Case 3:05-cv-00595-HDM-WGC Document 144 Filed 03/14/12 Page 1 of 3 FILED RECEIVED **ENTERED** SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD 1 2 MAR 1 4, 2012 3 **CLERK US DISTRICT COURT** 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA DEPUTY 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 CHARLA CONN and DUSTIN CONN, 8 3:05-cv-00595-HDM-WGC Plaintiffs, 9 10 vs. SPECIAL VERDICT OFFICERS RYAN ASHTON and DAVID 11 ROBERTSON, 12 Defendants. 13 14 We, the jury, in the above-entitled action, find the 15 following special verdict: 16 QUESTION NO. 1: 17 Have the Plaintiffs Charla Conn and Dustin Conn proved by a preponderance of the evidence that their mother Brenda Clustka 18 had a serious medical need, that is an actual heightened risk of suicide, on April 26, 2005? 19 NO YES 20 (If you answered "Yes" in Question No. 1, go to Question No. 21 If you answered "No" in Question No. 1, please date and sign this form.) 22 23 OUESTION NO. 2: 24 Have the Plaintiffs Charla Conn and Dustin Conn proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants violated their mother Brenda Clustka's Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights by proving the Defendants were subjectively aware of Brenda

case 3:05-cv-00595-HDM-WGC Document 144 Filed 03/14/12 Page 2 of 3

	طحمات بالمناف والمالية				
1	Clustka's serious medical need and failed to respond to that serious medical need on April 26, 2005?				
2	Defendant Ryan Ashton YES NO				
3	Defendant David Robertson YES NO				
4 5	(If you answered "Yes" for either defendant in Question No. 2, go to Question No. 3. If you answered "No" for both defendants in Question No. 2, please date and sign this form.)				
6					
7	QUESTION NO. 3:				
8	Have the Plaintiffs Charla Conn and Dustin Conn proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants' violation of their mother Brenda Clustka's constitutional rights was the				
9	actual cause of Brenda Clustka's suicide on April 28, 2005?				
10	Defendant Ryan Ashton YESNO				
11	Defendant David Robertson YES NO				
13	(If you answered "Yes" for either defendant in Question No. 3 go to Question No. 4. If you answered "No" for both defendants in Question No. 3, please date and sign this form.)				
14					
15	QUESTION NO. 4:				
16	I wanted manage of the evidence that the Defendants Violation of				
17	their mother Brenda Clustka's constitutional rights was the proximate cause of Brenda Clustka's suicide on April 28, 2005?				
18	Defendant Ryan Ashton YES NO				
19	Defendant David Robertson YES NO				
20	(If we arguared "Ves" for either defendant in Question No.				
21.	- II $ -$				
22					
23	QUESTION NO. 5:				
24	a preponderance of the evidence that they are entitled to qualify				
25	good faith immunity?				
26	2				

İ					
1	Defendant Ryan Ashton	YES	NO		
2	Defendant David Robertson	YES	NO		
3 4 5	(If you answered "No" for either defendant in Question No. 5, go to Question No. 6. If you answered "Yes" for both defendants in Question No. 5, please date and sign this form.)				
6	QUESTION NO. 6:				
7 8 9	If you have found in favor of both defendants on plaintiffs' claim, you are not to answer this question but instead date and sign this special verdict. You may award damages against only those defendants whom you have found are liable to the plaintiffs under the law and facts of this case. If you have so found, you should then answer the following:				
11	We the jury find in favor of Plaintiff Charla Conn and against the following defendant(s):				
12	Defendant Ryan Ashton				
13	Defendant David Robertson				
14	and assess damages in the amount of \$				
15 16	We the jury find in favor of Plaintiff Dustin Conn and against the following defendant(s):				
17	Defendant Ryan Ashton				
18	Defendant David Robertson				
19	and assess damages in the amo	unt of \$	<u>_</u>		
20 21 22	Please date and sign this for Dated: $3-14-20/2$	/ /			
23					
24		·			
25					

gase 3:05-cv-00595-HDM-WGC Document 144 Filed 03/14/12 Page 3 of 3