---DJ

2092

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON ... AT SEATTLE

**EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY** COMMISSION,

Plaintiff.

and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BRANDI MCGUIRE, CLARE MCFADDEN, RACHEL BEALS, KASIE BARKER, and STEVEN SLOAN,

Plaintiffs-in-Intervention

STARWOOD HOTEL & RESORTS WORLDWIDE, dba W SEATTLE HOTEL

Defendant.

NO. C01-703Z

CONSENT DECREE AND [<del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER OF DISMISSAL

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action originated with discrimination charges filed by Brandi McGuire, Clare McFadden, Rachel Beals, Kasie Barker, and Steven Sloan ("Charging Parties") with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The Charging Parties alleged Starwood Hotel & Resorts Worldwide, dba W Seattle Hotel ("Defendant") discriminated against them on the basis of sex by subjecting them to sexual harassment, retaliation, and/or constructive discharge, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.

> U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Scattle District Office

909 First Avenue, Suite 400 rtle, Washington 98104-1061 Telephone: (206) 220-6883 Facsimile: (206) 220-6911 TDD: (206) 220-6882

10

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

- 2. The EEOC sent Defendant Letters of Determination with findings of reasonable cause that it had violated Title VII.
- 3. The Commission filed this lawsuit on May 21, 2001 in the United States
  District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle on behalf of the
  Charging Parties and other similarly situated female employees ("Class Members"),
  alleging they also experienced sexual harassment, retaliation, and/or constructive
  discharge.
- 4. The Charging Parties and Defendant settled their claims separately.

  Here, the EEOC and Defendant want to conclude all claims of Class Members, other than the Charging Parties, including but not limited to claims of Tiffany Cumiford ("Cumiford"), Sarah Small ("Small"), and Megan Murphy ("Murphy"), without expending further resources in contested litigation.

# II. NON-ADMISSION OF LIABILITY AND NON-DETERMINATION BY THE COURT

5. This Consent Decree is not an admission of wrongdoing or an adjudication or finding on the merits of the case. This Consent Decree shall not be used as evidence of liability or for purposes of res judicata or collateral estoppel in any legal proceeding against the Defendant. Neither the agreement to enter this Decree, nor the Decree shall be admissible in any proceeding as an admission by Defendant of any violation of, failure to comply with, interference, retaliation or obstruction of compliance with Title VII or any other employment law or order.

#### III. <u>SETTLEMENT SCOPE</u>

6. This Consent Decree is the final and complete resolution of all Title VII allegations of unlawful employment practices contained in the complaints filed herein on behalf of the Class Members by the EEOC other than the Charging Parties, including all claims by the Class Members for attorney fees and costs. The terms of this Consent

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Decree shall apply only to the W Seattle Hotel site. The Consent Decree resolves all issues and claims arising out of this Complaint and is binding and final as to all such issues and claims.

# IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§451, 1331, 137, 1343 and 1345. Plaintiff EEOC's action is authorized pursuant to Sections 7(5(g)(6), 706(f)(1) and (3) and Section 707 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e-4(f)(6), 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and 2000e-6 ("Title VII") ar d Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful in the EEOC's Complaint filed herein occurred within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.

# V. <u>DEFINITION OF TERMS</u>

For the purposes of this Consent Decree, the following definitions shall apply:

- "The Effective Date of the Consent Decree" is the date the United States 8. District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle enters the Consent Decree and (Proposed) Order of Dismissal.
  - 9. Unless otherwise indicated, the word "days" refers to calendar days.
- 10. "Formal or Informal Complaints" includes any complaint, whether written or oral, made to the Human Resources Director or the General Manager of the W Seattle Hotel, or the Human Resources Department of Defendant in White Plains, New York.

#### VI. MONETARY RELIEF

11. In settlement of the EEOC's claims in this lawsuit, including the claims of Class Members, but not the claims of the Charging Parties, the Defendant has agreed

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25 to pay the Class Members the total lump sum of \$100,000.00 less applicable withholdings required by law. At the time of receipt of executed Release Agreements from Class Members, Defendant will execute and return this Consent Decree to the EEOC for entry with the Court. Within ten (10) days of receipt of executed Release Agreements from the Class Members, the Defendant shall mail to the Class Members the following settlement amounts less applicable withholdings as required by law consistent with amounts set out in Exhibit 1 attached.

