show you what is Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 in this case, Isolation logs, two different kinds of pages. Is that correct?
 - That is right.
 - You are familiar with these documents, the originals
- I am familiar with this sheet. The rest I am not familiar with.
- Q Would you kindly look through Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, which you have before you. On each occasion when you made a visit into the Adjustment Center area, approximately 108 cells, tell the Court how much time you spent there according to those records.

A. Well, the first one here shows I spent ten minutes.

But that is not a statement of fact. There is an error

there. You couldn't go through--you couldn't even go

through one side in ten minutes.

This one here, in 7:42, out 7:50. You couldn't do it in that time. That is not my handwriting. I don't know who checked us in. Dr. Marsh and I both were in there.

- Q. How long was the other doctor in there?
- A. All the same time. The MTA and everybody.
- MR. COHLER: Thank you, Doctor. That is all, counsel.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

- Q. Doctor, you heard mentioned the vomit in the cell.

 If you saw a man in the strip cell and found vomit on the floor, do you think he would be moved to the hospital to be checked?
 - A. I would ordinarily, yes.
- Q. Would there not be an entry in the medical records reflecting this?
- A. When they are brought to the hospital, the records reflect it; but not the visits we make to the Adjustment Center. If we find somebody there that needs prolonged medication of a nature which may be called a "hot drug," then it is put in his records that he is on-then we will usually prescribe it for a period of ten or twelve days.

3

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

24

25

But ordinary visits are not recorded in--

- Q. Not for vomiting in the cell?
- A. No. We have them checked further sometimes; say, he vomited in his cell or he is--he is having blood in his urine or this and that, and we send a specimen bottle over and ask him to give us a sample so we can check it.
- Q. If you would have seen a man with vomit in his cell within, say, the past 13 or 14 months, particularly in the strip cell, do you think you would recall it at this date?
 - A. I would not.
 - MR. COHLER: I didn't hear the answer.
 - THE WITNESS: I would not.
 - MR. OAKES: Q. You would not?
 - A. No.
 - MR. OAKES: I have nothing further.
 - MR. GRANUCCI: I have one further question.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Dr. Kunkel, you have been mamed as a defendant in this case. Did you ever conspire with Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Fitzharris, Mr. Kiepura, Mr. Swagerty, Mr. De Carli, or anybody else, to deprive the plaintiff of his federal constitutional rights?
 - A. I did not.
 - MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I object to the question as to

11

12

13 14

15

19

24

16 17 18

21

22

20

23

Dr. Kunkel, I am sorry, but would you please tell the Court what conspiracy means to you?

It calls for a conclusion of an ultimate question which will be decided by the Court as to what a conspiracy may consist of. I don't think it is proper. Basic facts from which inferences may be drawn, it is an entirely different matter.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, a conspiracy is an unlawful agreement, and I think that this man should be entitled to testify on the issue.

MR. COHLER: Is he an attorney to say whether or not an agreement is lawful?

THE COURT: You may answer, Doctor.

THE WITNESS: What was the question?

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Did you ever conspire with the other defendants to deprive the plaintiff of his federal constitutional rights?

- A. Oh, absolutely not.
- Do you bear any malice towards the plaintiff?
- None whatsoever. Α.

Thank you. MR. GRANUCCI:

May the witness be excused?

MR. COHLER: No, because I have a question.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

Б

A. Well, it means we are trying to gang up on him or words to that effect.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Doctor, what is your definition of an incorrigible? You have used the word.

THE WITNESS: Well, an incorrigible is one that, I think, is--the psychiatrists have given up on him and we have given up on him and everybody else and there is not very much hope for him.

THE COURT: And do you feel that the inmates of the six cells commonly known as the strip or quiet cells fit within that terminology?

THE WITNESS: Not all of them, no sir.

THE COURT: Do you regard the plaintiff as an incorrigible?

THE WITNESS: If he isn't he is certainly a borderline case.

THE COURT: In short, Doctor, your definition contemplates a complete abandonment of any hope, isn't that correct, sir?

THE WITNESS: Well, I have gone through this gentleman's records completely, Your Honor, but they are--I think that the psychiatrists and a lot of the correctional people have come to the point that where--that they haven't found or haven't resolved a method yet of how we are going to

17

16

19

18

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Do you think that is a breakdown of the

individual? Or a breakdown of the prison system?

I don't think it is a breakdown of the THE WITNESS: prison system. I think there would have to be a lot of research and everything else done, but we haven't reached a point yet where we know the answer.

THE COURT: What have been your studies in penology, poctor?

THE WITNESS: In penology?

THE COURT: Yes.

Only some of the books that I have read THE WITNESS: in my experience at the institution.

THE COURT: What are the books, Doctor?

The books they give you when you come in. THE WITNESS: I don't happen to know the names, Your Honor. You get four or five books that they give you. And I have read on psychiatry, the acting out and --

THE COURT: Have you kept abreast of the current literature in penology?

THE WITNESS: Not completely, no sir.

THE COURT: Well, to what extent? Would you state to the Court what, if any, studies you have made of recent date.

Penology? THE WITNESS:

14.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say I have made any.

THE COURT: Yet you assume to characterize an individual as an incorrigible, isn't that true?

THE WITNESS: Well, from the trouble they are in all the time and everything, and the way they are not trying to help themselves to--of the opportunities which are available to them at the institution.

THE COURT: What is the ordinary caloric intake of an individual, apart from the so-called incorrigible? What is the average intake of the inmate of this type institution per day?

THE WITNESS: It varies. It varies anywhere from about 4,000 to 5,000 calories. I think most of them eat about 4,000, 3,800, 4,000 calories.

THE COURT: Why is an inmate placed upon an RD diet?

THE WITNESS: He is placed on that, I imagine, for a disciplinary standpoint.

THE COURT: Does it have to meet with your approval or your disapproval?

THE WITNESS: It has to meet with my approval. I usually--when they call up and ask--that they want to place a certain man on the RD diet, I look at his record and if there is nothing in his medical record to preclude him from going on that diet, it is approved. If he has diabetes or

в

8

19

17

21

25

any disease he shouldn't be on that diet, I tell him not to put him on the diet.

THE COURT: Do you maintain an office independent of the office you maintain in the institution?

THE WITNESS: No sir. I am a full-time employee of the state.

THE COURT: All of your hours, professional hours are spent in the institution?

THE WITNESS: Eight hours a day, and I live on the station. I am subject to call 24 hours a day.

THE COURT: You perform surgery as well as medical-THE WITNESS: I have a surgeon. I do surgery myself,
too. But I have another doctor with me that is a surgeon.

THE COURT: What determinations have you made with respect to the 2,500 caloric characterization as to the RD? How do you know that is 2,500 calories?

THE WITNESS: That is what it works out. I have the formula there if you would like to see what is in it.

THE COURT: So the RD diet has nothing to do with the dietary requirements? It is purely punitive, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That is right. They get all of the calories they need, but it isn't as palatable as the regular chow.

THE COURT: I have no further questions.

MR. OAKES: I have just two questions, Doctor.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

- Q. Do you involve yourself in treatment of the inmates other than medical treatment?
- A. Not ordinarily, I do not, unless the psychiatrist is not immediately available and we try to hold them over until the psychiatrist--
- Q. You are not usually involved in treatment in a continuing sense, insofar as criminology or penology?
 - A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Doctor, do you treat medically the incorrigibles the same as you do the other inmates?
 - A. Oh, absolutely. They are all human beings.

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

- Q. You don't offer any special type of medical approach to a man whom you consider to be an incorrigible, in terms of trying to use medical means to bring him back from that status?
- A. Well, I do a lot of counseling during the week, and I do a lot of talking and everything else with an individual I spend half of my time trying to talk an inmate into doing this for himself and trying to get them to work something out to try to get in a program -- a lot of my time is spent

11

13

20

18

22

on advice, and a lot of the inmates say all they get when they come to me is advice.

- Q. Doctor, I didn't mean verbally. I mean chemically.
- A. Chemically?
- Q. Yes. Chemically. Through drugs of any sort.
- A. What?
- Q. Are you aware of drugs and have you ever used them at the institution?
 - A. What kind of drugs are you talking about?
- MR. OAKES: He is not a psychiatrist. He is a medical doctor.
 - MR. COHLER: I understand that. I am just trying to-THE WITNESS: What kind of drugs are you talking about?
 MR. COHLER: Q. Any drugs.
- A. We use drugs, naturally. That is our business.
 But I mean, what kind of drugs do you refer to, please?
- Q. Have you ever tried to use any medical means whatsoever, aside from verbal counseling, in an effort to bring a man from what you might consider an incorrigible state?
- A. I'm not talking about incorrigibles, but when they get emotionally upset, naturally we use certain tranquilizers to try to quiet them down from their emotional state. But not for a continuous diet, not to continue them indefinitely on it.
 - Q. What kind of tear gas is available at the

institution?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I beg your pardon?
- Q. What kind of tear gas is available at the institution, if you know?
 - A. Oh, I don't know anything about tear gas.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

MR. GRANUCCI: No further questions. Your Honor, may the witness be excused?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. COHLER: Thank you, Doctor.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: Call the next witness. We will run to 4:30.

MR. GRANUCCI: Mr. Donnelly.

ROBERT H. DONNELLY,

called as a witness by the defendants, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and occupation to the Court.

THE WITNESS: Robert Henry Donnelly, Deputy Superintendent, Correction and Training Facility, Soledad.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

Q Mr. Donnelly, what are your duties as Deputy Superintendent of the Correctional Training Facility?

- A. As Deputy Superintendent, I am in overall supervision of the classification, treatment and disciplinary functions at the Central Facility.
- Q. Would you narrate to us your background in corrections work, please.
- A. I began working in the Department of Corrections in March 1946 until December of 1950, at which time I was recalled to active duty with the Air Force. I returned in May-- Perhaps I should back up for a moment.
 - Q. Would you tell us what you did when you started.
- A. In March 1946 I began as a correctional officer at the institution at Chino, California Institution for Men. I was promoted to sergeant in 1948. In June of 1950 I was promoted to lieutenant of San Quentin. In December of '50 I was recalled to active duty in the Air Force. In May of 1952, I returned to San Quentin. And in 1956, I believe June or July, I am not certain of the month, I was promoted to captain at the institution at Tehachipi.

In September of 1959 I received promotion to Program Administrator at the Correctional Training Facility, North Facility.

In 1964--I am not certain of the month, sometime during the summer--I came over to Central Facility as Associate Superintendent of Custody.

In July of 1965 I was appointed to Deputy Superintendent

Q. You have held that position since that time?

A. I have.

of Central Facility.

- Q. At the Central Facility, are there a pair of wings k_{nown} as the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. What are the purposes of the Adjustment Center?
- A. The purposes of the Adjustment Center are to provide us with some manner of control of the men in the general population who have, for some reason or another, gotten out of control.

There are four adjustment centers in the Department of Corrections: One at--the Vocational Institution at Tracy, one at Folsom, one at San Quentin, and one at Soledad.

To these places, gravitate the men who are adjustment management problems at particular institutions.

- Q. Was it the purpose of the Adjustment Center to permanently isolate or quarantine the inmates who are an adjustment problem?
- A. No sir. The purpose of the Adjustment Center is to attempt to work people back into the general population.
- Q. Could you explain that in more detail, please, for example, as to the various grades.
- A. The Adjustment Center grade I has a very minimal amount of privileges. You might say they have no privileges

1

в 7

8

5

9 10

12

11

14

13

15 18

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

whatsoever. Grade II have some privileges, in the purchase of canteen items, radio, some books. Grade III, we have group counseling, recreation out in the yard, more--a greater amount of canteen that can be purchased from their funds. All in all, it is an attempt to have a graduated system of rewards and punishments in an attempt to get people to try to work themselves back into the general population.

- Now, is there more than one type of segregation of inmates? Would you say that the segregation of inmates in the Adjustment Center is administrative or punitive?
- We have both. We have the isolation unit which is punitive. We have the Adjustment Center, which is administrative.

The punitive segregation, isolation, is determined -the length of time in there is determined by a Disciplinary Committee, and at the expiration of a sentence, the inmate is free to be released from there.

The isolation section which we have in O-wing is generally for the men from grades I and II who have gotten into--and people from the Adjustment Center, who have gotten into difficulty within the Adjustment Center.

The isolation section which we have in X-wing is generally the people who have gotten into trouble within the general population who will be returning to the general

13

25

population. There may be from time to time exceptions, but this is generally our practice.

- Q. Now, within the Isolation Row, are there certain cells commonly known as quiet cells or sometimes known as strip cells?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. What is the purpose of those cells?
- A. The purpose of those cells is to provide us with some place where we can hold and house men who have proven themselves to be a source of disturbance within the unit.
 - Q. Is this within the isolation unit?
 - A. This is within the isolation unit.
- Q. Could it also provide use to inmates who have proven themselves as a source of disturbance within the Adjustment Center generally?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. What kind of inmate generally is confined in the strip cell or the quiet cell?
- A. It might be any kind. I guess several kinds of inmates. Usually we would be speaking of the person who has broken up the cell furnishings, caused flooding, beating on the bars, helping to agitate and get the rest of the inmates agitated and disturbed, ordinarily these would be the types of inmates.
 - Q. Mr. Donnelly, what sort of approval is required for

quiet cell confinement? Is this the sort of thing that could be done at the discretion of an individual officer?

- A. It can be done at the discretion of a bunch commander or the officer of the day.
 - Q. Would that be subject to further approval?
- A. It would be subject to approval by the Disciplinary Committee.
 - Q. What about release from the quiet cell?
- A. Release from the quiet cell is usually recommended by the officers on duty, one of the counselors, the sergeant on duty, and it is generally based on the demonstrated attitude of the man within the unit. If he appears to have quieted down, we may try to get him out of the quiet cell.

 If I may interject—
- Q. Certainly. Please explain your answers whenever you want to.
- A. Adjustment Center housing is--we refer to it as "expensive housing." We are not talking there in terms of dollars and cents. The Adjustment Center-- Dr. Kunkel referred to O-wing as having 108 cells. It has 144 cells, and because of the types of inmates that are housed there, the Department gives us a quota of 75 percent of the total number of cells.

Ordinarily, we have more inmates in there than 108.

It is a very difficult situation where we are dealing with

S1 S0

difficult people in a crowded situation. When a majority of the cells are occupied, it gives us little room to separate one man who may be working on the nerves of another. It doesn't give us much flexibility.

The expensiveness comes about in that it is so demanding of staff time. Frequently, the Adjustment Center is
a place of upset, a place of disturbance, a place of noise
which upsets the entire institution as well as the Adjustment Center.

I am afraid I have lost my thread of thought.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, have you had any experience working in an adjustment center yourself?
- A. I have never worked in one. I have worked around them, I have made visits to them when I was in San Quentin.

One experience I had in an adjustment center was having my nose receive the scar that it has right now from the fist of an inmate.

- Q. How did that happen?
- A. A psychiatrist -- I was in charge of a third watch at San Quentin, and a psychiatrist had been examining a man during the day and he called back later that evening. He said that he had made a mistake, he had left the man's glasses with him and he was afraid he might injure himself.

I went in with the sergeant in an attempt to get the man to give up his glasses.

He held onto the grille door entering the cell, refusing to open it. The sergeant had a billy club, he
tapped the man's knuckles--and I mean tapped; he didn't
bash him--the man left go of the cell door, and we entered.
I kept trying to talk to him, and suddenly I received a
fist in the face. I grabbed for the man's arm. The
sergeant took the baton and hit me across the arms with the
baton, but we finally got the man under control and got the
glasses away from him.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, do you in your present position as Deputy Superintendent have authority over the conditions of confinement in the Adjustment Center, particularly the guiet cells?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. That authority has been in existence since July of 1965?
 - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. Pursuant to that authority -- Let me rephrase the question.

Have you exercised that authority to effectuate any changes in the conditions of the quiet cells?

- A. I believe not, except those on instructions from the Superintendent.
- Q. Are conditions in the quiet cells different now than they were in July of 1965?

 MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am going to object to that as ambiguous. I think we ought to be clear on conditions. There have been many different kinds of conditions.

MR. GRANUCCI: This is a general question, counsel, leading into particulars.

THE COURT: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: The conditions that are different are the presence of plastic washbowls and basins, towels, soap, toothbrushes, these kinds of furnishings.

I am sorry, not toothbrushes. The towels, soap and the plastic water basins.

- Q. Did you participate --
- A. Excuse me. One other thing. The automatic flushing devices for the toilets.
- Q. Did you participate in the decision to install or to furnish these towels, soap, pitchers, water basins?
 - A. I was in on the discussion with the Superintendent.
- Q. At the time you participated in the discussions with the Superintendent, were you aware of the existence of this particular lawsuit?
 - A. I believe I was, yes sir.
- Q. Were you aware that you were a defendant in the case?
- A. I don't believe-- I am sorry. I don't know. I don't know whether I knew it at that time or not. I would

have guessed that I was.

-19

Q. Was the decision to effectuate these changes motivated by the existence of the lawsuit?

A. Well-- This is difficult for me to answer. I $don^{\dagger}t$ believe it was.

Q. Answer to the best of your ability, and you can explain your answer.

A. I think it was more-- There are always difficulties in an adjustment center. We had been trying-- We have been trying to budget personnel. We have been attempting to find ways and means in which the personnel there can be better employed. I think this was the reason for it. I am not sure. It-- I couldn't say unequivocally this was the reason.

Q. Would you say, Mr. Donnelly, that these changes are permanent? Or are they rather on a tentative or experimental basis?

