

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

Shirley Williams et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 03-2200-JWL

Sprint/United Management Company,

Defendant.

ORDER

On November 8, 2006, the court held a telephone conference with the parties during which the court granted plaintiffs' motion to review (doc. 4347) and sustained their objections to that portion of the magistrate judge's September 25, 2006 order denying plaintiffs' motion to compel defendant to produce documents responsive to Requests Nos. 27 through 36 of Plaintiffs' Ninth Request for Production of Documents. As set forth in full on the record, the court concludes that the magistrate judge erred in concluding that the Requests are facially overly broad and, thus, erred in failing to require defendant to substantiate its objections. In the interest of judicial economy, the court declines to remand this matter to the magistrate judge and, instead, resolves defendant's objections to plaintiffs' Requests on its own in light of the complete record before it. As explained on the record, defendant's objections to the Requests are overruled and defendant shall produce documents responsive to the Requests (with slight modifications to those Requests as described on the record) within 30 days of the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 9th day of November, 2006, at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ John W. Lungstrum

John W. Lungstrum

United States District Judge