<b>.</b>	Case 2:03-cv-02768-JLR Document 1-1 Filed 09/05/2003 Page 1 of 5 FILEDFILEDENTEREDLODGEDRECEIVED
1 2 3 4 5 6	A. LUIS LUCERO, JR. REGIONAL ATTORNEY KATHRYN OLSON, SUPERVISORY TRIAL ATTORNEY CARMEN FLORES, SENIOR TRIAL ATTORNEY EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 909 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TELEPHONE: (206) 220-6893
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 10	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, CV03 2768
11 12 13	Plaintiff, V.
14	ELDORADO STONE, LLC ) COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMAND
15 16	Defendant.
16 17	NATURE OF THE ACTION
18	This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the
19	Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices and to provide
20	appropriate relief to Maria C. Chavez, Eva Cortez, Andrea Weber, Greg Johnson, Brady
21	Prouty and Karen Hunt ("charging parties"). The Equal Employment Opportunity
22	Commission alleges that defendant sexually harassed Ms.Chavez and Ms.Hunt and,

23 retaliated against Ms. Cortez, Ms. Weber, Mr. Johnson and Mr. Prouty when they

24 opposed discrimination against Ms. Chavez and Ms. Hunt. Defendant also failed to

25 take prompt corrective action to remedy the harassment, thus forcing Ms. Hunt to

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Seattle District Office 909 First Avenue, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98104-1061 Telephone: (206) 220-6883 Facsimile: (206) 220-6881 TDD: (206) 220-6882

the warned [U.S. gov't)

constructively discharged from her job. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief including
 pecuniary and nonpecuniary compensatory and punitive damages and injunctive relief
 on behalf of these charging parties who are or were employed by defendant and who
 experienced sexual harassment, retaliation and/or constructive discharge.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331,
 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections
 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §
 2000e et seq. ("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §
 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within
 the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Western District of
 Washington.

## **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the
 "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the
 administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized
 to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1).

At all relevant times, defendant, Eldorado Stone LLP has continuously
 been a corporation doing business in the State of Washington and has continuously
 had at least 15 employees.

5. At all relevant times, defendant has continuously been an employer
engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g)
and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-(b), (g) and (h).

25

5

14

## STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Ms. Chavez,
 Ms. Cortez, Ms. Weber, Ms. Hunt, Mr.Johnson and Mr. Prouty each filed a charge of
 discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission alleging violations
 of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have
 been fulfilled.

7 7. Since at least January 14, 2002, defendant engaged in unlawful
employment practices at its Carnation, Washington facility in violation of Sections
703(a) and 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) and 3(a). Defendant subjected
Ms. Chavez and Ms. Hunt to sexual harassment; constructively discharged Ms. Hunt
and subjected Ms. Weber, Ms. Cortez, Mr. Johnson and Mr. Prouty to retaliation for
opposing Ms. Chavez's and Ms. Hunt's discrimination.

8. The effect of the unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above has been to deprive Ms. Chavez, Ms. Cortez, Ms. Weber, Ms. Hunt, Mr. Johnson and Mr. Prouty of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees, and adversely affect their terms and conditions of employment.

9. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 abovewere intentional.

10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above,
were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of
Ms. Chavez, Ms. Cortez, Ms. Weber, Ms. Hunt, Mr. Johnson and Mr. Prouty.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

25

23

24

1

13

14

15

16

17

Grant a permanent injunction enjoining defendant and its officers, agents,

Α.

successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from
 engaging in sex discrimination and retaliation against employees who oppose
 discrimination.

B. Order defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and
programs which prevent its employees from being subjected to sexual harassment and
retaliation for opposing practices that they believe are unlawful under federal antidiscrimination laws and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful
employment practices.

9 C. Order defendant to make whole charging parties by providing appropriate
10 back pay with prejudgment interest, and front pay in amounts to be determined at trial,
11 and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful
12 employment practices described above, including but not limited to, reinstatement.

D. Order defendant to make whole charging parties by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraph 7 above, including without limitation medical expenses, job search expenses and other past and future out-of-pocket expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.

E. Order defendant to make whole charging parties by providing
compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful
practices complained of in paragraph 7 above, including without limitation emotional
pain, suffering, and loss of enjoyment of life, in amounts to determined at trial.

F. Order defendant to pay charging parties punitive damages for its
malicious and reckless conduct described in paragraph 7 above, in amounts to be
determined at trial.

25

G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the

٢	Case 2:03-cv-02768-JLR Document 1-1 Filed 09/05/2003 Page 5 of 5
1	public interest.
2	H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.
3	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
4	The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its
5	complaint.
6	DATED this 5th day of September, 2003.
7	
8	A. LUIS LUCERO, JR. ERIC S. DREIBAND Regional Attorney General Counsel
9	KATHRYN OLSONJAMES L. LEESupervisory Trial AttorneyDeputy General Counsel
10 11	CARMEN FLORES GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Senior Trial Attorney Associate General Counse!
11	Senior Trial Attorney Associate General Counsel
12	BY: a. Lins Succes 4
14	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
15	
16	909 First Avenue, Suite 4001801 "L" Street NWSeattle, Washington 98104Washington, D.C. 20507Telephone (206) 220-6893Image: Construction of the second strength of the second
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff
18	Automoyo tor ritantin
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Seattle District Office 909 First Avenue, Suite 400
	Seattle, Washington 98104-1061 Telephone: (206) 220-6883 Facsimile: (206) 220-6911
1	COMPLAINT FAGE 5 TDD: (206) 220-6882