A. Everything that you do in an adjustment center is more or less experimental. We have to see whether or not the thing works.

Penology or any of the behavioral sciences, as far as I know, are not exact. They are comparatively new. I don't believe anyone knows the answer. I certainly don't pretend to know the answer. I am afraid of people who have the answer. I think it is more a matter of attempting to

24 25

work with individuals, rather than to generalize that such and such a thing is going to work.

we may find difficulties with these plastic objects with certain individuals. Certainly if a man is suicidal, we would certainly find difficulty with a towel.

But as I say, I think these things should attempt to be handled on an individual basis, to the best of our current knowledge and in the best of our judgment.

whether the changes are permanent or not--if they appear to work, they are permanent. If they appear to tring other problems, greater problems, then they will be done away with.

- Q. And you will try something else?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Mr. Donnelly, do you know one William Wells?
- A. I do, sir.
- Q. Based on your experience, could you tell us why an individual of Mr. Wells' apparently young age would be confined in a place like the Adjustment Center?
- A. My experience with--particularly with young Youth Authority wards who come to the Department of Corrections has been that they have been severe management problems in Youth Authority institutions. If they were not, the Youth Authorities would hold onto them and they would not be sent to the Department of Corrections for handling.

Б

> .

Generally, when I was at North Facility and we would see a 16-year old come into the North Facility, my first reaction, and as I repeated it to the other staff at the time, was "We've got trouble," because we had usually a young man who had to attempt to prove himself to the rest of the inmate population. He was usually afraid, but in his fear, he could hurt people. This was a problem for us in the handling.

- Q. Now, Mr. Donnelly, I want to ask you about the use of tear gas. Is there more than one type of use at the institution?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Let's put it this way, available for use at the institution.
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Would you explain the types.
- A. The tear gas commonly referred to is chloracetophen CN, which may be in a gas billy.
 - Q. A what?
- A. A gas billy, which is a shortened billy club, I would say about ten inches long, which fires a gas projectile; or in grenades, which throws the gas widely; or in a canister, which has a valve at the top which can squirt gas into the cell.
 - Q. Would that be like an aerosol can?

- A. We have presently a new device. The name of it is Mace-- I am not acquainted with the chemical makeup; it has been approved by the Department for use in institutions-which works to cause tearing when it strikes the skin. If it were to be directed at clothing, there would be no effect. However, when it hits the skin, the body temperature causes a reaction which causes tearing. This is in an atomizer-type--
- Q. Mr. Donnelly, can you reconcile the use of tear gas with accepted notions of humane treatment?
 - A. I certainly can, sir.
 - Q. How is that?
- A. I have had--known people, officers, and inmates, who have been severely injured when tear gas was not used because brute force had to be used to subdue a man acting violently.

The use of tear gas would usually subdue a man so that he is willing to accept restraint. The effect of tear gas will wear off within a period of time. It is not something that—not something we take pride in doing, but I have known an officer to be serverely hurt by use of an iron rod taken out of an inmate's bed and the officer, in an attempt to go in with a mattress to overpower the inmate, stumbled over another officer who was helping him, the mattress came down giving the inmate a clear blow at the officer's head

with this steel rod.

with the use of tear gas, if tear gas is put in a cell, the inmate is told to back up to the door, and he backs up to the door, the handcuffs are put on him, and he is got under control.

There is also something else in this, I think. That is that the inmates feel they need to save face. They have to show that they are men. They are going to fight. But it seems generally accepted in the inmate culture, at least it has been my experience, that tear gas is overpowering so there is no need to put up further disturbance once the tear gas has been used. I think this also helps to cut down on the difficulties.

- Q. In other words, an inmate confronted with the use of tear gas could submit to authority without jeopardizing his standing with other inmates.
 - A. This is my point.
- Q. Now, is the use of tear gas a matter for the individual discretion of duty officers, or officers on duty?
- A. The term "duty officer," we frequently use to mean the officer of the day. The officer of the day does have authority to permit the use of tear gas.
 - Q. What about an individual correctional officer?
- A. An individual correctional officer does not have the authority.

- Q. What does an officer have to do when he is confronted with a situation where he feels tear gas is appropriate?
- A. He would have to contact the watch commander. Our practice is that the watch commander would contact the officer of the day or the Superintendent or myself, or the program Administrator, the Captain, someone else in authority.

However, the--the watch lieutenant has to have a certain amount of freedom, because sometimes things happen very fast in an institution. He has to have the authority to use this. If there is time, he is expected to consult with someone else.

For example, if it were a question of a man in a cell, he would be expected to contact myself, the Program Administrator, or the officer of the day.

However, if it were a question of rackus situation in a wing, he would have to act right then and would not be able to wait.

If an inmate were attempting to cut himself or something like this in a cell, he might have to go in with tear gas without getting prior approval.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, what is a 103B record?
- A. A 103B record would be the Associate Warden and Custody Card. It is one of a series of cards that is used

 within the Department for various purposes, various departments. The top of the card usually shows some sociological data, the crime, the length of sentence. The foot of the card shows the man's work assignment. It also shows his housing assignments, classification actions, the actions of the Adult Authority. The rear of the card will usually reflect his disciplinary record, counseling, this kind of thing.

- Q. Does a 103B usually reflect housing status?
- A. Yes sir, it would show the cell to which a man was assigned.
- Q. I show you one of the pages in an appendix to plaintiff's 3. Would you please describe this document.
- A. The document that we have is a CDC form, California Department of Corrections Form 115, report of violation of institution rules.

It shows the institution at which the report is being made, it is directed to the Warden/Superintendent. At the top it shows the name of the reporting officer who charges an inmate with violation of the rules and regulations, and then lists the rules and regulations which have been violated, gives the date and the time, then a brief summary of the action, the signature and place of work of the officer. Below this is a line, approximately one-half the page, I would think, which lists the plea of the inmate,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

e Do Ac

the findings and disposition arrived at by the hearing officer or the Disciplinary Committee.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, would you please read the date on that form?
- A. The date on this form is Friday, July 9 1965, 9:50 p.m.
- Q. Would you please read the name of the inmate accused.
- A. The name of the inmate, as given here, is "Jordan, A-46604."
- Q. Now, directing your attention to the bottom of the page, I show you the initials "RHD."
 - A. Those are my initials.
 - Q. What do those indicate?
- A. These initials indicate that I at sometime--I don't have the date here--but sometime following the hearing of the Committee, saw this and as the Chief Disciplinary Officer, noted the fact of the action.
 - Q. Does that mean that you approved it?
 - A. It does.
- Q. Do you have the power to disapprove disciplinary action?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Do you have the power to reduce the penalty?

- Q. Do you review Disciplinary Committee actions in the qeneral course of your duties?
- A. I no longer do. At the time of the period in question, I did.
- Q. When you review a disciplinary--during that period of time, was the disciplinary report, the 115, the only thing you had before you?
- A. No. I also would have a copy of the 103B card that we have just described.
- Q. In other words, you would be aware of the inmate's housing at the time he was disciplined.
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. And also at the time you reviewed the report?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Are the 103B forms kept current?
 - A. I don't understand.
- Q. In other words-- In other words, let me rephrase the question.

When a man's housing is changed in the institution, is that change noticed on his 103B form?

- A. Yes sir, one of the purposes of the 103B card is to help us to find an inmate either at his work location or in his housing.
 - Q How quickly would the change be noted on the 103B?
 - A. It would be noted within 24 hours.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 24

- Q. Within 24 hours.
- A. Yes.
- Q. So then would it be correct to say that at the time you approved the Disciplinary Committee action relating to Mr. Jordan that accused him of violation of prison rules on July 9 1965, you were aware of where he was housed?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. In other words, you knew he was housed in a quiet cell?
- A. I don't have a personal remembrance of this particular action, but the information was there. And now I don't remember what was there under the findings of disposition, sir. But that statement might have told me something.
 - Q. I show this to you.
 - MR. COHLER: The same date?
 - MR. GRANUCCI: The same date.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know if I would have known-whether I would have checked the 103B card to find out if
 Mr. Jordan were in fact in a quiet cell.
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Q. But it was available to you?
 - A. It was available, yes.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Would this be an appropriate time to adjourn for the day?

hearing in this matter at 11:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

Very well. We will resume for further

11:00 o'clock. Very good, Your Honor.

MR. COHLER: Will we be going throughout the day? There would be an afternoon meeting as well?

THE COURT: Yes. Jordan is remanded to custody.

THE COURT:

MR. GRANUCCI:

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned until 11:00

o'clock a.m., Tuesday, August 16 1966.)

--000--

в

 TUESDAY, AUGUST 16 1966 - 11:00 A.M.

THE CLERK: 44309 and 44786 Robert Charles Jordan, Jr. vs. G. J. Fitzharris, et al, further evidentiary hearing.

MR. COHLER: Ready for the plaintiff and petitioner, your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: Ready for the defendant, Your Honor.

May we call Mr Donnelly, please.

ROBERT H. DONNELLY,

recalled as a witness in behalf of the defendants, having been previously sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Will the witness please restate his name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Robert H. Donnelly.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

Q. Mr. Donnelly, I believe on direct examination yesterday you testified that you participated in a decision to introduce some changes into the quiet cells; water, towels, and the like.

Do you remember what precipitated those changes or what instituted the discussion?

A. I believe the discussion--I believe, now, the discussion was as a result of an inquiry from the Administrator--the Youth and Adult Corrections Agency, Mr. Richard

12

15

22

25

24

A. McGee, whom I understand had been appointed by a member of the California Supreme Court.

As I understand it, Mr. Jordan had submitted a, I believe it was an application for a writ, to the California Supreme Court. It was at this time, since we--since it had gotten the interest of a Justice of the Supreme Court, we decided we had better take a second look at what was happening in the Adjustment Center.

- Q. Was that before you became a defendant in this case?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Was it before there was any awareness that Jordan had a case in the federal court?

MR. COHLER: Excuse me, Your Honor.

"Any awareness." Would you limit that, please, to the witness; awareness?

- MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Was this before you were aware that Jordan had a case in the federal court?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was this before you were aware that you were a defendant?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Can you pin down in time the period when those suggestions started?
 - A. I believe it was in the winter of 1964-65.
 - Q. 164-165?

- 1
- 3

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

22

21

23

25

- or \$65-\$66?

Yes sir.

- I am sorry. Winter of 1965-166.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Counsel?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

EY MR. COHLERS

A۰

- Mr. Donnelly, can you pin down those discussions any more precisely than the winter of '65-'66, please?
- I don't believe so, Mr. Cohler. I spent some time thinking about this yesterday, as a result of my testimony here, trying to remember when these discussions took place.
- Let's go into it a little more thoroughly, and maybe your recollection will be refreshed. Do you recall how many discussions there were looking toward changes?

THE COURT: And with whom.

- MR. COHLER: Yes, I was going to go to that next, Your Honor.
 - Q. And with whom, at the same time, if you wish.
- I would believe that there might have been three or four such discussions.
- With whom? And if it varied from time to time, Q. please so state.
- A. The Superintendent, Mr. Fitzharris, I believe Mr. Swagerty, I believe Mr. Johnston.
- Were these face-to-face discussions in someone's office?

- A. Yes, I think that they were-- Some of the discussions, I believe-- I believe one or two of them were in
 the Superintendent's office, and I believe there were some
 informal discussions in Mr. Swagerty's office.
- Q. Can you recall whether or not the earlier discussions were in the Superintendent's office? Or Mr. Swagerty's office?
- $_{\mbox{\sc A.}}$ I would believe that they were in the Superintendent's office.
- Q. Over what period of time did these three or four discussions take place? I am asking for length of time, not dates.
- A. I would think they might have taken place over a period of three or four months.
 - Q. Three or four months.
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Was it after the final such of the three or four discussions that an actual decision was made to make these changes?
- Well, let me back up a little bit. Perhaps this would be helpful.

Did there become a time when it was actually decided, "Now we will go ahead?"

A. Yes sir. I can't--I can't place that. There obviously had to be a time when a decision was made that

something was to be done.

Q. Were you present at a conference when such a decision was made, a final decision was made to move forward?

A. No. As I remember these discussions, they were more in the nature of what--what can we do? What is happening here?

I don't remember whether I was present at a hearing or a meeting at which it was definitely decided this is what we would do.

Q. Were there any written memoranda written to anyone, including yourself, stating that a decision had been made that such and such would happen?

A. No. To clarify, these were, as I remember, more or less informal meetings. They were not any formal meetings called specifically for this.

I correct myself there. I think the first two meetings might have been called for this purpose, to make us, as members of the staff, aware of the interest that Mr. McGee and the messages that he had passed on, and that this was more in the nature of, "Let's take a look at what we can do."

Q. The first two meetings which you recall as being directed expressly towards this discussion of this matter, do you recall approximately how long each of those meetings

lasted?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 .

- A. No sir.
- Q. A matter of minutes? Hours? Days?
- A. No, I wouldn't-- I would guess--
- Q. Please don't guess; estimate.
- A. All right. I will estimate that it would be a matter of minutes, but I don't have that accurate a recollection of a particular meeting.
- Q. Would you say minutes approaching an hour? And I don't want you to go any further than your recollection will permit, but in that range?
- A. It is an estimate. I would think somewhere between a half hour and an hour.
- Q. Your best recollection was, Mr. Donnelly, that Mr. Fitzharris, Mr. Swagerty, Mr. Johnston and yourself were present. Did each of you gentlemen participate in the discussion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who started the discussion when you were called to the office?
 - A. I believe the Superintendent did.
 - Q Would you recall, as best you can, what he said?
 - A. I have a very inaccurate or very fuzzy notion.
- Q. Let's back up a little more and see if you can recall.

had been a communication from Mr. McGee relating -- com-

You stated I believe, on cross-examination, that there

2

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

20

19

22

21

23

24

25

munication from him to a Justice of the Supreme Court of California.

A. Yes sir.

Q. How did you become aware of that?

- A. From the Superintendent.
- Q. At this first meeting?
- A. Yes.
- Q. That was, in part at least, a substance of what you were told at the beginning, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What was it that Mr. McGee wrote? Did he write? Or did he talk? Do you know?
 - A. No sir, I don't know.
 - Q. Were you shown a letter of any sort?
 - A. No sir.
- MR. COHLER: Counsel, a little out of order, I wonder if you would take it upon yourself to ask Mr. Fitzharris to check his file and see if he can find such a letter.
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Very well, counsel.
 - MR. COHLER: Thank you.
- Q. What was the substance of what Mr. Fitzharris said that Mr. McGee had informed him, Mr. Fitzharris, of?
 - A. The substance, as I remember it, was that Mr.

 $_{
m Jordan}$ had made certain charges about the operation of the $_{
m Adjust}$ ment Center.

MR. OAKES: Objection, Your Honor. I think we are getting into double hearsay. The letter would be the best evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: And that -- I am losing my thread of thought again. Could you repeat the question?

MR. COHLER: Q. Certainly. Would you try to tell the Court as best you can recall it, what Mr. Fitzharris told you at this first meeting that he had been told by Mr. McGee, told or written to him, however it may have been?

- A. As I remember it, Mr. Fitzharris had been told that a Justice of the Supreme Court, California Supreme Court, had made inquiries of Mr. McGee, in essence: What is the situation in the Adjustment Center of Soledad.
- Q. Mr. Fitzharris questioned each of you as to what the situation was? Or how did it proceed from there?
- A. I think, as I remember it, the Superintendent was asking us, as the staff directly concerned, to take a look to see if anything was out of the way.
 - Q Did you do so?
- A. Well, it was my instruction and the instruction of the Superintendent to--Mr. Johnston and Mr. Swagerty, to do so.

- Q. Was there a second meeting, perhaps, to report the findings as to whether or not anything was out of order?
 - A. I don't have a recollection of that--
 - Q. Well, did any of you-- Excuse me.
- A. I don't have a recollection of the substance of the various meetings.
- Q. As I understand it, Mr. Donnelly, the Superintendent had asked the gentlemen present, including yourself, to see if anything might be out of order in response to a request from his superior, Mr. McGee.

was there a time when any report was made to Mr. Fitzharris as to whether or not anything was out of order?

- A. I believe that Mr. Swagerty reported directly to the Superintendent.
- Q. Subsequently, in the course of these three or four hearings, it was decided that changes ought to be made?

 Is that correct?
 - A. Yes sir, I believe that is true.
- Q. Mr. Donnelly, you testified on direct examination—
 THE COURT: When you speak of these "changes," will you
 be more specific, counsel, with respect to the changes, if
 any.
- MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Donnelly, I would like to ask you if you have not been referring to the following changes in your testimony, both on direct and cross-examination so far:

Б

The installation of an automatic flushing device in the isolation strip or quiet cell area, the installation of plastic washbasins, the installation of plastic water pitchers, the availability of towels, the availability of soap, and the availability of toothbrushes?

- A. I believe more specifically we were speaking of the flushing devices, the plastic devices. Frankly, I don't know who made the decision about the towels and soap and this kind of thing. I believe that was done by the staff of the Adjustment Center itself.
- Q. Your testimony, then, has been directed to changes including, at least, the automatic flusher, the plastic water basin and the plastic water pitcher, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I didn't hear your answer.
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. You were asked on direct examination regarding the use of tear gas. Is it part of your function in your present office as Deputy Superintendent to, upon occasion, authorize the use of tear gas?
 - A. It is.
- Q. When are you supposed to be asked to authorize the use of tear gas? "Can it ever be used without your authorization?
 - A. Yes sir. It can be used without -- it can be used

on the authorization of the watch commander in a riotous $_{\mbox{situation}}$ when time is of the essence.

