| Cas | e 2:05-cv-00427-LRH-PAL | Document 141-1 | Filed 09/29/2006 | Page 1 of 6 |
|---|--|--|---|--------------|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Anna Y. Park, CA SBN 164 Victor Viramontes, CA SBI U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYME OPPORTUNITY COMMIS 255 East Temple Street, 4th Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-1083 Facsimile: (213) 894-1083 Facsimile: (213) 894-1083 Facsimile: (213) 894-1301 Connie Liem TX SBN, 791 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYME OPPORTUNITY COMMIS 401 B St., Suite 510 San Diego, CA. 92018 Telephone: (619) 557-7284 Facsimile: (619) 557-7274 Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYME | N 214158 ENT SSION Floor 113 ENT SSION | | |
| 11 | OPPORTUNITY COMMIS | | | |
| 12 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 13 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | U.S. EQUAL EMPLOY OPPORTUNITY COM | | CASE NO.: CV-S-05-0 | 1427-LRH-PAL |
| 16 | Plaintiff, | } | | |
| 17 | v. |) . | EEOC'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLA | AINT |
| 18 | | ý |) -CIVIL RIGHTS) SEX HARASSMENT AND | |
| 19 | CAESARS ENTERTAIN |) | RETALIATION | |
| 20 | et. al., DOES 1-10 INCL |) | | |
| 20 | Defendants | , and) | (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et | - / |
| | | | DEMAND FOR JURY | Y TRIAL |
| 22 | ELINA MASID, et. al., | ý | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff I | ntervenors.) | | |
| 24 | eladou l | | | |
| 25 | NATURE OF THE ACTION | | | |
| 26 | This is a sexual harassment and retaliation action brought by the United States Equal | | | |
| 27 | Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission" or "Plaintiff"), under Title VII | | | |
| 28 | of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, to correct unlawful employment practices on | | | |
| | | | | |

the basis of sex and to provide appropriate relief to the Charging Parties: Jessica Alvarado, 1 Candelaria Turcios, Elina Masid, Maribel Mendoza, Tange Johnson (collectively referred 2 to hereafter as "the Charging Parties"), and a class of similarly situated individuals, who 3 were adversely affected by such practices. The Commission alleges that "the Charging 4 5 Parties" and other similarly situated individuals were sexually harassed or subjected to sexbased harassment during their employment with Defendants: Caesar's Entertainment, Inc., 6 7 Park Place Entertainment Corp., Desert Palace, Inc., Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., Harrah's Operating Company, Inc., Caesar's World, Inc., and Caesar's Palace Corp., d/b/a Caesar's 8 ("Defendant Employers"). The Charging Parties, and other similarly situated 9 Palace individuals were subjected to a hostile work environment perpetuated by Defendants' 10 supervisor(s) and co-workers. The Commission also alleges that the Charging Parties and 11 12 other similarly situated individuals who had complained of the harassment by supervisors were subjected to retaliation in the form of demotion, loss of wages, over-scrutiny, further 13 harassment, discipline or discharge. 14

15

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337,
 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to §§706(f)(1) and (3) and
 707 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and
 (3) and pursuant to §102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §§1981a.

20 2. The employment practices alleged herein to be unlawful were committed
21 within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the State of Nevada.

3. Prior to institution of this lawsuit, the Commission's representatives attempted
to eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged below and to effect voluntary
compliance with Title VII through informal methods of conciliation, conference and
persuasion within the meaning of §§706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C.,
§§2000e-5(f)(1) and (3). All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been
fulfilled.

28 //

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is an agency of the United States of America charged with the 2 administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to 3 bring this action under §§706(f)(1) and (3) and 707 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C., §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) 4 and (3) and 2000e-6(e). 5

5. It is alleged on information and belief that the named Desert Palace, Inc., (a 6 7 Nevada Corp.) dba Caesar's Palace is a privately held company and a wholly owned 8 subsidiary of Caesar's Palace, Corp., (a Delaware Corp.). Caesars Palace, Corp. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caesar's World, Inc. (a Florida Corp.). Caesar's World, Inc., is 9 currently a wholly-owned subsidiary of Harrah's Operating Company, Inc. 10 Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. (a Delaware Corp.) is the parent company of Harrah's Operating 11 Company, Inc. (a Delaware Corp.). 12

6. It is alleged on information and belief that the named Harrah's Entertainment, 13 14 Inc., and Harrah's Operating Company, Inc., are successor entities and/or parent companies of Caesar's Entertainment, Inc., Park Place Entertainment, Corp. and Desert Palace, Inc. 15

16

1

7. At all relevant times, "Defendant Employers," have continuously been and are 17 now doing business in the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and City of Las Vegas, 18 Nevada.