#### VII. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

#### A. Compliance with Title VII

- 12. Defendant reaffirms its commitment to comply with Title VII. To further this commitment, the Defendant shall monitor the affirmative obligations of this Consent Decree. The terms of this Consent Decree apply to all applicants and employees of the W Hotel Seattle.
- 13. The Defendant will not retaliate against any applicant or employee for opposing a practice deemed unlawful by Title VII or for making a charge, testifying, assisting, or participating in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing associated with this action.
- 14. Defendant, its officers, agents, and employees are hereby enjoined from engaging in personnel practices which unlawfully discriminate against applicants and employees in violation of Title VII. In recognition of its obligations under Title VII, the Defendant will institute the policies and practices set forth below.

#### B. Retention of Sexual Harassment Consultant

15. Defendant will retain, at defendant's expense, a sexual harassment consultant to conduct an internal review and audit of the company's sexual harassment policy and complaint procedure. If necessary, defendant will adopt a new written equal

5

8

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25

employment opportunity policy which sets forth the requirements of federal laws against employment discrimination and specifically those provisions which make sexual harassment unlawful and which make it unlawful to retaliate against any current or former employee for opposing any practice made unlawful by Title VII.

- discriminatory employment practices based on sex and retaliation by creating and improving its internal grievance and complaint resolution procedure as outlined below. This policy will be distributed to all present and future employees, both management and non-management of the W Hotel Seattle, beginning 45 days after entry of this decree and continuing for the duration of the decree. Distribution to temporary employees may consist of notification of the existence and the location of the policy.
- 17. Defendant affirms the following "Statement of Zero-Tolerance Policy and Workplace Objectives":

The Starwood Hotel and Resorts Worldwide, dba W Seattle Hotel is firmly committed to developing and maintaining a zero-tolerance policy concerning sex discrimination, sexual harassment and retaliation against individuals who report discrimination or harassment in the company's workplace; to swiftly and firmly responding to any acts of sex discrimination, sexual harassment or retaliation of which the company becomes aware; to implementing a disciplinary system that is designed to strongly deter future acts of sex discrimination, sexual harassment or retaliation; and to actively monitoring its workplace in order to ensure tolerance, respect and dignity for all people.

# C. Expunging Records

18. Defendant will not disclose any information or make references to any charges of discrimination or this lawsuit in responding to employment reference requests for information about Cumiford, Small, and Murphy. In response to any inquiries, the Defendant shall provide employment references that includes only dates

of employment and positions held. Defendant may produce personnel information involving these Class Members if it is responding to any third-party lawful subpoena.

- 19. Defendant will expunge from Cumiford's, Small's, and Murphy's personnel files, any references to a charge of discrimination against Defendant and this lawsuit. Defendant will not add any information or references to Cumiford's, Small's, and Murphy's personnel files or records regarding their charge of discrimination and this lawsuit after such references have been expunged. Files containing information about Cumiford, Small, and Murphy that have been developed during the subject litigation will be maintained at the offices of Defendants' counsel, Reeve Shima. Defendant will make Cumiford's, Small's, and Murphy's personnel files available for inspection by counsel for the Class Members or the individual Class Members either at the Defendant's or at the offices of Reeve Shima.
- 20. In order to effectuate the objectives embodied in the Defendant's Statement of Zero-Tolerance Policy and Workplace Objectives and this Decree, the Defendant will ensure the following policies, procedures, and practices are in effect:

# (a) Complaint Procedures.

responsibilities, work location, and telephone number of the management employees charged with investigating such issues. That information will be routinely and continuously posted. If the name or designation of the management employees charged with investigating issues of sexual discrimination, sexual harassment, and retaliation change, defendant will re-post his or her names, responsibilities, work location, and telephone number. Also as part of its

23

24

25

procedure, the Defendant agrees that it shall keep a Complaint Box in the employee cafeteria, lunchroom, or other place within the Defendant premises where employees tend to gather and which is not in the managerial area of the Defendant's offices. The Complaint Box may contain a notation that it may be impossible to investigate and answer anonymous complaints. The upper management employees designated by the Defendant as charged with investigating complaints of discrimination will gather the complaints from the Complaint Box.