- Q. Except for an emergency such as a riotous situation where time is of the essence, would you if you were on duty be the person who ought to authorize the use of tear gas?
 - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. I didn't mean to imply that you ought to authorize it in every situation that it is requested. But you are the person they would ask?
- A. Not necessarily. Mr. Swagerty might be asked, the Captain might be asked or Mr. Johnston might be asked.
- Q. On how many occasions have you been asked to authorize the use of tear gas that you can recall, Mr. Donnelly?
- A. Over the period of time since I have been at Central Facility?
- Q. Would you break that down for the Court first into those periods of time when you were in a position where you would have been asked as the person who had authority to authorize the use of tear gas.
- A. Well, I have had the authority to authorize the use of tear gas since the summer of 1964.
 - Q. At that time you were in what position, sir?
 - A Associate Superintendent of Custody.
 - Q As best you can recall, Mr. Donnelly, since the

4 б

6

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18 19

21

22

23 24

summer of 1964 when you became Associate Superintendent of custody, and to date, how many times have you been asked to authorize the use of tear gas?

It would be -- That is a very difficult question for me to even estimate, Mr. Cohler. In the fall of 1964, as I believe has already been testified to, for a period of some six weeks we had a riotous--not a riotous situation, but we had a situation in which the entire institution was seriously disturbed. I had authorized the use of tear gas, I don't know how many times during that period. I would say that --

- Perhaps we can help you. Let's Include for the moment the riot of 1964, which was an apparently extraordinarily difficult time period. Excluding that period of time, would the number of times you have been asked to authorize the use of tear gas be 10, 20, 50, 100, 1,000, what?
 - I would say somewhere on the order of 20.
- Q. Do you recall any of those occasions, approximately 20, in which you did not authorize the use of tear gas when it was requested of you?
- A. I don't recall not authorizing the use. I do--it seems to me that on a few occasions, and this might be on the order of three or four, that I had asked for -- after hearing a description of the situation, that I had asked

16

24

someone else to either go in and take a look to see what the situation was to see if the situation required the use of tear gas, and I have myself on, perhaps, three or four occasions gone personally to the area.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, you used the term "situation requiring the use of tear gas." Would you please tell the Court all situations which you can think of based on your experience.
- A. Perhaps it would be best to begin with the individual situation.

The situation of an inmate within his cell who is creating a disturbance which is beginning to cause unrest throughout the rest of the wing--

- Q. Agitation?
- A. Agitation.
- --a situation where an inmate within his cell is threatening to harm himself and is armed--
 - Q. He is armed?
 - A. Well, he might be armed with--
 - Q. Anything he could hurt himself with?
 - A. Yes sir.
- --a situation in which a group of inmates refuses to disperse.
 - Q. That wouldn't be inmates within their cell, would

A.

- --a situation in which a group of inmates would be refusing to lock up and were threatening the staff.
 - Q. Again, that is not an inmate within his cell, is it?
 - A. Right. It is not, sir.

No, no, this would not.

- Q. Without taxing your memory and your experience, would you carefully, please, tell me all situation regarding an inmate already within his cell? I think you have mentioned two, disturbance or agitation and threatening to harm himself. Can you think of anything else?
- A. Yes. I can think of a situation where a man has been ordered to move from his cell and he refuses to do so.
- Q. I would like you to be very careful and see if you can think of any others regarding an inmate already within his cell.
 - A. At the moment, no sir.
- Q. Mr. Donnelly, I take it that if an inmate is within his cell, that tear gas should not be used merely to
 enforce an order, unless that order relates to agitation
 or threatening harm to the inmate by himself or moving of
 the inmate to another cell?
 - A. I would guess that was accurate.
- Q. In other words, there are many orders that must be given in the course of running an institution, not all of which are of such a serious nature that they ought to be

enforced by the use of tear gas?

A. Right, sir.

Б

- Q. If an inmate were not thought to be using his clothing or about to use his clothing to harm himself, would an order to remove his clothing call for enforcement by the use of tear gas?
 - A. It could, sir.
- Q. That would be a new situation. Would you explain that, and why you haven't included it in your previous statements?

MR. GRANUCCI: Object to counsel's "previous statement."

I think he stated it could or couldn't be, "could be or couldn't be" was included in his previous statement, depending on the circumstances.

MR. COHLER: I will break it down and start at the beginning.

- Q. I believe you just testified that there could be times when it would be proper to use tear gas to enforce an order for an inmate to remove his clothing even where the inmate was not thought to be about to use his clothing to hurt himself. Now, when could and when couldn't that be the proper occasion for the use of tear gas?
- A. Well, one obvious example, I think, would be in the search of a man. Weapons can be concealed on a person, and in removing a man from his cell it might be necessary

to have him divest himself first so--to make certain that he didn*t have the weapons on him.

I think of one situation in which—at the institution—in which a riotous situation had occurred, agitation within cells, breaking, if I remember correctly, of toilets or at least flooding the tiers, burning clothing, sheets and this kind of thing. In an attempt to control it, we ordered inmates stripped and they remained in their own cells. I believe tear gas was authorized in this instance.

- Q. I don't want to interrupt you.
- A. No, I am through.
- Q. If I understand you correctly, tear gas is not used as a punitive instrument. It is used for particular purposes, more in the nature of control?
 - A. Yes sir.

Б

- Q. Particularly, it is used to get someone out of where he is to some place else? Is that correct?
 - A. Usually that is true, yes sir.
- Q Would you please tell the Court, Mr. Donnelly, what you know about the dangerous nature of tear gas? Whether it is? Whether it isn't?

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection to the form of the question.

It assumes something not in evidence, that tear gas is

dangerous.

MR. COHLER: I asked whether it is, whether it isn't,

counsel.

5

в

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

MR. GRANUCCI: With that qualification, I will withdraw the objection.

MR. COHLER: Q. Do you understand the question?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Please go ahead.
- A. I believe there are some few people who are very allergic to tear gas. I don't know--I think I have run across one such person in my experience in the Department. Other than that, I believe it is the most humane way of moving a man, because without it physical force might have to be used.
- Q. And that would be dangerous for both the moving and the moved person, wouldn't it?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. You mentioned an instance where a man may be allergic to tear gas. Are you aware of any records with regard to each inmate as to whether or not he is allergic to tear gas?
 - A. No sir.
- Real Residual Residua
 - A. No sir.
 - Q. That would have to be discovered afterwards, if he

turned out to be allergic?

A. Yes sir.

- Q. Aside from an inmate who may be allergic, and of which you wouldn't have any knowledge at the time you authorized it, are there any other situations where tear gas may be dangerous?
- A. I would think that tear gas would be dangerous if, for example, a man had a heavy cold. I don't know this. This would simply be an assumption on my part.
- Q. Can tear gas have dangerous characteristics when used in closed or close quarters, as opposed to an open area?
 - A. I would suppose, yes.
- Q. Even more so in an area as small as a quiet or strip cell?
 - A. Yes, I suppose.
- Q. Even more so if the flaps in the door were closed in that area as small as a quiet or strip cell?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge as to how dangerous that would have become?

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, can that question be limited to the quantity or the relation of the quantity of gas involved? I take it the danger could be proportionate.

MR. COHLER: I will incorporate counsel's request.

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

23

24

- Q. You may answer fully, please.
- A_{\circ} In my experience, I have known of no one who was permanently damaged by the use of tear gas.
 - Q. At your institution.
- A. Well, even at other institutions. I haven't heard of anyone.
- Q. If you had heard of someone dying from the use of tear gas in closed quarters, would that affect your exercising your discretion in authorizing the use of tear gas?
 - A. Yes sir.
- MR. COHLER: Your Honor, this may be a little out of order. I want to proceed carefully.

Counsel this is a Xerox of an opinion of the Court of Claims, New York, 1961, found at 222 New York Supp. 2d 596.

It involves the death of a person from the use of tear gas in a closed room by law enforcement officials.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. I will object to the opinion as hearsay as far as the facts reported therein.

THE COURT: You might ask Mr. Donnelly whether the opinion or the subject matter or the contents thereof was ever directed to his attention.

MR. COHLER: That is what I propose to do.

THE COURT: You may do that.

MR. COHLER: Q. Mr. Donnelly, was it ever brought to your attention that in 1961 in a court in New York, there

had been a lawsuit growing out of the death of a man from the use of tear gas in closed quarters by law enforcement officers?

- A. I don't remember it, sir.
- Q. You don't remember being told or not being told about it?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Is there more than one kind of tear gas, to your knowledge?
- A. Well, there are various gases which are used, which have been used. There are sickening gases. There are nerve gases, tear gases, as we understand them, and this latest item that we are using, which is called Mace,
 - Q. Do you know the chemical composition?
- A. I do not. It reacts with the bodily heat, and our instructions are it is to be used on the face.
- Q. Did you have that particular Mace available in the fall of 1965?
 - A. No sir.
 - Q. How recently have you had that available?
- A. This has been approved by the Department of Corrections, I believe within the last four or five months.
- Q. Let me restrict your attention for the moment to the fall of 1965, particularly around Thanksgiving time.

Which types of gases which were available for your use, to your knowledge, were there at the institution?

- A. The type we have is, I believe, chloracetophenone.
- Q. Known as CSDN?

3

б

в

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

50

21

22

23

- A. No sir. I believe it is CN.
- Q. CN. Thank you.
- A. And this would come both--depending on the manufacturer--would be in either a liquid or a powder form.

 But as far as I know, this is the only type of tear gas we have had.
- Q. Is that type of tear gas found in different types of containers in use at the institution?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Will you describe that for the Court, please.
- A. There are various types of containers. One would be called the gas billy, which is a short truncheon, which can expel a gas projectile. It is for short-range work against individuals.

There is a gas gun, which can send projectiles some distance, which would be used for large scale disturbances.

- Q. Let me interrupt you there. I understand there are two types of gas guns; one is a gas gun and one is more in the nature of a shotgun. Do you have both of those, or which?
 - A. No. We have just the rifle type.

3

Б

в

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

50

22

51

23

24

And the other type would be a canister with a valve at the top which can expel gas in varying amounts, depending on how long the valve is kept open.

- Q. Which of those three, the gas billy, the gas gun, or the canister, is the largest, holds the most gas?
 - A. I believe the canister does.
- Q. Do you know how much more it holds than the others?

 Is this all pretty close together? Or substantially larger?

 Perhaps I can ask it this way--
 - A. I don't know, sir.
- Q. --would a canister, as you have described it, be more appropriate for use in the outdoor area, as opposed to a gas gun or a gas billy?
- A. The canister would be more appropriate for the indoor area.
 - Q. Why is that, sir?
- A. One is the blast effect that would occur from sending forth any projectile, and the other would be the--once the gas--the tear gas gun or the billy has been expended, you have expended all of your gas. You have no control over the amount.
- Q. In other words, a projectile has a certain amount of gas in it and that is it?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether or not the

Q. Do you recall being asked to authorize the use of tear gas with respect to an inmate named Esparza?

armory officers keep the canisters, the gas guns and the gas billies ready at all times in the event there is need for their use?

- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Does that keeping them ready at all times include keeping them filled?
- A. We don't refill at the institution. We send the canisters--when they become empty, we send them out to the manufacturers to be filled to--
 - Q. Do you send-- Excuse me.
 - A. --to our retail contact.
- Q. To your knowledge, Mr. Donnelly, do you send them out when they become empty? Or do you send them out automatically after they have been used so that you won't have just a little bit left at the bottom and reach for it in a riot and have it die in a few seconds?
- A. I am sorry to have to admit that we have had them until they have died on us in a few seconds.
- Q. I will ask you to direct your attention now to just prior to Thanksgiving in 1965. Do you recall being requested to authorize the use of tear gas at or about that time?
 - A. From that description, no sir.

- A. Yes, it seems that I do. I believe I was contacted by Mr. Johnston.
 - Q. Mr. Johnston, the Program Administration of Unit 3?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Was that a telephone call? A face-to-face meeting?

 A written slip? How does that work?
- A. He called me, I believe. I believe he was in the Adjustment Center and he called me on the phone.
 - Q. About how long a conversation was that?
 - A. A matter of minutes.
- Q. And you authorized the use of tear gas at that time, is that correct?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Did you authorize the use of tear gas at that time, as you recall it, with respect to an inmate who was in his quiet cell or strip cell and who was not to be moved therefrom? Do you understand the question?
 - A. Was I--
- Q. When you authorized the use of tear gas with respect to Mr. Esparza, were you informed and did you understand at the time that this was not being used to move him from his cell, but was to be used to enforce an order while he was still to remain in his cell.
 - A. I don't remember that, Mr. Cohler.
 - Q. Mr. Donnelly, I believe you testified on direct

examination yesterday that ordinarily strip cells served the purpose of housing inmates who had been breaking up their cells, who had been flooding their cells, or who had been agitating in their cells. Is that correct?

- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Would you tell the Court, please, whether there are any other purposes to be serwed by housing an inmate in a quiet or strip cell in the ordinary case.
- A. Well, ordinarily the strip cell is used as a means of separating the person going in there from the rest of the population within the Adjustment Center. I guess there could be various reasons for this.
- Q. Are they used solely for control? Or also for a means of punishment?
- A. I believe it was Sergeant Friedrick who has already testified or stated that this is a very difficult line to draw.
- Q. He thought he could draw it though. I would like to know if you can.
 - A. Well, I think I can draw it for myself.
- MR. OAKES: Objection, Your Honor. I don't know he does know he can draw it. I think counsel is putting testimony on the record.
- MR. COHLER: If I did so, it was unintentional. I will withdraw it and we will proceed.

- Q. To your best ability, would you distinguish if you can between control and punishment with respect to the use of the quiet or strip cell.
- A. Yes sir. I would think the punishment aspect would enter into it if I were immediately involved.
- Q. Excuse me. Are you using hypothetically? Or are y_{OU} saying in situations where you are involved?

Well, let's see if we can take this a little more slowly. You are presently the Deputy Superintendent, is that right?

- A. Yes sir.
- Q. In your capacity as Deputy Superintendent and responsibilities which attend thereto, as you understand the purposes and the proper functions of the quiet cell or strip cell, what are those purposes in terms of control versus punishment, if you can say?
- A. The control enters into it in removing a force of disturbance from the population of the Adjustment Center. The punishment enters into it from the aspect that the cells are not--not as well fitted as the regular cells, that they are isolated more so from the--from one another than any other--than any of the other cells are.

The type of control itself must, I believe, take on the aspect of punishment of the man involved. I mean, in his eyes, I don't see how he can separate it. In my eyes, it

۶.

22 23

is separated by the fact that when the man demonstrates that he has regained some control of himself, we then bring him back out.

Q. Let me see if I understand you now, Mr. Donnelly. The purpose is, from the official point of view, as you understand, and particularly your own as Deputy Superintendent, the purpose is one of control. However, because of the circumstances at the cell, it may be thought to be punishment, which is the necessary part of putting him there for purposes of control. Is that a fair statement of what you said?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, aside from the fact that there may be a punishment aspect involved when you are trying to control a man when you put him into these cells, are these cells used for punishment, aside from just trying to separate him out?
 - A. No sir, not to my knowledge.
- Q. What would require separating a man out such that he would be controlled by putting him in a quiet or strip cell?
- A. The various things I have mentioned; the agitation, breaking up of cell furnishings, flooding, these kinds of things.
 - Q. "Agitation" has a very broad meaning to me. Perhaps

it has a more special one to you. Could you tell the Court what agitation can encompass.

- A. Agitation can encompass a man directly himself starting to methodically beat on the bars, yelling and screaming and attempting to incite others; it might encompass a man who, while not doing that himself, gets others nearby to do that kind of thing. This is what I mean by "agitation."
- Q. I want you to explain this, and I am not trying to put words in your mouth, but what you just said seems to me to encompass almost any conceivable rule-breaking, because discipline would break down and this would lead to agitation and you could go on from there. Is it any more restrictive than that?
- A. Well, I believe, Mr. Cohler, that in any situation people responsible for making decisions have to make a decision, and there is always this—there is always this problem that someone else might have made a different decision which might or might not have been better in a particular situation. Somebody has to make the decision as to whether or not this particular type of agitation is going to cause general upset, or whether it is of a minor nature and can be forgotten.
- Q. Are there some rules which can be broken which would in the ordinary course of events not be thought to

likely result in agitation?

- A. Can you give me an illustration?
- Q. Well, I was going to ask you for some. But let me see if I can rephrase. Let's come at it from another angle.

As Deputy Superintendent and formerly as Associate Superintendent, would you please tell the Court, based on that experience, the importance of maintaining discipline and obedience to orders in the institution.

- A. Well, this resolves down to attempting to look at--
- Q. I am having a little trouble hearing.

A. This breaks down into attempting to handle men individually. For example, it appears to me that if a man is in a phase of minor infractions, he can be causing staff--using up a great deal of staff time. But he is no grave danger to the institution, even though he may be very well known to staff.

To handle this kind of thing, I introduced at Central Facility what we call restricted housing, a step between the general population and the Adjustment Center.

On the other hand, we might on occasion have a man who is found guilty of one infraction, which because of the seriousness of that infraction should be placed in the Adjustment Center.

It is an attempt, I believe -- we are making the attempt-

to look on the men down there as individuals.

Does this answer the question, sir?

3

5

в

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

11

thing.

