U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SEP 2 9 2000 **DALLAS DIVISION** CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY** COMMISSION, Deputy CIVIL ACTION NO. Plaintiff, COMPLAINT v. 3-00C V2139-M INTERSTATE BRANDS CORPORATION, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of race and to provide appropriate relief to Stephanie D. Enfield, who was denied a promotion based upon her race, Black.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1981a.
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(a).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant, Interstate Brands Corporation, (hereinafter "Interstate") has continuously been and is now doing business in the State of Texas and has continuously had at least fifteen employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Interstate has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Stephanie D. Enfield filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, by the Defendant Interstate. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. During the employment of Stephanie D. Enfield, the Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 703(a)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1) and Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a) by denying Stephanie D. Enfield a promotion on the basis of her race, Black.
- 8. The result of the foregoing practices has been to deprive Stephanie D. Enfield of equal employment opportunities because of her race.

COMPLAINT 2

- 9. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above were intentional.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Stephanie D. Enfield.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant Interstate Brands Corporation, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of race, or which facilitates, condones or encourages racial discrimination.
- B. Order the Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for Black employees, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order the Defendant to make whole Stephanie D. Enfield by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest in amounts to be proved at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to rightful place promotion of Ms. Enfield.
- D. Order the Defendant to make whole Stephanie D. Enfield by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraph 7 above, including but not limited to, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order the Defendant to make whole Stephanie D. Enfield, by providing compensationCOMPLAINT

for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 7 and 8, above, in amounts to be determined at trial.

F. Order the Defendant to pay Stephanie D. Enfield punitive or exemplary damages for its intentional, malicious conduct or reckless indifference described and referenced in paragraph 7 above, in an amount to be determined at trial.

G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

H. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

G. GREGORY STEWART General Counsel

ROBERT A. CANINO

Regional Attorney Oklahoma Bar No. 011782

SUZANNE M. ANDERSON Supervisory Trial Attorney Texas Bar No. 14009470

COMPLAINT 4

WILLIAM C. BACKHAUS
Sr. Trial Attorney
Texas Back

Texas Bar No. 01493850

Fax No. (214) 655-3331

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Dallas District Office 207 South Houston Street 3rd Floor Dallas, Texas 75202 Tel No. (214) 655-3334