- (ii) Defendant agrees that it shall enable complaining parties to be interviewed by the Defendant about their complaints in such a manner that permits the complaining party, at such party's election, to remain inconspicuous to all of the employees in such party's work area. The Defendant agrees that its complaint procedure shall not impose upon individuals seeking to make a complaint alleging sex discrimination, sexual harassment and/or retaliation any requirements that are more burdensome than are imposed upon individuals who make other complaints of comparable gravity.
- (iii) Defendant agrees that it shall ensure that its policies and procedures provide that complaint handling and disciplinary procedures regarding all complaints of sex discrimination, sexual harassment and/or retaliation are investigated and

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
Seattle District Office
909 First Avenue, Suite 400
Seattle, Washington 98104-1061

24

25

addressed promptly. Specifically, the Defendant agrees that it shall make its best effort to investigate all complaints of sex discrimination, sexual harassment and/or retaliation promptly and to complete investigations within five (5) weeks. The Defendant will further make its best effort to prepare its written findings of the results of each investigation and the remedial actions proposed within fourteen (14) days after completion of the investigation, and shall thereupon promptly communicate to the complaining party the results of the investigation and the remedial actions taken or proposed, if any.

- Defendant agrees that it shall make its best effort to ensure (iv) that appropriate remedial action is taken to resolve complaints and to avoid the occurrence of sex discrimination, sexual harassment and/or retaliation. The Defendant further agrees that it shall revise its progressive discipline policy to provide for substantial discipline short of termination -- including, but not limited to, suspensions without pay as a possible consequence for violations of its sexual harassment policy.
- Policies Designed To Promote Supervisor Accountability. (b)
  - (i) Defendant agrees that it shall impose substantial discipline -- up to and including termination, suspension without pay or demotion upon any supervisor or manager who engages in sex discrimination or sexual harassment or permits any such

25

conduct to occur in his or her work area or among employees under his or her supervision, or who retaliates against any person who complains or participates in any investigation or proceeding concerning any such conduct. The Defendant shall communicate this policy to all of its supervisors and managers.

- (ii) Defendant agrees that it shall continue to advise all managers and supervisors of their duty to actively monitor their work areas to ensure employees' compliance with the company's sex discrimination and harassment policy, and to report any incidents and/or complaints of sex harassment, sexual harassment and/or retaliation of which they become aware to the department charged with handling such complaints.
- (iii) Defendant agrees that it will include the manager's or supervisor's compliance with its sex discrimination and antiharassment policy as an element in manager and supervisor appraisals and to link such evaluations directly to their salary and bonuses.
- (iv) Defendant agrees that it shall include a commitment to prevention of sexual harassment and/or retaliation for reporting sexual harassment as a criterion for qualification for supervisory or management positions.
- (d) Sexual Harassment Training.
  - (i) Defendant agrees that it shall continue to provide annual sex

24

25

discrimination and sexual harassment training to all employees, and supervisors; to provide sex discrimination and sexual harassment training to all new employees during employee orientation (The nature of training during orientation of new employees may be via videotape or other format); to provide sex discrimination and sexual harassment training to all senior management officials; and to provide training to all persons charged with the handling of complaints of sex discrimination, sexual harassment and/or retaliation in the workplace, and the techniques for investigating and stopping it. This training shall include issues regarding sex discrimination and sexual harassment as it may affect employees and/or customers of the Defendant. Defendant understands and agrees that this training, particularly that directed towards senior management officials, may require one-on-one training or educational sessions.