Using your example of the man in the corridor alone, where there is no other aggravation circumstance, and he is ordered to go back to his wing, when you said it wasn't a very serious infraction, do I understand you to mean the order itself would be enforced, but his failure to obey

Let's see if we can take that a little further, and I don't mean to imply anything.

If an officer or sergeant or some other official gives an order directly to an inmate, to what extent is it felt that that order must be enforced?

Once again, I think it would have to depend on the circumstances at that particular time. If I can give an illustration.

An inmate is told to go back to his wing. The seriousness of this order will depend on the situation at the If the corridor is relatively vacant, if there is nothing happening around the place, then the order--his failure to go back is not a very serious one.

If, on the other hand, an inmate is among a group of inmates who are impeding the progress of the corridor or threatening someone, and the officer comes along and tells him to go back to the wing, then this is a very serious

immediately would not be considered a serious infraction?

A. Yes sir.

- Q. In other words, the order is enforced?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. It is considered a breakdown of discipline within the prison, isn't it, if an order is made and not enforced?
 - A. Yes sir.
 - Q. Orders must be enforced one way or the other?
 - A. Right, sir.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection to the form of the question, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. COHLER: Q. The term "strip cell" has been used, Mr. Donnelly. I suggest to you that at least among administrative personnel, those at your position, or your superiors, the term may have originated because the cell was stripped of the normal physical facilities.

Would you tell the Court what you know of the orignation of this term, its use generally in penology, et cetera.

- A. My understanding is that this is where the term

 "strip cell" came from: Stripped of all furnishings.

 Primarily, I guess, the cells were formed to remove weapons from disturbed people. I don't know what else you may be inquiring about.
 - Q. In other words, if you put a man in a cell which

was stripped of all furnishings, he wouldn't be able to have weapons to hurt himself, hurt officials, hurt inmates, he wouldn't be able to tear his cell apart, break it up, flood his cell, things of that nature, is that correct?

A. He wouldn't be able to break up his cell too well.

I have seen cells at San Quentin where the bowl was encased in concrete, and after a period of time the concrete was broken up into fairly large chunks. One method of breaking-that was something else.

He can still burn his clothing.

- Q. Matches are contraband, are they not?
- A. They are, sir.
- Q. Go ahead, please.
- A. Well, contraband can be gotten into a strip cell.
- Q. We have heard testimony to that effect.

Stripping a cell of its furnishings is designed to do
the best job yet devised to keep the man from ripping up
the cell or getting weapons to hurt himself or others; is
that generally true?

- A. Also this control. These would be the ideas.
- Q. That is where I want to go with you now. If there weren't the danger of the man breaking up the cell and making weapons out of the facilities which were in the cell would there be any reason not to place him in a regular isolation cell, not a strip cell?

A. With this agitation, yes sir.

- Q. Would you explain that, please.
- A. Very well. One man, a disturbed man in the unit, if he is in an isolation cell or the administrative segregation, the Adjustment Center cells, can succeed in getting others to become very disturbed.
- Q. How does that happen in the isolation section, the front part of the west corridor on the first floor of O-wing?
- A. If that isolation section or the "MAX" side on the other side of isolation -- if they start the rhythmic beating and the yelling and screaming, it is clearly audible through that whole wing and also into the corridor.
- Q. What is it about the strip cells which precludes that?
- A. Well, the use of the strip cell would be that we were moved in-- May I back up?
 - Q. Certainly. Please explain yourself fully.
- A. We have found that if we permit--and this is experience over the years--if we permit something within the-within an isolation unit to go on, to go unchallenged, that gradually aside from the noise and the burning and this kind of thing, the whole unit loses discipline. My guess, if I am permitted to guess, would be that the inmates, because of this, because of the tension they are under, the

7

:3

31

19

*1*4.

fear generated, get more and more-- there is nobody there to take care of them.

The quiet cell gives us an area to which we can remove a man who is causing difficulty throughout the wing. We can remove him out of that section. This gives the rest of the population a chance to back down.

- Q. In other words, there are even less privileges in the quiet cell, so you have this means to say, "O.K., you are messing up isolation. You go to the strip cell." Is that the idea?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. What happens if the people in the strip cells start doing this? What do you do to them then?
- A. Usually then, Mr. Cohler, we look to find some way to--if they are--you know, if it is causing that great a disturbance--ordinarily it does not--but if it were, we would look to find some way of transporting him to another institution.

Usually in a situation like that, I believe you would find there were two, three, four, five men who were helping to support one another in this. We would look to try to separate them.

Q. In a situation which falls short of anything as aggravated as might call for you to try to transfer a man to another institution, if you have an inmate who has been

messing up, disturbing, rattling on bars, pounding on the bar area, making a lot of noise in the isolation section, the guard and the sergeant may see to it he goes to the strip cell to quiet him down? That is a possible situation a likely situation?

- A. The sergeant puts--you are suggesting the sergeant, through his immediate superior, the lieutenant on the third watch or the officer of the day, that would be a cause--
- Q. I am not worried about who authorizes it now. But that would be a cause for moving him to the strip cell?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. This isn't necessarily an inmate who has been doing this for years, but he did it that time.
- A. Well, once again, we are talking about the gravity of the situation. Somebody has to make the decision. We have situations in which men do make noise in which they do not go to the quiet cell. We have—there are 144 cells in that wing. It has a quota of 108. We usually have about 116 on an average, and as high as 126. Obviously we can't put all of those men in the quiet cells.

So the gravity of the situation at the particular time has to be judged by the staff on duty.

- Q. You say you have a quota of 108. What does that mean?
 - A. It means that because of the type of inmates with

whom we are dealing, that the Department sets a quota of

75 percent of the available cell space within the wing as

Б

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

MR. OAKES: I have a few more questions.

THE COURT: You may ask them.

If we can, yes sir.

Q. That means there can't be less?

the quota for that wing.

- A. Oh, no. We frequently go above, and we go below.
- Q. What does it mean, that is what I don't understand.
- A. What it means is that when we reach 109, we can then begin saying to the rest of the Department, "We are filling up. Don't send us any more problem children for awhile." Or we call the Department to see if some of the men can be transferred elsewhere.
- Q. Let's go back to the first floor again in O-wing. Depending upon the gravity of the situation, if a man were agitating by rattling his bars or banging on the bars and it was thought through the appropriate people in authority to move him to the strip or quiet cell and he got there and he began doing the same thing, then there is no place else to put him unless you decide to get him transferred out, and it is up to the guards or the sergeant on duty to find some way to control this man. Is that correct?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. OAKES:

- Q. Would you consider removing a man's clothes as appropriate means for controlling him?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. At the beginning of cross-examination Mr. Cohler brought up the fact of several meetings that were had between yourself and Mr. Fitzharris, Mr. Swagerty and probably Mr. Johnston, and perhaps others.

MR. COHLER: Excuse me, just so it is clear. I didn't bring that up.

MR. OAKES: I am sorry. I didn't mean to imply anything, counsel. We brought it up.

- Q. Do you often have meetings with these people?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Do you have regular meetings with them as a group?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Were the meetings which you had in which the letter you had from the Supreme Court or whatever the letter was from Mr. McGee that was discussed, was that meeting solely concerned with that letter itself? Or might it have been a general meeting?

MR. COHLER: Excuse me. There was more than one meeting. Mr. Donnelly already testified to this. If you want
to break it down meeting by meeting, his answer was

.

different before, as to which meeting it was.

MR. OAKES: Counsel, I didn't think it was ever clear as to how many meetings there were or when there were. I just wanted to speak generally to this.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am going to have to object. The witness said, as I recall, that there were three or four meetings, that the first two such meetings were specifically for this purpose and that the others may or may not have been. I don't think a general question as to all meetings is appropriate. It is misleading and ambiguous.

THE COURT: That observation was correct. There were several meetings, according to my recollection. If the witness has any view other than that, I think he should relate it. There were several meetings that returned or related to the inquiry that emanated from the Supreme Court

Isn't that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. OAKES: My question was perhaps-- Let me rephrase it.

- Q. Of the several meetings, did the first meeting that you recall specifically deal with the letter from Mr. McGee?
- A. Well, I don't know that it was a letter or what it was, but it did deal with the message.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the letter?

MR. OAKES: I don't at this time, Your Honor. We will have to attempt to see if we can discover that.

THE WITNESS: But if I may say--

THE COURT: Certainly.

THE WITNESS: This was as a result of my testimony yesterday, Your Honor, and I was trying last night to try to remember when--what about these discussions. I am not too clear on these meetings at all, and some of this is guesswork, to the best of my recollection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Donnelly, to the best of your recollection, were there any minutes made of the meetings which followed in the wake of the inquiry from the Supreme Court?

THE WITNESS: No sir. About the only time that we have minutes is when we have a formal staff meeting. We do meet together and--

THE COURT: Did you have any memorandum?

THE WITNESS: No sir.

THE COURT: Or written memorial of any kind?

THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, sir.

THE COURT: Pardon me, counsel.

MR. OAKES: Q. During the course, again on crossexamination, I believe the phrase "out of order" was used
in reference to the investigation of perhaps the Adjustment
Center area. Were you actually investigating to see whether
things were out of order? Or were you investigating to see

A. I think, as I recall it, we were taking a look to see what the conditions, what the conditions were.

Q. Were you looking to see if any changes could be made?

A. We were looking to see, first, if changes might be in order. And obviously if they were, we would come to make them.

MR. COHLER: I didn't get the answer.

what conditions were such?

THE WITNESS: I said we were looking to see if changes appeared to be--if there was anything there to be changed, and if we saw something that should be changed we would obviously go ahead and make the change.

MR. OAKES: Q. On both direct examination and cross-examination the subject of tear gas has been brought up.

I believe Mr. Cohler asked you whether or not you knew of anybody who was allergic to tear gas.

A. Yes sir.

Q. Do you know of a lot of people that are allergic to the results of a weapon being fired?

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, what kind of weapon?

MR. OAKES: Say a rifle, a hand gun, a shotgun.

A. No sir.

Q. You mean, if a person is shot, they are not probably allergic to it?

- в

- Q. Do you think most persons are probably allergic
- to the use of force?
- MR. COHLER: I think we are using the term "allergic" in what I would hesitate to say is almost a facetious use. I don't think this is proper examination. I object.
- THE COURT: As the term is used, I think the objection well taken, counsel.
- MR. OAKES: Q. Do you figure that most people can suffer harm from the use of force?
 - A. Yes sir.

Oh, I am sorry.

- Q. As a matter of fact, you have a scar on your nose as a result of attempting to control a person in the Adjustment Center situation, do you not?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Is it your opinion, based on your experience, that the probabilities of harm accruing to an inmate are less with the use of tear gas or the use of physical force in an attempt to control him?
- A. It is my opinion that the probabilities of harm to an inmate are less with the use of tear gas.
- Q. There was some testimony, I believe on direct and I am sure on cross-examination, about the necessity for maintaining control in an institution. I think you cited some examples of what could occur or how occurrences do

в

happen when a man or a group of men lose control.

perhaps it is relevant to discuss the situation in Soledad State Prison in 1964, in the fall of 1964.

Counsel, do you have something?

MR. COHLER: There is no question pending yet, but I am waiting.

MR. OAKES: Q. I wonder if you could relate a little bit about the situation at that time as an example of what happens when control is lost?

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I wish to object to the particular question, and I think this should be limited. There has been testimony, and I am sure there will be more, I expect more, as to that particular situation. There was a reorganization afterwards. There were efforts to realign things within the institution. I don't think the question should be asked, one, by way of exemplar as to what can happen, I don't think we should get into details of 1964.

But limited beyond that, I think the repetition of the general situation is appropriate.

This question is put as by way of exemplar, and I see no foundation.

MR. GRANUCCI: May it please the Court, this inquiry into the conditions of Soledad has been a fairly broad ranging one, and I think in the interest of fairness the defendants not only should but are entitled as a matter of

 right to bring out the circumstances that motivated their actions, the problems they are confronted with. After all, an institution is on trial. I think it ought to have a fair opportunity to defend itself.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I disagree with nothing counsel has said. I don't think that answers the objection to the particular question which is put.

THE COURT: I think, under the circumstances, this witness or any other witness is entitled to make an exposition of the background events and so forth, and motivations and intent and the like.

MR. COHLER: That is the only limit I wish to place, and that is the foundation of my objection. Perhaps you could rephrase it, counsel.

MR. OAKES: I think at this time I will withdraw the question.

- Q. Mr. Cohler asked you about a situation where you might put a man in a strip cell or a quiet cell where there is no danger of his breaking up the cell he has been in.

 Could you ever determine, when a man is out of control, when or when he may not break up the cell that he is in?
- A. I think the only way we know that he will or will not break up the fixtures in the cell is if in fact he does do so. Some men do have a history of this, which probably would lead us to believe that he would be capable of doing

it again in the future. But aside from that, we would have

MR. OAKES: That is all I have, counsel.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. COHLER:

to judge by the particular incident.

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, you answered counsel's question on redirect in the affirmative as to whether or not removing clothing was a means of controlling an inmate.
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. I take it that clothing being removed might be considered a proper action if there were a danger of suicide
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. In what other sense is removal of clothing considered a means of controlling an inmate?
- A. I believe in response to one of your questions, Mr. Cohler, we had the situation where this was an illustration, where two tiers or a majority of the inmates on two tiers were burning and flooding and were causing a general disturbance. And in this particular instance, we removed the clothes from these men.
- Q. In other words, and I want to use the words carefully and you correct me if I am using them improperly, in other words, by depriving a man of one of his privileges, his clothing, he will consider himself punished to the extent, you hope, that he will come under control?

2

4

6

7

8

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

20

W

23

24

A. Yes sir.

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection.

Well, I will withdraw the objection. I have a couple of questions.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, on cross-examination counsel asked you to enumerate certain situations which might call for confinement in a quiet cell. Do you recall those questions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were your answers intended to be exclusive? Or were they intended to be illustrative?
 - A. No, I --

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am going to interrupt the witness and object to the question. I am sorry I didn't say it earlier. There were several questions along this line. Some were asked illustratively. There were also questions put, as I recall it, "Would you please search your memory carefully," or something to that effect, "and tell me if there were any others." I think we might have to read the record for the witness to say what he has testified about before.

THE COURT: I recall the incidents, counsel.

MR. GRANUCCI: Well, I will withdraw the question and begin again and substitute another one.

- 22 23

- ${f Q}_{f c}$ Would it be a reasonable control measure?

- Q. Is it true, Mr. Donnelly, that there is a whole spectrum of certain situations that could involve a danger of agitation or actual agitation?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Isn't it also true there is a whole spectrum of circumstances, a very broad spectrum of circumstances, which would require varying responses to control situations?
- A. Yes sir. I believe this is one of the difficulties I have had in answering some of the questions, is that we are dealing with an institution of 1,500 men. We are dealing with an adjustment center of some 225, 250 men. And the interaction between people, different situations that occur, is a very broad one. I don't--there can be any number of situations requiring for any number of different types of answers.
- Q. Now, Mr. Donnelly, just to clarify a question, you testified that a man's clothing could be taken away from him under circumstances where he was not attempting suicide. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes sir.
- Q. Would that be as a control measure, or as a puni-
- A. In my estimate, it would be that of a control measure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

٤

,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

...

19 20

21

22

23

24

:

25

A. In my estimate, it would be reasonable under the circumstances.

MR. GRANUCCI: Counsel?

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

- Q. I know we are having trouble using these terms "control" and "punishment." They are categories which are not easy to bring down to specifics. I am not trying to put words in your mouth. When you say removing a man's clothing would under some circumstances be a reasonable control measure, in what sense are you controlling a man by removing his clothing?
 - A. In the sense that I--that I gave before of the--
- Q. Is it what we said a few moments ago, that this is withdrawal of another privilege which the man you hope will consider it as a punishment and he will come under control?
 - A. This is what we hope, yes sir.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Mr. Donnelly, you are a family man, aren't you?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Do you have any children?
- A. Two, sir.
- Q. Have you ever had to discipline your children?

3

б

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23

25

- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Would you say it is easy to distinguish between punishment and control in the family situation?
 - A. No sir.
 - Q. Pardon me?
- A. I think at times the feelings of a parent might become involved, but if you have got the interest of the child at heart, I would guess that you can keep these things fairly clearly in mind.
 - Q. It is not so easy in a prison situation, is it?
- A. Well, now, I am speaking for myself. I feel that the punishment happens as a result of some disciplinary infraction as to extend through certain periods.
 - Q. It should be definite in duration, is that correct?
- A. Yes. Control is something which would be used which would be removed when the necessity for the control apparently no longer exists.

MR. GRANUCCI: I see.

Counsel?

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

Q. Counsel just used the term "definite in duration."

Do you, Mr. Donnelly, feel that whenever a further privilege is withdrawn--and let's avoid "control" and "punishment" removal of language here--that the duration of that privilege ought to

U T

в

be set at a definite time when it is withdrawn?

- A. If it is punishment, yes sir.
- Q. Now back into the problem about punishment and control.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's see if we can just talk about use other words.

If a privilege is withdrawn, for whatever reason, do you feel that the period of withdrawal of that privilege ought to be made definite at the time it is withdrawn?

A. No. I think that what you do is if--in my terms, sir, if it is a withdrawal of a privilege; for example, a man loses his show privileges for a period of a month or four weekends, whatever it would be, two weeks, one weekend, this, to my way of thinking, is a control--is a punishment measure.

