ORIGINAL

ANNA Y. PARK, CA SBN 164242
U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
255 East Temple Street, 4th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-1083
Facsimile: (213) 894-1301

CONNIE LIEM, TX SBN 791113
U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
401 B Street, Suite 510
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 557-7284
Facsimile: (619) 557-7274

Attorneys for Plaintiff
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT

FILED __RECEIVED

_ENTERED__SERVED ON

COUNSEL ACAD TEE OF RECORD

2005 DEC 23 A 11: 16

ELENAUS OF THE TOTURT DISTRICT OF LEVAUS

Harry State Committee Comm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Plaintiff,

V.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MGM MIRAGE, MANDALAY CORP., and MANDALAY BAY RESORT GROUP, collectively d/b/a Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV-S-05-1101-PMP-PAL

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT- CIVIL RIGHTS EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et seq.)

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 ("Title VII") to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, female, and unlawful retaliation against employees who engaged in protected activities in violation of

1 | T
2 | ('
3 | an
4 | su
5 | en
6 | T
7 | N
8 | ('
9 | Se
10 | an

Title VII. Plaintiff United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Commission") alleges that Defendants MGM Mirage, Mandalay Corporation, and Mandalay Bay Resort Group (collectively referred to as "Defendants") subjected Charging Party Orasa Benpard ("Benpard") to a hostile work environment on the basis of sex, female in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII. The Commission further alleges that Defendant subjected Charging Parties Xu Mei ("Mei"), Jinchang Deng ("Deng"), Yin-Fong Hui-Lai ("Hui-Lai"), Hong Situ ("Situ") and other similarly situated individuals to retaliation in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII for opposing the sex discrimination against Benpard and engaging in other protected activities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345.
- 2. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and Section 102 of Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 3. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, is the federal agency charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant MGM Mirage, a Delaware corporation, has been continuously doing business within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. MGM Mirage is the parent

corporation of Defendants Mandalay Resort Group and Mandalay Corporation.

- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Mandalay Corporation, a Nevada corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant MGM Mirage, has been continuously doing business within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant Mandalay Resort Group, a Nevada corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant MGM Mirage, has been continuously doing business within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
- 8. At all relevant times, all Defendants have continuously employed fifteen (15) or more persons. At all relevant times, all Defendants have continuously engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 9. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Benpard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, and Situ filed charges with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendants. The Commission investigated and issued a Letter of Determination finding that Benpard was subjected to a hostile work environment on the basis of sex, female. The Commission also investigated and issued Letters of Determination finding that Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ, and other similarly situated individuals were subjected to a hostile work environment and/or disparate treatment in retaliation for having opposed the sexual harassment against Benpard and for engaging in protected activities. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 10. Since at least March 2003, Defendants have engaged in unlawful employment practices at the Las Vegas facility, known as Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino, in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a)(1) by

subjecting Benpard to a hostile work environment on the basis of sex, female. The sexual harassment that Benpard was subjected to includes, but is not limited to, unwanted touching, intimidation, and leering.

- 11. Since at least March 2003, Defendants have engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Las Vegas facility, known as Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-3(a) by retaliating against Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ and other similarly-situated individuals for having engaged in protected activities, including opposing the sexual harassment. The retaliation against Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ and other similarly-situated individuals includes, but is not limited to, subjecting them to a hostile work environment and/or disparate terms and conditions of employment, including, but not limited to, written and verbal threats, physical assaults, and vandalism of personal property at the workplace. For example, in retaliation for having engaged in protected activities, Deng was subjected to disparate discipline and reduced work hours, and Hui-Lai was subjected to disparate discipline.
- 12. The effect of the practice(s) complained of in paragraphs 10 and 11 above has been to deprive Benpard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ, and other similarly-situated individuals of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees, because of sex, female and/or because of having engaged in protected activity under Title VII.
- 13. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 10 and 11 above were intentional.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 10 and 11 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Benpard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ and other similarly-situated individuals.
 - 15. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforesaid acts,

Benpard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ, and other similarly situated individuals have each suffered emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation and damages, according to proof.

16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforesaid acts, Deng and Situ suffered a loss of earnings in an amount according to proof.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their respective officers, successors, assigns, agents, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex, female;
- B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their respective officers, successors, assigns, agents, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from retaliating against any employee who engages in any protected activity under Title VII;
- C. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities and a non-hostile work environment for female employees, and employees who have engaged in protected activity, which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices;
- D. Order Defendants to make whole Deng and Situ by providing appropriate reinstatement and any back pay with prejudgment interest, front pay in amounts to be determined at trial, and/or other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices;
- E. Order Defendants to make whole Benpard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ, and other similarly situated individuals by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in

1 |

paragraphs 10 and 11 above, including, but not limited to, emotional pain,	
suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to	
be determined at trial;	
F. Order Defendants to pay Benp	ard, Mei, Deng, Hui-Lai, Situ, and
other similarly situated individuals punitive damages for its malicious and reckless	
conduct described in paragraphs 10 and 11 above, in amounts to be determined at	
trial;	
G. Grant such further relief as the	Court deems necessary and proper in
the public interest; and	
H. Award the Commission its cos	ts of this action.
JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its	
complaint.	
	_ 7
Dated: December 21, 2005	Respectfully Submitted,
	BY:
	ANNA Y. PARK Regional Attorney
	CONNIE LIEM Senior Trial Attorney
	Domoi Ima zuminoy
	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 255 E. Temple Street, 4th Floor
	Los Angeles, CA 90012

DECLARATION OF MAILING

I am, and was at the time the herein mentioned mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the above-entitled cause.

I am employed in the Legal Unit of the Los Angeles District Office of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. My business address is Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, San Diego Area Office, 401 B Street, Suite 510, San Diego, CA 92101.

On the date that this declaration was executed, as shown below, I served the foregoing **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT- CIVIL RIGHTS EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION** in a sealed envelope, fully prepaid, by U. S. Mail at San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, which was addressed as follows:

Elayna J. Youchah, Esq. Michael V. Infuso, Esq. SCHRECK BRIGNONE 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, NV 89101

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on Wesember 2/, 2005, at San Diego, California.

Janice K. Magnuson

Paralegal Specialist