IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY			§	
COMMISSION,			§	
			§	
Plaintiff,		§		CIVIL ACTION NO.
			§	
V.			§	
			Š	
			§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
HOUSTON FAST FOODS	8		O	
d/b/a POPEYE'S CHICKEN	\$ \$			
	3		§	
Defendant.		§	3	
			§	
			§	

COMPLAINT

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, by Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment discrimination on the basis of sex and retaliation and to provide a ppropriate relief to a class of female employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff, who were adversely affected by Defendant Houston Fast Foods d/b/a Popeye' s Chicken's unlawful practices. While em ployed by Defendant, Ms. Pratt, Ms. Ratliff and others were subjected to unwelcome comments, touching and propositions of a sexual nature and d isparate terms, conditions and privileges of employment because of their sex, female. Females who complained about the sexual harassment suffered reduced hours and were discharged and constructively discharged by Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345, the United States

District Court has jurisdiction—over the subject m atter of this—civil action. This action is

authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act

of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The unlawful employment practices alleged in this complaint were and are now being committed within the ju risdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division. Venue is appropriate in this court.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Em ployment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC" or "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e)-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. Defendant, Houston Fast Foods d/b/a Popeye's Chicken ("Defendant") is a corporation doing business in the State of Texas and the City of Houston, and has continuously had at least fifteen (15) em ployees. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent for service of process, Farshad Family, 505 West Little York Street, Houston, Texas 77002.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) o f Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Pratt and Ratliff filed charges with the Comm ission alleging violations of Title VII by De fendant. All condition s precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled, including the filing of a timely charge of employment discrimination, and an attempt to conciliate the matter.
- 7. Since at least 2004, Defendant engaged in unlawful em ployment practices, in violation of Section 703(a)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1), at its Houston location.

- 8. Since at least 2004, Defendant engaged in unlawful em ployment practices, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), at its Houston location.
- 9. Since at least 2004, Defendant's manager Anwar "James" Iqbal ("Iqbal") subjected a class of fem ale employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff, to unwelcome comments, touching and propositions of a sexual nature and disparate terms, conditions and privileges of employment because of their sex, female.
- 10. The conduct included, *inter alia*, Iqbal asking for sex, touching the wom en's breasts and buttocks, displaying pictures of a nude male, and grabbing his groin area while asking if the women "wanted some of this."
- 11. The female employees resisted Iqbal's sexual advances and complained about him to members of management to no avail.
- 12. After the females rejected Iqbal's advances and complained, Iqbal reduced their hours and discharged and constructively discharged the women, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff.
- 13. The effect of the practices com plained of in the preceding paragraphs has been to deprive a class of fe male employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff, of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because of their sex, female.
- 14. The effect of the practices com plained of in the preceding paragraphs has been to deprive a class of fe male employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff, of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because they opposed the sexual harassment and complained about Iqbal.
- 15. The unlawful employment practices of which the Comm ission complains in the preceding paragraphs were intentional.

16. The unlawful employment practices complained of in the preceding paragraphs were and are done with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of a class of female employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 17. Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:
 - A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex;
 - B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any unlawful retaliation against individuals who oppose employment practices reasonably believed to be unlawful under Title VII, or against individuals who assist, encourage, and/or support of the hers who oppose employment practices reasonably believed to be unlawful under Title VII;
 - C. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for applicants and employees regardless of their sex, and which erad icate the effects of its p ast and present unlawful employment practices;
 - D. Order Defendant, to make whole a class of female employees, including but not limited to Amy Pratt and Christie R at lift by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be proved at trial, and other affirm ative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to reinstatement, or, in the alternative, to provide front pay.

E. Order Defendant to m ake whole a class of female employees, including but not

limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff by providing compensation for past and

future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful em ployment practices

described in the preceding paragraphs.

F. Order Defendant to m ake whole a class of female employees, including but not

limited to Amy Pratt and Christie Ratliff by providing compensation for past and

future non-pecuniary losses resulting fr om the unlawful em ployment practices

described in the preceding paragraphs, including emotional pain, inconvenience,

and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.

G. Order Defendant to pay a class of fem ale employees, including but not limited to

Amy Pratt and Chris tie Ratliff punitive damages for its malic ious and reckless

conduct, as described above, in an amount to be determined at trial.

H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public

interest.

I. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

COMMISSION

RONALD S. COOPER

General Counsel

JAMES LEE

General Counsel

Deputy

5

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel 1801 L. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507

/s/ Aimee L. McFerren
Aimee L. McFerren
Trial Attorney
Attorney-in-Charge
Kentucky Bar No.: 89912
Southern District No.: 36953
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
COMMISSION
1919 Smith Street, 7th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002-8049
(713) 209-3390
Fax: (713) 209-3402

OF COUNSEL:

Jim Sacher Regional Attorney

Rose Adewale-Mendes Supervisory Trial Attorney Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 1919 Smith Street, 7th Floor Houston, Texas 77002