- (ii) Defendant agrees that all training required by this Decree shall be conducted by educators, consultants or attorneys experienced in the area of sexual harassment training, with the exception that new employee orientation and annual training may be conducted by the Human Resources Department of the W Hotel Seattle using, as its basis, its existing Power Point presentation.
- (iii) Defendant agrees that it shall require the General Manager

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
Seattle District Office
909 First Avenue, Suite 400
Seattle, Washington 98104-1061

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or a member of the Executive Committee in addition to the Human Resources Director to introduce all sexual harassment training to communicate the Defendant's commitment to its Statement of Zero-Tolerance Policy and anti-harassment policy.

#### D. Reporting

- 21. Six months following the entry of this Decree and every six months thereafter for the duration of the Decree, the Defendant will send the EEOC a written report of individuals who complained of sexual harassment during the prior sixmonth period.
- 22. Defendant shall submit a final report to EEOC 30 days before the Consent Decree expires containing a statement that it has complied with all the terms of this Consent Decree.

#### E. Posting

post a notice in the form of Exhibit 2 attached to this Decree in prominent and conspicuous location (s) in or near the employee cafeteria, lunchroom or other place within the Defendant's premises where employees tend to gather. The notice shall remain posted for the duration of this Decree. In the event that the persons and/or departments to whom individuals should make complaints alleging discrimination and/or retaliation change during the term of the Decree, such that the information contained on the notice is no longer accurate, the Defendant shall immediately prepare a new notice that contains the correct information. The Defendant shall thereupon promptly replace the old notices with the revised notices. The Defendant shall maintain a copy of this Decree in its Personnel Office for any employee who wishes to

attle, Washington 98104-1061 Telephone: (206) 220-6883 Facsimile: (206) 220-6911 TDD: (206) 220-6882 review it.

2

1

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

111 18

19

20

23

25

# VIII. ENFORCEMENT

If the EEOC concludes that the Defendant has breached this 24. agreement, it may bring an action in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle to enforce this Consent Decree. Before bringing an action for breach of the Decree, the EEOC shall first give the Defendant ten (10) days notice. The EEOC and the Defendant shall use that 10-day period for good faith efforts to resolve the matter.

# IX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

25. The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the duration of the Decree.

# X. DURATION AND TERMINATION

26. This Decree shall be in effect for two (2) years, commencing with the date the Decree is filed. If the EEOC petitions the court for breach of agreement, and the court finds the Defendant to be in violation of the terms of the Consent Decree, the court may extend this Consent Decree.

111

III

21

22

24

1	XI. CONCLUSION									
2	<ol><li>The provisions of this Consent Decree are not binding on the parties until</li></ol>									
3	the authorized representatives of each party sign and the court enters the Consent									
4	Decree in the court.									
5	DATED this 25th day of Avil, 2002.									
DATED this 2 day of 7 , 2002.										
7										
8	A. LUIS LUCERO, JR. Regional Attorney	GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel								
9	KATHRYN OLSON Supervisory Trial Attorney									
10	CARMEN FLORES									
11	Senior Trial Attorney									
12	DV. A Sin Charles									
13	BY: (1 ) (1) (1) (1) (1) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY									
14	COMMISSION Seattle District Office									
15	909 First Avenue, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98104	Office of the General Counsel 1801 "L" Street, N.W.								
16	Telephone (206) 220-6893	Washington, D.C. 20507								
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs									
18										
19 	BY:									
20	ELIZABETH K. REEVE REEVE SHIMA, P.C. Attorneys at Law 500 Union Street, Ste. 800									
21										
22	Seattle, WA 98101-3920									
23	Attorney	s for Defendant								
24	111									
25										
I										

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
Seattle District Office
909 First Avenue, Suite 400
Seattle, Washington 98104-1061
Telephone: (206) 220-6883
Facsimile: (206) 220-6881
TDD: (206) 220-6882