19 8. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of "Defendant Employers," sued as DOES 1 through 10, inclusively, and therefore Plaintiff sues said 20 21 "Defendant Employers" by such fictitious names. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the 22 complaint to name the DOE "Defendant Employers" individually or collectively as they 23 become known. Plaintiff alleges that each of the "Defendant Employers" named as DOES 24 was in some manner responsible for the acts and omissions alleged herein and Plaintiff will 25 amend the complaint to allege such responsibility when same has been ascertained by Plaintiff. 26

9. 27 It is further alleged on information and belief that the named and unnamed 28 defendants in the complaint are mere alter egos of the "Defendant Employers." The

-3-

remaining Defendants are properly named in the complaint.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

All of the acts and failures to act alleged herein were duly performed by and 10. attributable to "Defendant Employers," each acting as a successor, agent, employee or under the direction and control of the others, except as specifically alleged otherwise. Said acts and failures to act were within the scope of such agency and/or employment, and each "Defendant Employer" participated in, approved and/or ratified the unlawful acts and omissions by other "Defendant Employers" complained of herein. Whenever and wherever reference to any act in this Complaint to any act by a defendant employer or "Defendant Employers," such allegations and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each "Defendant Employer" acting individually, jointly and/or severally.

11. At all relevant times, "Defendant Employers" have continuously been 11 employers engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of \S 701 (b), (g) 12 13 and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C., §§ 2000e-1(b), (g) and (h) and §§ 11(b), (g), and (h).

14

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

12. Since on or about January 1, 2000, to the present date, "Defendant 15 Employers" have engaged in unlawful employment practices at its facility in Las Vegas, 16 Nevada in violation of §§703(a), 704(a), and 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C., 17 18 §§2000e- et. seq. The unlawful sexual harassment and sex based harassment in the form of 19 physical and verbal harassment directed at the Charging Parties and other similarly situated individual women impacted the terms and conditions of their employment and created a 20 21 hostile working environment at "Defendant Employers." These practices also included the 22 retaliation against and/or termination of the Charging Parties and other similarly situated individuals for having complained about the sexually hostile work environment. 23

24

13. The impact of the aforementioned conduct deprived the Charging Parties and 25 other similarly situated individuals of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely impacted their employment status because of their sex and in retaliation for 26 27 opposing discriminatory practices or engaging in a protected activity that resulted in adverse 28 employment action.

14. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are willful within the meaning of \S 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S. C., \S 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).

3 15. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional and
4 caused the Charging Parties and other similarly situated individuals to suffer emotional
5 distress.

"Defendant Employers" have acted with malice or reckless indifference to the 16. 6 federally protected rights of the Charging Parties and other similarly situated individuals by 7 subjecting them to harassment consisting of sexually charged conduct, forced vaginal sex, 8 attempted sodomy, forced oral sex, unwelcomed touching, derogatory statements, and 9 obscene and vulgar language. Women were openly asked by supervisor(s) to perform acts 10 of a sexual nature as well as subjected to other derogatory and obscene statements. When 11 the Charging Parties complained to "Defendant Employers" about the harassment they and 12 other similar situated individuals were being subjected to, their requests were either ignored 13 or they were subjected to further harassment. The Charging Parties and other similarly 14 15 situated individuals were also subject to retaliation for opposing discrimination or engaging in a protected activity resulting in an adverse employment action that harmed them. 16

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining "Defendant Employers," its officers,
successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from
engaging in any employment practices that discriminate on the basis of sex and/or from
engaging in unlawful retaliation;

23 24

25

17

18

1

2

B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining "Defendant Employers," their officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex;

C. Order "Defendant Employers" to institute and carry out policies, practices and
programs which provide equal employment opportunities for females which eradicate the
effects of their past and present unlawful employment practices;

-5-

D. Grant a judgment requiring "Defendant Employers" to pay the Charging
 Parties and other similarly situated individuals appropriate back pay, front pay,
 compensatory damages and benefits in an amount to be determined at trial including
 prejudgment interest;

E. Order "Defendant Employers" to make the Charging Parties and other
similarly situated individuals whole by providing affirmative relief necessary to eradicate
the effects of its unlawful practices including, but not limited to, payment of compensatory
damages to Charging Parties and other similarly situated individuals and/or rightful place
employment;

F. Order "Defendant Employers" to pay Charging Parties and other similarly
situated individuals punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

12

15

16

17

18

G. Award the Commission its costs in this action; and

H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in
the public interest.

JURY DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

19 20 Respectfully Submitted, 21 22 BY: PARK 23 CONNIE LIEM VICTOR VIRAMONTES 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff 25 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 26 255 E. Temple Street, 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012 27 28

-6-