If a man is disturbed outside the first showing of the Sunday movie, and the lieutenant sends him on his way, says, "You can't go in," and he comes back at noon and everything is fine, and the lieutenant says, "O.K., you can go into the second showing," this would appear to me to be a control measure. Or, if he doesn't show up-he is not under control for the rest of that day, he would have lost his show privilege for that weekend, but it would not to my mind be a punishment.

- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 18

- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- Q. Should the maximum time be determined of a set duration for the deprival of a privilege?
 - A. In a punishment situation, yes.
- Q. You say depriving a man from his show privilege would be a punishment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is taking a man's clothing a punishment?
 - A. Yes sir, it would be a punishment to the man.
 - MR. COHLER: Thank you.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, I have no further questions of this witness. Perhaps the Court would have some questions.

THE COURT: We are running late into the noon hour.

I have one question addressed to the inquiry made by Mr. McGee. What was his official capacity at the time the inquiry was made, sir?

THE WITNESS: I believe it was as Administrator of the Youth and Adult Corrections Agency.

THE COURT: As a result of the inquiry, you or the Superintendent set in motion several meetings which you have already discussed.

THE WITNESS: Yes sir.

THE COURT: And as I understand it, there were no minutes kept, no written memoranda of any of the events, save and except that certain revisions were made, which you have already dilated and indicated for the record.

Now, did you or someone in your behalf of in behalf of the Superintendent communicate the results to Mr. McGee, either orally or in writing?

THE WITNESS: I don't know, sir.

THE COURT: Do you know of your own knowledge, Mr. ponnelly, whether Mr. McGee ever had any report as a result of what you regard as taking a good look at the situation?

THE WITNESS: No sir, I do not. May I explain, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes sir.

THE WITNESS: I don't know--I can't be too sure of this, but it would seem to me that what came to the institution was more in the nature of information that Mr. McGee had received some inquiry from the--one of the Justices of the Supreme Court.

I don't remember that a further report was required of us. It would seem to me that we-here again, my memory is hazy, but I think that we went ahead with this on our own initiative because of the inquiry.

THE COURT: Have you since been advised that at that time there was a petition pending before the Supreme Court?

THE WITNESS: We have been advised that there had been a petition.

THE COURT: You were not advised at the time you made

the inquiry that resulted in certain revisions?

THE WITNESS: I don't--I don't know, sir.

THE COURT: All right, sir. I have no further questions.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, counsel agreed to produce any documents which may be found in the nature of correspondence from Mr. McGee to Mr. Fitzharris of that nature.

Would you also agree to produce any responses or anything within the general scope of responses?

MR. GRANUCCI: Between Mr. McGee and Mr. Fitzharris?

MR. COHLER: Or any --

2

5

В

8

9

10

12

13

4

15

18

18

THE COURT: Or any other official of the institution, regarding the incident related by Mr. Donnelly.

MR. GRANUCCI: I believe I understand, Your Honor.

Yes, counsel, I will.

MR. COHLER: If you have questions in your own mind regarding this matter, may counsel have an opportunity to inspect?

MR. GRANUCCI: I think so.

MR. COHLER: Could it be more definite?

MR. GRANUCCI: Counsel, you see, the problem is that we can drift off into all sorts of unrelated areas. I don't want to promise you unlimited access to our file.

MR. COHLER: I didn't ask for that.

THE COURT: This has a specific relevance, counsel.

MR. GRANUCCI: Within the specific relevance, he can examine all documents.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

THE COURT: We will resume at 2:15.

(Whereupon the hearing was recessed until 2:15 o'clock p.m. of the same day.)

--000--

.13

-1

7

б

9

12

TUESDAY, AUGUST 16 1966, AFTERNOON SESSION - 2:15 P.M.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, has Mr. Donnelly been

excused?

THE CLERK: I am not aware of the fact he was excused.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, at this time we request that Mr. Donnelly be excused. We don't expect to call him again.

MR. COHLER: That is agreeable, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. GRANUCCI: Call Dr. Hack, please.

THE CLERK: Dr. Hack.

RAYMOND L. HACK,

called as a witness by the defendants, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and occupation.

THE WITNESS: Raymond L. Hack, M.D., a psychiatrist, the psychiatric consultant to the Soledad Correctional Facility.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

- Q. Dr. Hack, I wonder if you would narrate for us your professional training.
- A. I am a graduate of the University of Oregon Medical School of December 1943. After my internship, I completed three years of residency training in the Armed Forces,

where I remained for ten years until 1954, and completed the rest of my training in psychiatry and became a piplomate, that is a recognized specialist, to the American Examining Board, a Diplomate in psychiatry in December 1951.

- Q. Are you a member of any professional organizations?
- A. I am a member of the American Psychiatric Association, American Medical Association, Monterey Medical Society, on the staff of the Salinas Valley Memorial Hospital and Monterey County Hospital.
- Q. Have you ever testified in court as an expert witness?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. What is your position at the institution?
- A. I am the psychiatric consultant and supply all psychiatric services to the Soledad institution, on the basis of half-time; that is, I am there generally from 8:00 until 12:00, five days a week.
- Q. Doctor, do your duties take you into that section of the prison known as the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. Do they take you into that section of the Adjustment Center known as isolation section?
- A. Yes, they do. About--at least once to two to three times per week.
 - Q. Is this in the isolation section?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

-15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you seen those cells in the isolation section which have been referred to in these proceedings as strip cells or quiet cells?
 - A. Yes, I have, many times.
 - Q. You know what they look like?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Have you ever visited inmates in those cells?
- A. Many times since I have been the consultant, since February 1965.
- Q. Have you, as a result of your visits to the Adjustment Center, and particularly the isolation section, been able to observe the conditions of cleanliness in that section?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. What is your opinion of those conditions?
- MR. COHLER: Excuse me, counsel. Do you have a time reference?
 - MR. GRANUCCI: Yes, I will.
- Q. Have you been able to observe them from July of last year up to the present time?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. What is your opinion of those conditions?
- A. I would say that generally they are maintained as clean as they can be within the structure of the attitudes

and attempts at cleanliness maintained throughout the institution, which are generally those roughly of what would be expected in a military installation, and recognizing that there are some problems inherent particularly with reference to the type of the people who are housed there, but generally they meet the standards seen in the rest of

- Q. Have you been able to observe the standards of cleanliness that prevail, or have prevailed in the strip cells from July of last year up to the present time?
 - A. Yes, I have.

the institution.

.8

Q. Have you been able to form an opinion as to those standards of cleanliness?

MR. COHLER: Excuse me, Your Honor. Let's be careful.

I don't think there can be an opinion as to standards of

cleanliness. They are observed facts or--

MR. GRANUCCI: Well, that is true, counsel. There are observed facts. But this man is a doctor of medicine, in addition to being a psychiatrist, and he might be in a position to testify it was his opinion they were clean as a matter of fact, and also that such cleanliness was up to wedically a medical acceptable level.

MR. COHLER: That may be true also. I think it is compound the way you asked it.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Have you been able to observe the

state of cleanliness in the quiet cells?

A. Yes, I have.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What was that state of cleanliness?
- A. I have seen it vary from what I felt was quite unclean to when they weren't occupied or when occupied by an inmate who was relatively cooperative, to where they were very clean and orderly, the state of cleanliness depending almost entirely upon the attitudes of the inmate involved.
 - Q. You say you have seen cells unclean?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you aware of the source or cause of such lack of cleanliness?
- A. Yes. As I stated, it is the attitude of the inmate, inasmuch as throughout the institution the primary responsibility for the cleanliness of any cell resides with the inmate.

When the inmate's attitudes are constructive, the cells are clean. When the inmate's attitudes are destructive or careless, it is represented in the cell cleanliness failure.

- Q. This would be related to the inmate himself?
- A. That is right.
- Q. Now, you mentioned that you visited the Adjustment Center, and particularly O-wing. Is that correct?
 - A. That is right.
 - Q. Do you make it a practice to sign in when you visit?

.8

- A. I do not any more, since I am such a frequent--since my visits occur so frequently, I have been in and out and do not sign in.
- Q. Can you distinguish between the Adjustment Center and isolation, at least within your own mind, the meaning of those words?
 - A. Oh, yes, I know very definitely the difference.
 - Q. Would you tell us the difference, please.
- A. The isolation section is -- Well, to begin with, the general concept of the Adjustment Center is made up actually of two wings, O and X-wing.

Now, within O-wing is an area on the lower left-hand as one enters the floor of some 23 cells which are there for the holding of people who are either charged with or have been found guilty of infringements against the Director's rules or the California Code. This is the distinction.

- Q. Do you feel the Adjustment Center serves a legitimate purpose?
- MR. COHLER: Excuse me. Your Honor, first of all, as a matter of form it is very broad. But beyond that, we are beginning to get into what might be called expert testimony. The Doctor's qualifications and background as a psychiatrist are in the record. There is nothing about penological background or criminology beyond this

institution. If he is called upon to testify as to his opinion within the institution, I will have no objection. But if we are going into generally accepted standards, as I think this question calls for, I think we ought to have more--

THE COURT: I will allow him to testify.
You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Will you restate the question?

MR. GRANUCCI: Miss Reporter, would you read the question.

(The question was read.)

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. How so, Doctor?

A. The reason for the existence of the Adjustment Center and the purpose that it serves is this: There are certain individuals who operate on a destructive code and who show themselves to be a hazard to the inmate--to the inmates or to the staff, to such a degree that it is the opinion of the staff, and mine often, that they require greater external controls than that which is provided by the general population.

By "external controls" I mean they require more gates, bars, staffing, and different structural standards in order to maintain them safe to themselves or others. And for that reason, the Adjustment Center is constructive and

maintained.

- Q. Dr. Hack, what do you mean by "destructive code?"
- A. One could say that in the million and a half to two million years that the human race has been in existence that we have developed two ways of living.

I wonder if this would not be a point where I might us an illustration?

- Q. Certainly, Doctor. Would you step over to the board, please.
- A. In this million and a half to two million years of experience, we have developed a system for living which I refer to and others of us, particularly at our institution as the "standard constructive code." Originally this was developed out of the taboos of the tribal society.

As we know, for some 5,500 years or so, these original unwritten laws or the taboos have been written and developed through a series of historical legal structures from the Code of Hammurabi to the current California Code, which is our minimum standards.

In addition, we have religious and moral systems, and our society operates predominantly on the Judaeo-Christian religious moral system. And then we are now developing what might be called technical systems.

Now, there has been and there continues to be people who live on another system for living, the antisocial or

destructive code, which is the absence or the opposite of this code (indicating).

I believe this answers your question of what I had reference to.

Q. That is what you mean by the destructive code.

Now, Doctor, would you care to answer this: Are the inmates in the Adjustment Center generally thought to adhere to the destructive code rather than the constructive code?

- A. Generally speaking, this is the way they operate.
- Q. Is the purpose of the Adjustment Center purely segregation? Or does it serve a therapeutic end as well?
- A. The institution provides a training and an educational program. In order to maintain this program in operation, we have to prevent the destructive activities of people operating under the antisocial destructive code.

 If inmates are allowed to operate on the antisocial code
- or are allowed to operate unrestrictedly, then there are stabbings, riots, and some very serious and major things can occur. It is one of our primary responsibilities to control these people so that they cannot injure others and also to prevent them from injuring themselves and encumbering themselves with additional convictions.
 - Q. You may return to the stand now.

Are efforts made in the Adjustment Center to change the

orientation of the inmates from a destructive code to something more constructive?

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. In what way?
- A. In the first place, the Adjustment Center, I believe has the highest ratio of staffing to inmate I believe of any part of the institution; that is, there are more correctional counselors, I spend more time in there than I would with the others, with a similar number of other inmates.
- Q. What is the purpose of that, merely to control these people in the Adjustment Center?
- A. No. The control is maintained primarily by the correctional officers. The staffing by the correctional counselors and my own staffing, of the Program Administrator, is to try to alter their orientation.
- Q. What kind of inmate-- To be more precise, Doctor, would you in psychiatric terms define the type of inmate that is likely to be confined to the Adjustment Center?
- A. He is the person who has what I call the third form of illness of mankind. He is neither physically ill, generally his mental operations, his intrinsic mental operations are relatively intact, but when he applies himself in the social situation, he is destructive to others or himself.

 These people have been called "psychopathic personalities," "sociopathic personalities"; I like to call them "socialization disorders." This whole group is what I look upon as the expert group of miseries of mankind.

- Q. People who can't relate to society, is it?
- A. In a productive way.
- Q. Are you aware of acts of violence, either verbal or physical, that occur in the Adjustment Center?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Is it your professional opinion, Doctor, that these acts of violence are a reaction against the severity of prison discipline?
- A. No. It is my feeling that the real motivations behind these acts of violence are hostilities that are generated generally in the person's early life environment. I think it is noteworthy that probably 60 to 75 percent of our people that I see are from alcoholic homes.

The traumatic homes, that is, the disturbed home, is the usual thing in the historical background. This is where the hostility is generated. We only deal with it.

- Q. Is it your opinion then that if the Adjustment Center prisoners were afforded more freedom and more privileges they would be less hostile?
- A. I would have to say in all fairness that to be locked up is itself another rejection and does add to a

person's hostility. But on the other hand, they have all of them shown before they are, in the Adjustment Center that they are a hazard first to the other inmates or to themselves and also perhaps to the staff. Although, incidents involving any injury to the staff are relatively rare, the continual tragedy involving particularly this group of people is injury to other inmates for which the staff is definitely responsible to maintain a safe situation within the institution with regard to other inmates.

- Q. Now, Doctor, you have testified a few moments ago that you have observed the strip cells and the Isolation Row.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, do you have an opinion as to the propriety of the strip cells as a control device?
- MR. COHLER: Counsel, are you referring to the physical aspects of the cell itself?
- MR. GRANUCCI: That is right, counsel. In other words, a cell stripped of furniture, stripped of facilities, with the possibility of being closed up, sealed up by closing up the flaps.
- MR. COHLER: Putting to one side the allegations -- MR. GRANUCCI: Putting aside the allegations and denials.

THE WITNESS: I feel that they are a necessity, that

14

13

15

18

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

05

a significant number of inmates require this level of external control before their behavior can be brought under control so they are not a hazard to themselves and others.

and degrading thing to put a man in a strip cell?

- I think any restraint is a medical man, an unfortunate and an unhappy situation. Even to put a person to bed for tuberculosis for a year is really a most unfortunate thing. And we have made every effort to try to limit the amount of restriction for disease, whether it is for physical or mental or social. To this extent, I do not like them. But I must recognize that in all three classes of disease certain restraint is necessary. socialization disorders or social disease, the isolation cell becomes necessary, at least at this stage of human development.
- Q. Doctor, you were saying the isolation cell. Do
 - A. I mean the quiet cell or strip cell.
- Q. Over how long a period of time have you been visiting the isolation section of the prison, including the strip; cells?
- A. Since they were built, or at least since 1956 when the Adjustment Center was moved from San Quentin and set up at its present location.

Q. Through all those years of visits, have you ever observed a strip cell with an inmate confined therein with human body waste spread all over the walls, where the inmate didn't do it himself?

MR. COHLER: Excuse me, counsel. I don't think there is any foundation for the Doctor to know whether the inmate may have done it himself.

MR. GRANUCCI: Let's hear what the Doctor says.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am going to object, on the ground that there is no evidence that the Doctor would know whether or not the inmate placed such waste in the cell himself. He has testified he visits.

THE COURT: / He can state what he observed, saw.

THE WITNESS: If I found a cell which had excrement on the walls, one of my primary responsibilities is to find out why it was there. If this is a manifestation of the behavior of that individual, then it is highly likely that he is psychotic.

I have found--I don't recall whether it was in the quiet cells or in the isolation section--I have seen excrement on the walls. If it was due to mental illness, this man was out of place in that department and was promptly moved to the California Medical Facility at Vacaville, which I can usually arrange an emergency transfer within 24 to 48 hours. This would be a psychotic symptom,

generally speaking, which would be beyond management in a place such as the Adjustment Center.

I know that after each of the inmates leaves the cell, either he or somebody cleans that cell within my knowledge to the same standards as is maintained within the rest of the institution, with which I have already outlined.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Now, the first will be a broad question: Over the period of your visits since 1957 to the Adjustment Center, particularly the isolation section, have you had an opportunity to observe the caliber and behavior of the officers, correctional officers and sergeants who work therein?

A. Yes, I have. And I have been very concerned about the staff there, both from the standpoint of wear and tear on them, which is really very extensive, and their behavior toward the inmate. And I might say, as a contract employeeas a contractor, and not as an employee, I have access to the Superintendent's office, any place in the institution. Any time I see anything which is not up to what I feel reasonable standard, I am able to report it.

- Q. To fix it more definitely in time, have you since the beginning of this year, 1966, had an opportunity to observe the character, demeanor or attitude of the officers that work in the isolation section?
 - A. Yes, I have.

what is your opinion, speaking as a professional man, of the officers?

one of the most difficult jobs that the human race has to offer.

of being called a mother fucking punk, and I am using the language that is used there, having urine thrown at them, and feces thrown at them, are still able to maintain their composure and deal with these people still as human beings, and still trying to find some way to get them out of this, what I think is as horrible a social condition as a far advanced cancer is a medical condition. I have great respect for them.

- Q. Doctor, do you know Siegfried Porte?
- A. Yes. I know of him, yes.
- Q. Have you ever refused him psychiatric treatment?
- A. No, not to my knowledge. I have spoken to him, and as often occurs, these people will ask for a transfer to the California Medical Facility, now which requires relatively my recommendation, not necessarily, but out of a custom if a person is moved there for psychiatric reasons, generally I make the recommendation.