04/1E		17:20		244014		FAX		PAGE 6	32/02	
U4-16-	02 C	ase 2:0	1-cv-007	03-TSZ	Document 30	Filed 04/29/2002 206 220 6955	Page 14 of 17 T-694 P.014/015	F-522		
								1		
								]		
1	XI. CONCLUSION									
2	27. The provisions of this Consent Decree are not binding on the parties until									
1	the authorized representatives of each party sign and the court enters the Consent									
3	Decree in the court									
4	Decr	ree in th	e court					}		
5	DATED this day		of, 2002.							
6						_		,		
7						CAJENDOL VN VOL	INC DEA <b>NC</b>			
8		UIS LUC	CERO, JR comey	₹.		GWENDOLYN YOU Associate General C				
9		HRYN (								
10		-	Trial Atto	пнеу						
11	l .	IMEN Fl ior Trial .	LORES Attorney							
12										
13				NT OPF	PORTUNITY					
14		/MISSIC	DN ict Office							
15			enue, Sui shington			Office of the General 1801 "L" Street, N.V				
			206) 220			Washington, D.C. 2	:0507			
16					<b>4 m</b> 4	m				
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs									
18	ľ	dI.	,		). <u>.</u>					
19	BY:	ABETH	K. REEV	NIYC /E	ewe					
20	REE	VE SHI	MA, P.C.					1		
21	500		treet, Ste					}		
22	Seat	tie, WA	98101-39	920						
23	Attorneys for Defendant									
24	111									
25	<i>-</i>									
رے										
						U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT	Seuttle District O	ffice		
							909 First Aveyus, Suit Scottin, Weshington 98104 Telephone: (206) 250-	-1061		
ij	CON	SENT D	ECREE -	13			Facilitis (206) 220 TOD: (206) 220-	-6911		

# EEOC ET AL V. STARWOOD HOTEL & RESORTS WORLDWIDE, dbe W SEATTLE HOTEL

CAUSE NO. C01-703Z

Cumiford: \$60,000.00

Small: \$25,000.00

MurphY: \$15,000.00

**EXHIBIT 1** 

# STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS WORLDWIDE, INC., dba W SEATTLE HOTEL NOTICE TO ALL EMPLOYEES POSTED PURSUANT TO A CONSENT DECREE

This notice has been posted pursuant to an Order of the Court, approving the Consent Decree entered in resolution of a lawsuit brought by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") against Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., dba W Seattle Hotel ("W Hotel") in May 21, 2001 in the Federal District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle. The Consent Decree resolves EEOC's claims of sexual harassment against the W Hotel and enjoins the W Hotel from certain conduct prohibited by law. The W Hotel denies the allegations of the EEOC and affirms its commitment to compliance with laws against discrimination.

Federal law and the Consent Decree prohibit sexual harassment or other discrimination against any individual because of his or her sex.

Federal law also prohibits retaliation against any individual by an employer because the individual complains of discrimination, cooperates with any W Hotel or government investigation of a charge of discrimination, participates as a witness or potential witness in any investigation or legal proceeding, or otherwise exercises his or her rights under law.

Any employee who is found to have retaliated against any other employee because such employee participated in this lawsuit will be subject to substantial discipline, up to and including immediate discharge.

**S**hould you have any complaints of discrimination, you should contact the Human Resources Director or the General Manager of W Seattle Hotel or the Human Resources Department in White Plains, New York.

Employees have the right to bring complaints of discrimination and/or harassment to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Seattle District Office at 909 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue, Suite 400, Seattle, WA 98104-1061, 206/220-6883, 1-800-699-4000, or the Washington Human Rights Commission at 711 S. Capitol Way, Suite 402, Olympia, WA 98504-2490, 360/753-6770.

This is an official notice and shall not be defaced by anyone. This notice shall remain prominently posted in the employee lunchroom/break room at W Seattle Hotel until April 30, 2004. This Official Notice shall not be altered, defaced, covered or obstructed by any other material.

**EXHIBIT 2** 

ORDER

The Court having considered the foregoing stipulated agreement of the parties.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the foregoing consent decree be, and the same is, approved as the final decree of this Court in full settlement of this action. This lawsuit is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs or attorneys' fees to any party. The Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing the consent decree approved herein.

DATED this 29 Mayof abul, 2002.

THOMAS S. ZILLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

909 First Averue, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98104-1061 Telephone: (206) 220-6883 Facsimile: (206) 220-6911 TDD: (206) 220-6882