If I refused him--if I did; I don't think that I did-it would be on the basis he was not applicable for treatment

at that facility. At such time as I see it would be advantageous to him or any other inmate. I would move him there.

Most of our inmates, or many of them, have already been there, and that includes Mr. Jordan himself._ I am not acquainted whether Mr. Porte has been or not. I would have to see the record in order to refresh my memory on that.

- Q. Doctor, is every person who asks psychiatric treatment necessarily amenable to treatment?
- A. No, he isn't at all. Also, obviously there are limitations, inasmuch as I on a half a time basis am required to provide all of the services for some 3,200 men, it would be impossible for me to do so.

However, on a first priority basis, anybody who is ill, mentally ill, and requires treatment, I will either see them or refer them to a correctional counselor who I feel is competent to handle their problem.

- Q. Or you could transfer them to Vacaville.
- A. I can transfer them to Vacaville, which I do.
- Q. Do you know the plaintiff?

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, for the record at this point, we may be embarking on an area where there is possible privileged communication between the plaintiff and the witness. I have consulted with counsel, with the witness, and with the plaintiff. To protect the witness, the

3

5

6

8

10

12

11

13

14

15 16

17

Doctor?

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

pepartment of Corrections, myself and Mr. Jordan, I would like to ask Mr. Jordan at this time to waive any privilege he may have inopen court.

I ask you if I have spoken to you, Mr. Jordan, about the nature of the privileged information that may exist between you and Dr. Hack.

MR. JORDAN: Yes.

MR. COHLER: You understand that you may have a right to keep that information unrevealed?

MR. JORDAN: Yes.

MR. COHLER: Will you tell the Court, speak to His Honor, and say whether or not you waive any privilege you may have.

MR. JORDAN: I waive the privilege.

MR. COHLER: Thank you, counsel; Your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. You say you know the plaintiff,

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. How do you know him?
- A. I have seen him since about 1960, in repeated relatively annual examinations. In addition, I have seen him in casual meetings within the institution and have spoken to him occasionally on rounds within the institution, particularly within the Adjustment Center.
 - Q. Now, with the result of your observations of the

Professional opinion?

plaintiff, have you formed any opinion of him?

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Professional opinion, of course.

MR. COHLER:

A. Beginning with my examination of April 8 1960, in which I noted a very high level of hostility, with remarks such as, quote, and this was made at that time, and I am sure that this is very close, if not exactly the words that he used:

The mother fuckers will have to let me go in seven and a half years and then I am going to kill that bitch.

He indicated that he was referring to his aunt, who was involved.

I felt that he presented a problem of the diagnosis of antisocial personality severe with extremely high levels of latent and expressed hostility with potentially destructive murderous behavior. 1960.

1961: I noted that he was slightly more mature and slightly improved over last year, but not ready to leave the Adjustment Center. He had apparently spent most of that year in the Adjustment Center.

1962: He maintained his composure for most of the examination and then launched into a long tirade about how the "mother fucker policemen" were irritating him and that he intended to do something about it at any time they

or any other commitment.

I noted, however, that in spite of the hostile expressions, there was possibly less real hostility behind his words, and he is apparently able to operate reasonably well, at least well enough to remain free of the Adjustment Center. So in 1962 we had him out of the Adjustment Center.

Q. There was a little progress.

A. Yes. And incidentally, this is—the idea of the staff there is, as soon as the person enters the Adjustment Center, the immediate problem is, "How are we going to get him out? How can we move him to the front door of the institution and put him out as a reasonably safe individual from the social standpoint and vocationally able to support himself?"

when seen previously and none of the hostile outbursts developed in the interview. He appeared to be making an effort to express himself constructively, and appears to now have an interest in the field of cooking and baking.

He was apprised that he does present a considerable problem for release after his long commitment to one type of an institution or another, and with his long history of very aggressive destructive expressions. No psychotic ideation was noted.

That year, as I often do when I see any type of opening to try to move him toward a minimum or -- toward a minimum institution where there will be less controls and the living will be more like what we would likely meet in free life, I suggested this:

This man has presented a very good year and is beginning to show some real progress in the direction of release. With his long history, however, he will necessarily be released with a graduated reduction in custody. I am wondering whether he could not be moved to the barracks mess department, and in view of his long incarceration [seniority] some special arrangements might be made after several months for him to transfer to the barracks mess department.

So things were looking a little better.

In 1964 was the next report.

In examination he shows considerable projection—now he was back to blaming others for his troubles, not assuming responsibility for his own actions—and indicates he intends to make a stand, that he is not going to do anything which he is directed to do which he in any way feels is not justly his job. He

projects liberally on the staff that they

1

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

are going out of their way to antagonize him and irritate him, whereas actually the staff has done every conceivable--has made every conceivable concession to try to keep him in the general population.

And this is true, I have spoken to staff members and we have made every effort that we could to maintain him free of the Adjustment Center.

> His projections are beginning to have the color of a delusion, no hallucinatory experiences are elicited.

Then I didn %t see him until 1966, because he was seen at the Medical Facility during 1965.

Excuse me. Is that the Medical Facility at Vacaville?

A. At Vacaville.

THE COURT: How long was he there?

THE WITNESS: He was there for about -- I believe 11 weeks, ending in -- on June 28 1965, when he returned to CTF Central.

Their remarks, I feel are their remarks, and so I would prefer not to make any comment upon them. My own observation is, when I saw him on May 2 1966, I noted that:

He had completed a rather poor year with assignment at CMF, where in the previous report it was hoped he might enter into some constructive development. He had been previously moved to CMF, Vacaville, following a suicide attempt by hanging at San Quentin.

Now, it is not unusual for persons who are extremely hostile to alternately act out of their hostility and injure others, or to act inwardly toward themselves and injure themselves in a suicidal gesture.

- Q. Doctor, excuse me. You mentioned "suicidal gesture." Is there a difference between a suicidal gesture and an attempt at suicide?
 - A. Yes, there is, indeed.

- Q. I wonder if you would explain that for us.
- A. I think a suicidal gesture, when this wording is used, indicates some intent to destroy himself. It is my understanding that if this was an attempt to try-- to try to hang himself, and that had it not been for the alert staff, he might have been successful.
 - Q. So this was an attempt -- ?
 - A. So this is the true suicidal gesture.

Now, we have many self destructive gestures; such as wrist slashing and so on, which serve only a purpose of expressing an individual's resentment, actually sort of a masochistic harassment of the staff through injury to themselves, usually done during the hours of 5:00 to 10:00 at

11

14

13

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

night when the staff coverage is least and thereby creating the greatest disorder. These are different from true $_{
m sul}$ cidal gestures.

- Q. So in other words, on the basis of your contact with the plaintiff Jordan, you formed a professional opinion as to his mental state and his attitudes.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is that opinion, Doctor?
- A. I continue to feel that he is an extremely hostile individual; that is, his feeling tones are those which tend to make him destructive. I still feel that he is a risk to not only the institutional—well to the institutional staff and inmates, I noted too he presents a problem in any sort of a placement within the institution since we have tried him at at least three or perhaps more of the institutions.
- Q. Doctor, a few minutes ago you were testifying as to the contrast between the destructive code and the constructive code.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you feel the plaintiff has done anything constructive lately?
 - A. Yes, I think he has.
 - Q. What is that, Doctor?
 - A. I described his behavior in 1962, where he was able

to remain free of the Adjustment Center. Now that was a good sign. I am not exactly sure what it was that brought that to an end, but it was a flame which we tried to nourish, but weren't able to maintain a development.

I think even his writing of writs is a constructive thing, because it--

- Q. This is something he has done recently?
- A. This is something he has done more recently and required the organization of his ideas, and writing them down makes him contemplate them, improves his ability to handle the English language, it channels his hostilities in a better way than, let us say, assaulting somebody or injuring himself. So this is, in itself, a sign of improve ment.
- Q. Doctor, do you think that the plaintiff Jordan is a hostile person?
- A. Yes, I would say he was a very hostile person. One other remark he made to me once, which was rather surprising to me, since I don't believe I have ever heard it from another inmate. He stated, "If a baby struck me, I'd fight him." And his behavior has been all too apparent that this is possibly true.

MR. GRANUCCI: Your witness, counsel.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I would like to ask for a secess. This is testimony by a professional man. I am

3

5

8

9

7

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

a result of the use

not a professional. I would like to have a few moments.

THE COURT: Ten minutes.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

(A recess was taken.)

MR. GRANUCCI: With leave of the Court, I have a couple more questions on direct.

MR. COHLER: Quite agreeable, Your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: Q. Within the past five years, have you had occasion to consult with the officials of the institution with respect to the use of gas?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Did you have occasion to advise them?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What was the nature of your advice?

A. I felt that in about 1962, and from then on until 164, that the external controls of the institution were not really adequate and our methods were not adequate to control the degree of destructive behavior which we had within our inmates, and that some strengthening would be necessary.

I advised the increased use of gas over the use of physical force, because it is very apparent that there are less injuries resulting to the inmate or to the staff as a result of the use of the gas as against physical force.

MR. GRANUCCI: Thank you, Doctor.

7

11

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19

21

22

24

23

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

- Dr. Hack, in the course of your duties as consulting psychiatrist -- is that the correct terminology?
 - A. Yes.
- --as consulting psychiatrist, do you interview in-Do you as a part of your duties interview inmates who are felt by you or others to require psychiatric consultation?
 - That is true.

Your witness, counsel.

- How do such cases come to your attention? Are they recommended through officials of the institution? observe them yourself? Or how does it happen?
- The first group of inmates that I see, the first priority of the work are those that are generally referred by other members of the staff as showing some type of a probable psychological disorder, and they wish my opinion in regard to this matter. That is the first group.

Then I have a certain group, some eight or nine hundred, almost 35 to 40 percent of the population, who are major offenders originally; that is, people who have had major aggressive offenses, all of the murderers, the rapists, certain of the sexual crimes, crimes of unusual violence, that I must see before they go before the Board.

25 ·

recommended by the Adult Authority or the Classification Committee.

Then I may, in the course of my movement about the institution, see somebody that I think is ill, or they may be recommended by the medical staff.

These are the sources, generally, of the referrals that

- Q. Limiting it to your past two years experience, Doctor, could you break down for the Court the percentage of the categories that you have mentioned as referred by the medical staff, and the category by the general institutional staff, and the category interviewed for the purposes of the Adult Authority?
- A. Roughly 65 to 70 percent of my work is referred by the Adult Authority, about 20 percent is referred by other members of the staff, and the remainder by the medical personnel and myself.
- Q. When you consult with an inmate, arising out of any of these three circumstances, do you do so in an office which you maintain? At the cell door? Or where does it take place?
- A. It can take place either at my office, and I maintain really three offices in the institution, one at each of the facilities--
 - Q. Referring to North, South, and Central?

-20

- A. North, South, and Central.
- Q. Please continue.
- A. Or it may be in the Adjustment Center. If it is in the Adjustment Center, it may be in one of the rooms, it may even sometimes be in the clear area before the bars of the Adjustment Center--before the barred area, out in the administrative section.

These are the places where I would see them.

- Q. Do you go back into the west or east corridors to conduct your interviews in the course of your duties, on the first floor of O-wing?
- A. I don't remember the conducting of any Board interviews in-- Well, yes I do interview them right in the cells, too, sometimes. Very often. As a matter of fact, specifically in the quiet cells or the isolation cells or on the other side, the east side, I believe, the maximum section.
- Q. Would you interview any inmate referred either by the Adult Authority reference or the members of the staff or the medical staff or yourself, would the place of the interview depend on the nature of that reference? For instance, is there a special room in which you would interview people before the Adult Authority is to convene?
- A. No. The place of interview is determined largely by the status of the inmate and the ability to move him.

MM

In order to move the inmate from the Adjustment Center to my office in the hospital requires two staff men, which is reasonable. For any movement to the hospital requires two staff men.

But where he is interviewed depends largely on his status. If he is apt to act out, I can't very well move him to a place which would be isolated where he might make some movement towards me, although I have never been attacked since I have been there. That is about all I can say in regards to this.

- Q. Doctor, can you estimate the percentage of inmates whom you interview in the course of your duties in your office?
- A. Probably 90 percent of the inmates are interviewed in one of the three offices which I mentioned.
- Q. Would most of the other ten percent be inmates who are incarcerated or housed in the Adjustment Center somewhere?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Within the Adjustment Center, can you estimate, of the remaining ten percent, how many of the inmates that you interview are some place else in the Adjustment Center other than the strip cell area or quiet cell area?
- A. Probably about 70 percent would be outside of the quiet cell area.

- -1

- .7
- .9

- Q. In other words, 70 percent of the remaining ten percent, or seven percent?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. Of the remaining three percent of the inmates, approximately, I realize this is an estimate, whom you have interviewed who were incarcerated at the strip cell or quiet cell area, have you interviewed all of them in their cells?
- A. Yes, I have. In that area I rarely move them from their cells. I speak to them through the bars, grate outside. Occasionally I will remove them from there out to an interview room. But often the reason for the examination there is, No. 1, to ascertain their status, watching for dehydration or any negative signs indicating any sort of physical or mental breakdown, or to determine whether this man should be housed here or whether he presents a medical problem which should be handled at the Medical Facility. These are determinations which can be relatively rapidly handled without the movement of the inmate from there.
- Q. Doctor, you interview them at the cell front. That would mean the door would be open to the strip cell area and you would be standing in the doorway, approximately, as a general matter?
 - A. That is right. Standing right up to the bars where

. 1

2.3

 $_{\mbox{\scriptsize I}}$ can see the entire interior of the cell and the inmate.

- Q. It is not considered good practice to step inside the cell with an inmate of this type, is it?
- A. No. And I don't know as I have ever done so. It would be a violation of the principles of security of the institution and good practice, anyway.
- Q. What other responsibilities do you have, in addition to the actual interviewing of inmates?
- A. I have responsibilities in regard to advising the staff, in regard to the management of any type of a problem which either I or they see fit to refer to me, and I have extreme latitude in regard to what I might see fit.

 Anything I feel is out If line, I am able to immediately enter the Superintendent's office or anyone's office.

I deal with problems of other--of the personnel as to whether a member of the staff is adequate or whether he is well enough from the psychological standpoint for appointment or retention or his neurological status.

I also have to manage the problems of the epileptics in the institution, which probably number, at least the complicated epileptics, I prescribe their medication, and they number probably 50 to 75 inmates.

Q. Doctor, could you estimate how much of the total time you spend of your capacity as consulting psychiatrist dispatching the various duties you enumerated, except the

actual interview of inmates.

- A. The actual interview of inmates takes by far the largest part of my time. Probably 95 percent of my time is spent with inmates and five percent in regard to staff.
- Q. What are the hours which you engage in work at the institution, not counting time it takes to go to and from the institution?
- A. That is four hours a day, five days a week; twenty hours per week.
- Q. Is it fair to say, Doctor, that being a professional psychiatrist and having as limited time as you do for work at the institution, that your primary purpose when you are there is to deal with the people, the inmates?

THE COURT: You dropped your voice.

MR. COHLER: I am sorry.

- Q. Is it fair to say, Doctor, that having about 20 hours per week to dispatch your professional responsibilities at the institution, that your primary goal when you are there, is to deal with the inmates personally?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You have mentioned, Doctor, I believe on direct examination that there is a variance in the state of clean-liness of the cells throughout the institution, and particularly in the strip cell or quiet cell area. Is that correct?

- •

- Yes:
- Q. You also stated, I believe, and please correct me if my recollection is wrong, that to your knowledge where there was not as clean a condition as there sometimes is, this has been brought about by the inmate in the cell himself.
 - A. That is true.
- Q. What is the basis for your knowing whether or not the inmate in the cell himself did it, or whether he had come two hours before and it had been there when he arrived?
- A. I would— As I indicated before, I am rather concerned if the cell is in an unclean status, because my basic training as a medical person is that all facilities should be clean. It is particularly important if the cell is unclean by reason of excrement or some other body soilings, because it might indicate the presence of mental illness. It is my job to determine, and I do determine, whether this is true. If this man is, through a psychosis, as is frequently the case, may begin to smear the feces, this is a serious sign and represents a major illness. This man is beyond the management of that type of facility, and I would move him.

I neglected to mention that we do treat, incidentally, some inmates there. As a matter of fact, two of the original signors of the affidavits in the current action

were treated by me and are currently doing quite well. So--

- Q. Continue.
- A. So, the removal of inmates from the institution-from the Adjustment Center, is not only an administrative
 problem, but also a medical problem.

They might either go to Vacaville if they are really ill, or I might treat them and they may go through another program to the psychiatric unit, to Y-wing, or to E-wing, and thence out into the general population and meet with all groups and be handled that way.

- Q. Doctor, you said that if you had occasion to observe, and did in fact observe, a state of uncleanliness, particularly human waste, you find out how it got there. How do you find out how it got there?
- A. My immediate concern is: Is this man doing this smearing? If he is, it is reflected in his mental status, because a hebephrenic schizophrenic, which is the type who would do this type of thing, is a very disturbed individual. It wouldn't take more than a freshman in medical school to determine that this person was a hebephrenic schizophrenic problem and shouldn't be in that location.

Now, I have a chance to see the cells between times, when they are not occupied. And I know that not only the cells, but the areas outside are clean. If they are not,

I have—as a matter of fact, I have suggested the more rapid clearing up of debris after some of the destructive incidents.

Q. If the gentleman in the cell, the inmate in the cell, was not of the type you have described where it is apparent from your observation as to whether or not he had smeared the walls, what would you do to find out whether or not the inmate himself in the cell had smeared the walls

A. Well, I would be more concerned, I think, then about: How are we going to clean this thing up? This is an unhealthful state.

I have talked with the sergeant about this not more than a week or so ago. I think fecal smearing occurs only with a psychotic generally. But we have people who are hostile and just will not leave the cells to either bathe or to clean the cell.

Now, I remember speaking to the sergeant. He asked me very seriously: "This cell does not look good, and he will not leave. Should we use force to take him out to clean the cell?" We talked at some length about this, and we decided that we would let it go a little longer. The man was in good shape from a physical standpoint.

I would like to say that I have never seen a pneumonia or a severe dehydration or any other serious medical ill-ness out of this section.

Б

So recognizing his status, we let him go and live in it a little longer, rather than have to go through the procedure of the use of gas to remove him to take a bath or something of this sort.

This is a question that has already arisen in regard to your client at Vacaville. At one time he was apparently in X-wing or one of the restricted wings and he--one of the staff recognized that the air was too close and the odor was not good, and he wanted to open the window; whereupon your client began to curse him and threaten his life.

So usually the unclean state of the cell is determined primarily by the status of the inmate, and it was probably this event, plus others, which made them determine at Vacaville, that is, the medical facility, that he wasn't applicable for their treatment.

- Q. Doctor, I take it that the incident you related, you referred to a document of some sort?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. When you are excused from the stand, would you kindly find that document in the file so we may have a complete reference.

Counsel, is that agreeable?

MR. GRANUCCI: Certainly.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am going to have to move to

- 9

11 12

strike all of the testimony as being hearsay of a very direct nature, the Doctor's comments as to what happened at another institution. I see no foundation for that.

THE COURT: That may go out.

MR. COHLER: Thank you.

- Q. Doctor, is there any particular way that you visit the strip cell or quiet cell area? Or just as it comes up in your duties?
- A. Any time that there is a complaint of a disturbed inmate, one who has involved himself in some sort of self destructive gesture, has remained overly long in that area, has raised the anxiety of the staff as to his mental status I am asked to see him. And this is the first priority of my work. So I usually see them very soon, that day or the next.
- Q. You estimated that perhaps three percent of your actual interviews are conducted in the strip cell area. Would that mean on the average of three out of 100 days you would make your way into the strip cell area, on an average?
- MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. I think that is misstating the witness! testimony. It would not be three our of every 100 days. It would be three percent of his interviews, and a great many interviews could have taken place in a given day.

- MR. COHLER: That is true enough. I will withdraw that.
- Q. Can you estimate about how often you do get to the guiet or strip cell area itself?
- A. I would say that I am there from one to three times per week, at least, over a period of since 1960.
- Q. That would be one to three interviews per week?
 Or several interviews each time you went?
- A. Generally speaking, when I go back there, there may be one or two people in the area and I speak to one of them. The other one wants to talk to me, so I speak with him a minute. That is about the way the schedule works out.
- Q. Doctor, you have testified as to the general course of your conduct in dispatching your affairs and things you observe and things you do. Do you have any independent recollection of any particular period of time--and I ask you to direct your attention to the month of July 1965-- and I can ask you whether you can separate at that point amidst your general recollection?
- A. I don't remember anything of July 1965 as having any great significance within the institution.
- Q. For instance, do you recall in July 1965 ever visiting with Mr. Jordan, the plaintiff, while he was incarcerated in a strip or quiet cell?
 - A. I don't remember specifically. I have seen Mr.

Б

Jordan in the Adjustment Center in various locations so many times, that one single incident doesn't appear in my memory in any striking form.

- Q. That would be true of many inmates, would it not?
- A. Yes, many inmates. However, I think more striking with him, inasmuch as he has spent so much time in the Adjustment Center. Therefore, I have seen him there more times.
- Q. When you say you have seen him there, you mean you have seen him on a professional basis?
- A. Seen him either—usually in passing, because Jordan doesn't often communicate with me in speech. He has written some very good letters, some very good letters. He is very literate. And I have read them. But I have been continually submerged in the problem of how to deal with the administrative problem of working him out of the situation he is in, so I haven't been able to take any action. He has been relatively like a five-ton steel ball with no hand holds, which I am unable to move.
- Q. Doctor, can you recall any visits with any inmates during the month of July 1965?
 - A. I don't remember any specific one.
- Q. I am not asking for it by name. Do you remember any particular occasion with any inmate during that month?
 - A. No.

- Q. Or any of the surrounding circumstances?
- A. The only way I could find it would be to go back over my records and to see the reports of my examinations of that month.
- Q. In none of your testimony today have you intended to refer specifically to that period of time, is that correct?
 - A. No. Only as it is a part of the general experience.
- Q. You also referred to your opinion as to the quality of the staff as you have observed it through your long experience and as a professional man who has particular abilities to make such observations. Were you referring to staff at all levels within the Adjustment Center?
- A. Yes, and specifically to the correctional officers who have the closest contact with the inmates.
- Q. And I believe you also said that is not always a very pleasant job.
- A. I look upon it as a very difficult job, and actually considerable care is exercised in the assignment of an officer to that position as to whether he has the qualities to handle the wear and tear and to present as constructive approach as can be presented under the circumstances.
- Q. Would you enumerate at greater length the nature of the considerable care which is taken?

A. We have discussed, for instance, at length the removal—as to how long the staff should remain there before it is likely the wear and tear of the work might begin to be reflected in some way in their approach to the inmates or in their own mental health. We have even used the word 18 months in the past.

However, it would appear that some members of the staff are able to handle the situation much better than others.

We haven't really worked out any deadline.

I know one staff member was removed momentarily and reinstated. I have reference to Officer Spoon, Officer Spoon was removed and I believe reinstated. My observations of Officer Spoon were that he was a constructive individual in that work.

- Q. Were you involved in any discussions pertaining to the reassignment or removal, as you have called it, of Officer Spoon?
 - A. Not in that situation, no.
- Q. Were you involved in any discussions involving his reinstatement, as you put it?
 - A. No.
- Q. What opportunities have you had--and since you have mentioned Officer Spoon, let's take Officer Spoon--what opportunities have you had within the past two years to observe Officer Spoon performing his duties?

A. I have seen him any day that I was there and he was assigned. I can't recall the schedule. I have watched him at work and how he manages the inmates, his attitude.

1 felt that they were well within acceptable limits.

I have been particularly impressed with other officers.

The current Sergeant Friedrick has been outstanding, as has officer Mata.

On one occasion we sent one of the program administrators, the first assigned program administrator went to a psyciatric nurses seminar to see if we couldn't learn something about the handling of disturbed people. They were the psychiatric nurses, mainly from the Department of Mental Hygiene.

- Q. When was that?
- A. Mr. Brown went to that seminar probably about 1962.
- Q. Do you know when Mr. Brown stopped working in the Adjustment Center?
- A. 'Mr. Brown was superseded by Mr. Johnston I believe last year.
 - Q. 1965?
 - A. Something like that.
- Q. Do staff generally know who you are, Doctor, and particularly in the Adjustment Center?
- A. Well, I would say that outside of the Superintendent and maybe a few of the other administrative people,

1

4

6

5

Q

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

that more of the staff probably know me than probably any other person, inasmuch as I have been there longer than most people and have a general contact with the whole institution in one way or another.

- Q. Any of the officers or sergeants or other staff on duty in the Adjustment Center would know you were the consulting psychiatrist, Dr. Hack, would they?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. And they would know that you had access to all of the administrative offices with great ease, more so than even some of their superiors?
 - A. That is right.
- Q. Siegfried Porte was mentioned in direct examination Are you aware, Doctor, whether or not Mr. Porte has been recommended for psychiatric care by any other psychiatrist or any other body?
- A. I wonder if I might make reference to the record in that case--
 - Q. Please.
- A. --inasmuch as my mind works much better when refreshed by the words I write.
 - Q. That would be very helpful.
- A. One of the first potential sources of justification is the Adult Authority report, and he was seen at the Adult Authority last at DVI, that is the Dual Vocational

б

?2 ?3

 Institute at Tracy, June 16 '66. It was advised to get him into a meaningful vocational program. No recommendation by them directly to be moved to the Medical Facility.

Looking at my report here on him of April 1 1965, I
saw him at this time, April 1 1965, for a psychiatric
consultation prior to trial for an alleged attempted escape
at CTF North at about 4:15 p.m. March 24 1965.

At that time I felt that a movement to CMF Vacaville was not indicated, so I didn't recommend it at that time, and I wrote a one-page formal report which outlines his previous life, being a second termer--

Q. I don't mean to interrupt you, Doctor, but perhaps you could look at the various Adult Authority pages. Is there more than one contained in the file?

A. Apparently in Porte, he came in in 1964, he was seen in-- Excuse me. The Adult Authority report which I read was not in regard to that inmate. It was in regard to his crime partner. That was an error, Your Honor, and should be corrected.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I am sorry to have to proceed this way. I have not had an opportunity--I have not asked for it either--to reveiw the file.

THE WITNESS: I do not see here the Adult Authority recommendation in regard to him. However, Porte-- Let's see if he has appeared-- He appeared June 1 1966, and he

1

3

5

6

7

9

8

.0

.2

.1

3

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

10

11

!3

,5 ¼ 5 was postponed for appearance before the Board for two years, till June of 1968, and they made a referral that I was to see him before his next Board appearance, or some psychiatrist was to see him. But he is not recommended for a placement in the medical facility.

MR. COHLER: Q. Before you lose that piece of paper, I would like to have that point marked, if I might.

Would you read precisely what is stated there, please.

- A. "Miscellaneous Action: Psych referral." That means that he is to be seen by me or by a psychiatrist at what-ever institution he is in and a report rendered before he appears for his next Adult Authority appearance in June of 1968.
 - Q. Who makes these entries, Doctor, do you know?
- A. These are made by clerical personnel, and they are transcribed from the formal Board action sheet.
- Q. Is this what you would see? Or is there something else that you would see?
- A. I receive a list put out by the institution indicating each month those people that I am to see for the next month.
- Q. And the notation is made from another document, is that correct, the notation you just read?
- A. Yes. There is a formal promulgation of Adult Authority action which is made up after each of the Board

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

50

21

22

23

24

练

appearances. This is in a book form.

- Q. Is a copy kept at the institution?
- A. As far as I know.
- Q. Is a copy normally kept in a jacket such as you have here?
 - A. No. This is the only reference in this file.
 - Q. Thank you.
- A. The only other referral for a psychiatrist could be made by the Classification Committee.
- Q. Is there a psychiatrist on the Classification Committee?
 - A. No.
 - Q. A psychologist?
- A. No. If they desire that type of service, they refer them to me.

There is no reference here, at least within the last year, of any psychiatric -- of his being recommended for a formal psychiatric treatment.

- Q. As I understand it, the only occasion you would have to visit with Mr. Porte in this instance, aside from another occurrence, would be when you receive a list of those a month before coming up before the Adult Authority and had that reference notation?
- A. There is another incident which has occurred, however, in which I did see him. He involved himself in a

self mutilation gesture.

2

3

11

12

13

[4

15

16

17

18

19

30

Q. I don't want to cut you off--

Counsel, I would like to have your help on this. I would rather not go into personal lives of these other men, other than counsel feels it necessary, since it was elicited on direct. I am not objecting for purposes of the case. I just don't want to do this to people unless it necessary.

MR. GRANUCCI: Well, I am trying to recall some testimony of Porte, but he did testify to that act of selfmutilization.

MR. COHLER: If you would like to bring this out on your examination, fine. But I would rather not go into it.

MR. GRANUCCI: You asked the question, counsel. You can withdraw it if you want.

MR. COHLER: I don't think I asked the question to which that was responsive.

MR: GRANUCCI: Counsel, you said: Was there any other occasion, other than the--

MR. COHLER: No, I didn't. I asked in the absence of such another occasion, he would have seen Mr. Porte only by receiving the list for the month of those coming up before the Board. I will be glad to have it read back.

MR. GRANUCCI: I will accept your version of it.

MR. COHLER: Q. I am sorry, Doctor. I didn't mean to

 cut you off. Perhaps your counsel will want to ask you about this.

A. Do you wish me to make an answer?

Well, there is another way which I might see him and I did see him. And this is the only answer I am able to provide you. I did see him because there was a self destructive gesture, and this is one of the points which would bring him to my attention and did bring him to my attention. I have to make a decision as to whether this is a bona fide suicidal gesture and he requires additional management, or whether it is a self destructive gesture aimed at the expression of his own hostility, irritation of staff, and whatever other motive he might have.

Q. Doctor, I believe you have testified as to the purposes a strip cell may serve. I believe "control" was one of the terms that you used.

Do I understand correctly that by stripping the cell and removing all of the facilities inside the cell, the opportunity for an inmate to injure himself or others is reduced, and this is one of the primary functions of placing an inmate in the strip cell?

A. That is one of the reasons, but not the most usual reason. If we felt he were going to injure himself, we might move him to--we usually use the first cell of the isolation section if we feel there is any chance of injury

to himself, because he can be observed best by staff.

The primary reason for him being in the strip cell is that he is usually--he has already destroyed his own cell through the flooding of it or burning of it or some other destruction, or he has agitated the rest of the inmates in this section.

Now, we have a responsibility to allow these people to rest and to be in a position of— they are entitled to their rest in the same way that anybody else is. If a person screams and creates disorder, we know absolutely, through our observations, that within a short while these very short tempered people, extremely short tempered people, are going to be screaming, shouting, and destroying themselves in their own cells.

So it is immediately contingent upon the staff to as quickly as possible remove that man to a place where he cannot annoy these other people, these 20 or so people who might be in intimate contact or the people on the other side who are only a matter of 100 feet or less from him.

If we do not gain control of this situation and put him in a place where he will not be heard, we will have a general disorder in which much of the property may be injured.

As a matter of fact, two days before the Judge appeared some eight, I believe, of the commodes were destroyed and

ç

the cells were flooded. We were unable to gain a control of it quickly enough.

So we must remove these people. If we don't, the agitation spreads and can even spread to the upper floors. These people can only stand so much noise and they will join in it.

- Q. The purpose of the quiet cell or strip cell then is served by, one, removing them from the situation where they were physically; and two, perhaps in that situation, closing the flaps and shutting off the noise.
 - A. That is right.
- Q. Is there any purpose to be served, Doctor, by leaving the flaps closed longer than the noise is continuing?
 - A. No purpose other than the noise may again start.
- Q. If it were the judgment of the officer or the sergeant, whoever it was who opened and closed the flaps, that the noise probably had gone by, is there any legitimate purpose, based upon your experience as a psychiatrist, with a good deal of experience in prisons, to keep the flaps closed?
- A. I would say that it serves the purpose of-- we seem to operate on a system of where if it is economic to do something, if it is an easy expression--if some expression achieves a result and the negative effects are

minimal, let us say the system of rewards and punishments works to the advantage of an action, the action is more likely to be repeated. The placement up of the flaps may therefore make it a little less likely that the agitation be started again.

Q. Doctor, let, me try to ask you again: In the situation where the agitation has ceased, and in the judgment of the officers or other responsible personnel the agitation is not likely to arise again, based upon your experience as a psychiatrist and in the prisons, is there a basic purpose for leaving the flaps closed?

A. Yes, I believe there is. And that is, it is a discouragement to further outbursts of potentially destructive nature, such as brought about the action in the first place.

Q. Over how long a period of time would you, based on that same experience, feel keeping the flaps closed was warranted?

A. Well, at least that night; and then the next day, as is usually the problem, the man is brought out and he is brought before the Adjustment Center Committee, and it is determined: Well, what can we do with this man so we can begin to move him out of the Adjustment Center? And usually at that time we determine that, depending upon his behavior, whether the flaps would have to be closed or not.

If he continues to agitate, we might stroll back there and he might throw something at us, which means we can't even maintain the area without either having ourselves diluged with refuse or the inmate who happens to be assigned to clean there.

Q. You use the term "we" when referring to the Disciplinary Committee, Doctor. First of all, is it your understanding that the Disciplinary decides whether or not the flaps remain open or closed?

.21

- A. No. The Adjustment Center Committee or the sergeant, probably the sergeant, determines this in his discussion with the staff.
- Q. When you say "we," have you been included on discussions as to whether or not a particular flap ought to be open or closed?
- A. I don't think I have ever been involved in whether the flaps should be opened or closed. The primary question is: Where can we house this man? Can he be moved back upstairs now? Has he had enough of this? Can we clear him out of that area?
- O. I want to direct your attention as much as possible to an inmate in a quiet or strip cell who may well have been put there for having agitated, as you and others have described it. When the inmate had stopped his noisy agitation and when the officers feel that he is not about

to resume it--and that must be something about which you cannot now testify, as to when that would be--but when they do reach that time, is there any legitimate purpose for keeping the flaps closed?

- A. No, probably not. And I would--my experience has been that we have begun to consider at that time: Is there any legitimate reason to keep him where he is at? Can't we begin to move him out?
- Q. You said, "No, probably not," and I would like to be very clear. Don't you agree that there is no reason to keep the flaps closed once the agitation or the noisemaking or the reason for having closed the flaps has expired?

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and answered several times.

MR. COHLER: I have had some problems here, Your Honor.
Would you read back the question, please, Miss Reporter.
(The question was read.)

THE WITNESS: I would say that there is no good reason. They can be opened, and they can be shut as quickly as they can be opened.

Q. You say "no good reason." You mean there is no reason that you as a psychiatrist with experience in penology and in the institution, could justify, is that correct?

11

14

21

- 16
- 18

19

- 22
- 24

23

- I would say that is reasonably true.
- Sir, I wish you could say yes or no in your professional capacity. Can you answer that?
 - I will answer it yes, then.
- (Q). Thank you. Doctor, you have testified that upon occasion cells have not been as clean as other cells. nave also testified that there have been occasions when it has been difficult to move the inmate from the cell in order to clean it up.
 - That is right.
- And that moving the inmate in such a circumstance may, indeed, result in greater problems; such as the use of tear gas or possible injury to the inmate or the staff.
 - That is true. Ao
- Now, except for the situation where you can't move the man for any of these various reasons or where the man can't be moved, is there any justification for permitting a cell not to be cleaned, in your opinion as a psychiatrist, and based upon your experience within the prison walls?
- I would like to say this, that there is another A. problem here; that it is the responsibility primarily of the inmate to clean his own cell, and it is of some consequence and a serious problem in the management of the Adjustment Center or any other part of the institution to ask another inmate to clean a cell which has been disturbed

Б

 by another person, particularly in the Adjustment Center where the man who is the porter is himself an Adjustment center inmate.

- Q. Probably from X-wing?
- A. Maybe from X-wing, maybe from O-wing.

So we have to consider that perhaps the man should clean up his own cell after he has made a mess of it. We can scarcely ask--or it presents a problem in asking another inmate to clean up his cell. It may be, if he isthere would be no problem if the inmate were psychotic. The cell would be cleaned after he left. If the inmate were not, then he would be expected to clean his own.

Q. Doctor, I want to exclude from all of these questions a situation where the inmate can't be moved from his cell because of physical difficulties. Please bear that in mind. As a psychiatrist and a man who has worked inside this type of institution for several years, what purpose do you, feel is served by leaving a cell in an unclean state?

A. Well, I personally don't like to see a cell in an unclean state, and when I see the area and it is not clean, I usually make a femark about it and ascertain why. Now--

Q. I am not attempting to fix any blame on you for the state of the cell. There has been testimony in this case, Doctor, which you may not have heard. Some people have testified they have been in cells that have not been

clean. It may very well be that these were at times when you had not had an opportunity to inspect the cells.

Now, I think it is fair to ask you to assume an unclean cell. I am asking you whether or not, on the basis of your professional experience as a psychiatrist and having been with this institution for sometime, what purpose is served by leaving a man in an unclean cell?

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection, Your Honor. That question is rather compound and complex.

MR. COHLER: I will try to make it very simple.

THE COURT: I think the Doctor understands it.

THE WITNESS: I will answer it. It is a compound and complex question. There is nothing served by leaving him in there in a dirty state, but on the other hand there may be a problem as to whether we can ask another inmate to clean his cell when we don't have enough staff to more than barely supervise the situation. So it may be impractical if he will not clean his own cell, which is done by all of the other nonpsychotic inmates.

MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I will have to move to strike the "as is done by all the other nonpsychotic inmates."

There is no foundation for that observation.

MR. GRANUCCI: I think there is a foundation.

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

MR. COHLER: Q. Doctor, again excluding the situation

q

where the inmate in the cell cannot be moved for one reason or another so that the cell may be cleaned, and it may be compound—you said it was compound, and you said that there was no purpose to be served, but there may be circumstances. Let me break it down.

I am referring only to purposes. What legitimate purpose is served, in your opinion as a psychiatrist and based upon your experience in the institution, by leaving an inmate in an unclean cell?

- A. Another purpose might be, as I have answered, is that it would be against the best interests of staff-inmate relationship to ask some other inmate to clean his cell if he won't do it.
 - Q. How about having the staff clean his cell?
 - A. We don't have that many staff.
- Q. There are so many unclean cells that you don't have enough staff to keep them clean?

MR: GRANUCCI: Objection.

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: There isn't enough staff to conceivably clean the cells. In O-wing there is usually not more than two officers assigned downstairs during the day, and maybe two upstairs, and they are occupied by the movement of inmates. And we don't have enough time any place in the

institution for staff to clean cells.

MR. COHLER: Q. I started out excluding a situation where an inmate can't be moved for one reason or another so his cell may be cleaned. I would also like to exclude the administrative problem of finding people to do it.

Putting aside those two factors, based on your experience as a psychiatrist and particularly within this institution, is there any legitimate purpose to be served by leaving an inmate in an unclean cell?

MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, I wonder if I could request counsel to make that question more specific. He talks about unclean cells. It could conceivably be relevant as whether the inmate dirtied the cell himself or not.

MR. COHLER: I want to find out if it is. I will ask it generally. If the Doctor so feels, will so state.

THE WITNESS: What I think has now been done is, you have made the question--you have removed so many elements of the real situation that the question can no longer be answered, because it is not a practical question.

MR. COHLER: Q. You don't feel qualified to answer as

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection as to the form of the question Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. COHLER: Q. Can you explain your answer, Doctor,

why you can't answer the question?

в

[9

A. If the inmate has dirtied his own cell and is not psychotic, then a staff problem, a staff-inmate problem exists for us to ask--and an inmate to inmate relationship problem exists, the relationship between that inmate and the man who is going to have to do the cleaning--that we have to be careful that we don't ask an inmate to do something which he might feel is degrading. He might clean up his own cell, but he might not want to clean up the mess of somebody else, and this is a touchy point and one we have to observe.

Q. Let me ask it this way, Doctor: Based upon your professional experience as a psychiatrist and your experience in this institution, do you feel the potential difficulties and problems of the staff-inmate and the inmate inmate nature which you have just described outweigh the potential difficulties and absence of purpose to be served in leaving a man in an unclean cell?

MR. GRANUCCI: Objection to the form of the question, because the question is incomplete. It does not--again, in the hypothetical question, it is not clear or certain whether the inmate dirtied the cell or not, whether it was dirtied by another inmate, whether the inmate was capable of cleaning up the cell, whether the inmate was willing to clean the cell.

٠ إ

- MR. COHLER: I will incorporate the factor of the inmate having dirtied the cell himself. That is the only
 factor I have heard the Doctor allude to among those you
 mentioned.
 - Q. Do you have the question, Doctor?
- A. If the inmate dirtied the cell himself, I would feel that—and he was willing to clean it up, and appear voluntarily, and shower, and would so communicate to the staff, I don't think we would have any problem getting it done.
- MR. COHLER: Your Honor, I will have to move to strike it as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

- MR. COHLER: Q. If the inmate is not willing to clean up his own cell, do you feel that the factor of difficulties arising out of inmate-staff relationships, in asking another inmate to clean up that cell, outweigh any problem of the inmate staying in an unclean cell?
- A. I feel that the problems of staff relationship do outweigh his inconvenience, yes, indeed. At least, up to the point of health beyond which I have not seen.
- Q. Based on your professional experience and your contact with the plaintiff Mr. Jordan, do you feel he could be aided by psychiatric help?
 - A. Yes, I think he could. But he hasn t become

amenable yet to current methodologies or with the staff which is available. There are only in the United States a little more than 50 psychiatrists who have any association with the penal institutions of that number, 25 are in this State, and only a half of one of those is at Soledad. so there are problems in regard to applying ourselves to him, and even--many psychiatrists frankly state that this type of problem is not even approachable. I think it is. And I have maintained my interest in Soledad because I think the problem of the socialization disorders is the most major problem which is now before us. The threat to society is no longer the bacteria, as it once was in the Middle Ages. But we are going to have to develop preventive and treatment procedures to handle our socialization disorders, or Mr. Hoover can report every six months that the crime rate is up.

MR. COHLER: Thank you, Doctor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRANUCCI:

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Doctor, you testified on both direct and cross that during your visits to the Adjustment Center over your period of working at Soledad you examined the conditions of cleanliness.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Within the past six months, have you noticed any

8

13

23

24

change in the conditions of cleanliness, either for the good or for worse?

- I have noted the appearance of the plastic washbasins and so on --
- I am talking about the cleanliness, physical cleanliness?
 - Actually, no significant change. A۰
- Now, counsel asked you a number of questions about inmates in dirty cells. When you attempted to answer those questions, were your answers based on the assumption that the inmate had dirtied the cell himself?
- I might add here that the record reflects Α. that he was not a good housekeeper even when he was in the general population. As a matter of fact, I have written that into my report as being one of the negative things which we were still unable to overcome. This is material elicited from him in our discussions.
- Q. Dr. Hack, you mentioned on cross-examination that there was an incident involving a number of inmates on a destructive rampage a few days before His Honor appeared at the institution. Is that correct?
 - A. That is right.
- In your professional judgment, was that incident related to this case?
 - I think it was, yes. Ą.

- Q. How so?
- A. I think it was of a demonstration type venture. I think that was a part of the motivation.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COHLER:

.1

- Q. Doctor, you mentioned that Mr. Jordan had been somewhat of a housekeeping problem, that he has told you that himself. That was related to the spreading of newspapers around his cell, not human body waste, wasn't it?
 - A. That is right.
 - MR. COHLER: Thank you.
- MR. GRANUCCI: Your Honor, I have no further questions of this witness, but he would certainly be willing to answer of His Honor's questions.

THE COURT: I have a very few counsel.

I will be as brief as I can, Doctor. Doctor, have you made any requests recently or in the course of your experience at Soledad for additional help in your field of psychiatry?

THE WITNESS: Yes, annually. The problem is that psychiatrists seem to like metropolitan areas. We have had quite a problem in recruiting. There has only been one actual assigned psychiatrist there since the institution was opened. I am, at this moment, however, considering dropping my private practice and have made application to

work full time.

THE COURT: But you do put in a pretty full week, nevertheless?

THE WITNESS: That is right.

THE COURT: A four-day week?

THE WITNESS: It is five days, a half a day.

THE COURT: In your opinion, was Soledad Facility conceived or otherwise constructed for the housing of the criminally insane?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: What, if any, facilities do you have for that, Doctor?

THE WITNESS: We can handle limited acute psychotic problems, which often happen. An inmate may suddenly develop an acute psychosis. We can house him in one or two places, either the upstairs Adjustment Center, above the area of which we are speaking, which is mainly used for transients, for people who are in protective custody situations, nonviolent type persons. We can house them there. Or we can house them in the hospital. And under medication, which is one of the things we do, the standard of tranquilizing and psychotrophic drugs are used, probably in the Adjustment Center to the largest extent than any part of the institution, so that we can handle them at least until we see that they are either going to improve or they

are beyond our capacity, in which case we are immediately able to transfer them.

THE COURT: Doctor, during the course of your testimony this afternoon, you have dilated and discussed at some length the question of cleanliness as it may be intertwined with the psychiatric features that are involved in connection with an inmate or a cell or the like.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Directing your attention to the solitary aspects of the so-called strip cells, do you agree with the concept that notwithstanding solitary, that a certain degree of cleanliness should prevail?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it should.

THE COURT: May I ask you, Doctor, what if any recommendations have you made during your post or during your career at this facility with respect to any devices that might be used by the inmates and/or the devices that be installed in the cells, looking towards cleanliness?

THE WITNESS: None specifically, since the cells were constructed on lines which already provided for minimal needs, and I knew of no other devices which might aid an inmate who had already destroyed a cell which provided generally--

THE COURT: Let us forget momentarily the cells that were destroyed. Confine yourself to my question.

1. 2

3 Ą

6 7

5

9

10

8

11

12 13

14

15

18 17

18

19

20

22

21

24

23

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Doctor, have you ever permitted yourself to be locked momentarily in a strip cell?

THE WITNESS: No, I have -- that is -- I have not, no.

THE COURT: I take it that if you had not permitted yourself to be locked momentarily in a strip cell, you have not permitted yourself to be locked in a strip cell with the flaps up?

THE WITNESS: No, but there is--

THE COURT: Will you answer the question, Doctor.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What is the answer?

THE WITNESS: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Doctor, are you familiar with the general mode or method of the elimination of waste matter in the strip cells?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: How are waste matters eliminated? they eliminated, Doctor, bodily waste matter?

THE WITNESS: Bodily waste matter is deposited in a single opening which has to be hand flushed. The opening was in the floor.

THE COURT: So-called Oriental type?

THE WITNESS: So-called Oriental type, yes.

In the center of the cell? THE COURT:

 THE WITNESS: Well, no, to the rear, to the right rear, normally where the commode would be in the normal isolation cell, with an opening. And this is where the material would have to be placed, and then a staff member has to go through, or normally had to go through and make a flushing of that device. Since there is—there may be a way to—one of the problems is flooding in that area—

THE COURT: All right, Doctor. Will you pause for a moment and consider yourself inside one of the cells in question with the flaps up. Do you concede that there isn't any light in the cell, Doctor?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: It is absolutely dark.

THE WITNESS: Not quite, because these are not, as the so-called solitary confinement cells of former years where there was no light. There is a slight seepage of light.

THE COURT: Very slight.

THE WITNESS: Very slight.

THE COURT: Mindful of the conditions under which a man is confined in a cell in question, how do you propose he maintain his personal bodily cleanliness, his hands and the like?

THE WITNESS: He is provided with--is provided with the toilet tissue. He is supposed to be removed to be--

3

5

в

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25 THE COURT: When? And how often?

THE WITNESS: I believe at least every five days was the minimum.

THE COURT: So for a period of five days, at least, his body, if he is stripped, and his hands equally, would be the subject of some degree of contamination. Isn't that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, but as--

THE COURT: Is it correct, Doctor, or is it not?

THE WITNESS: For a period of five days he possibly might be quite soiled.

THE COURT: Yes. And quite contaminated.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Of course, you observed those conditions from time to time when you looked into the strip cell, did you not, Doctor?

THE WITNESS: Yes, except that generally speaking only a few of the strip cells were occupied, and it is not my impression that people stayed continuously in the cells that long.

THE COURT: As a matter of fact, Doctor, your observations were not regarding cleanliness, were they, but rather the psychiatric field? Isn't that true?

THE WITNESS: Mainly psychiatric. But I am concerned about the cleanliness. Here is a medical problem.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

50

22 23

24

THE COURT: No. Doctor, you said that excrement on the walls and the like, or about the cells, might well occasion you to conclude or make a finding that the inmate might possibly be regarded as psychotic. How would you make a differentiation between a psychotic inmate and one who by reason of the lack of light and lack of other facilities became more or less dirty with his own filth? How would you make the distinction?

Well, the psychotic inmate has a definite THE WITNESS: As I said, the hebephrenic schizosort of a pattern. phrenic who reaches the point of smearing walls--

You have used that before. THE COURT:

THE WITNESS: --is a very obvious type of a problem.

That is a specific problem, Doctor. THE COURT: is a specific problem that is ordinarily rather remote, isn't it? It is not the usual.

It is not the usual, no. THE WITNESS:

THE COURT: It is not a pattern of conduct that ordinarily you would find in an inmate confined in this type institution? It is a bizarre sort of thing, isn't it?

Yes. But it does occur. THE WITNESS:

Not quite often though. THE COURT:

THE WITNESS: Not often.

Let's confine ourselves to the cell in THE COURT: question, to the degree of light, to the lack of

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

20

19

22

23

21

24

cleanliness, to the lack of apparent facilities for a man to either bathe or wash his hands. I address the question again to you, Doctor, mindful of your constant surveillance over these cells or at least casual surveillance: Did you at any time during the course of your career make a recommendation regarding any device of facility that might be used by the inmate?

THE WITNESS: No devices or facilities. I have made the recommendation that he ought to be taken out and cleaned one way or another.

THE COURT: That the inmate ought to be taken out?

THE WITNESS: That the inmate ought to be taken out and the cell should be cleaned.

THE COURT: Was that prompted by a physical observation you made of any inmate?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Will you state the name or identity of the inmate.

I don't know. THE WITNESS:

What was the condition of his body? THE COURT:

THE WITNESS: If I entered a cell and the cell smelled badly, I feel this is an unhealthful situation. As was made an effort at CMF, as I have alluded --

THE COURT: Is it not true, notwithstanding the stench or smell, many of these inmates were permitted to and

в

7

Б

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2Q

22

23

24

25

forced to eat their meals in that stench and odor?

THE WITNESS: I don't know as they were forced to.

It is true that if they were going to eat, that they might have to eat under those circumstances.

THE COURT: They wouldn't go to the cafeteria, would they?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Do you know, from your records or your own knowledge, Doctor, whether any of the strip cell inmates received or had the privilege of using the showers at any time?

THE WITNESS: As far as I know, they have, yes. I made direct recommendations that they be moved and showered.

THE COURT: Do you know whether your recommendations were ever carried out, Doctor?

THE WITNESS: No, except that I have seen that the inmates were clean or the cells vacated the next time I appeared. And I know that we have had no pneumonias, enteric diseases or other infections as a result of this.

THE COURT: I have no further questions.

MR. COHLER: No further questions, Your Honor.

MR. GRANUCCI: I have no further questions. May the witness be excused?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. GRANUCCI: Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

3

Б

7

٥

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

23

24

A=

THE COURT: Do you have additional witnesses for tomorrow, counsel?

MR. GRANUCCI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We will start at 10:00 o'clock and we will run to 5:00 o'clock tomorrow.

MR. GRANUCCI: That is certainly agreeable with us.

MR. COHLER: Certainly.

MR. GRANUCCI: I certainly don't think we will conclude our side of the case by tomorrow.

THE COURT: Then we will have to run a half a day Thursday. I have other commitments on Thursday.

MR. GRANUCCI: Fine, Your Honor.

MR. COHLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned until 10:00 o'clock a.m., August 17 1966.)

--000---