Filed 10/30/2006

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:06-cv-04705-TEH Document 27

12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 (3) ("Title VII") and §102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco division.

### **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

3. This action is appropriate for assignment to San Francisco because the unlawful employment practices alleged were and are being committed within Lake County, the employment records relevant to the unlawful practices are located in Lake County, and because Defendant's principal place of business is in Lake County.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Commission") is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by §706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, §2000-e5(f)(1) and (3).
- 5. Defendant Sutherland is a California company, doing business in the State of California, in the County of Lake, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Sutherland has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce, within the meaning of Section 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000-e5(b), (g) and (h).
- 9. At all relevant times, Defendant Sutherland was the sole employer of Charging Party for purposes of Title VII liability.

## **STATEMENT OF CLAIMS** CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act: Sex Discrimination, Sexual Harassment Tangible Action, Constructive Discharge.

10. More than 30 days prior to the institution fo this lawsuit, Charging Party filed her charge with Plaintiff Commission, alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

- 11. Since December, 2003, when Charging Party was hired as an Area Coach in Training/RGM Trainer, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Ukiah and Clearlake, California, locations in violation of Section 703(a)(1). These practices include, but not limited to, employees at the Clearlake franchise subjecting Charging Party to sexual and gender harassment creating a hostile, abusive and intimidating work environment by, among other things, calling her sexually derogatory names, sexually harassing other female employees and customers, taunting and physically intimidating Charging Party and subjecting Charging Party to pornographic pictures. Despite Charging Party's efforts to complain to management about the harassment the conduct continued and no prompt or effective action was taken to end the harassment, leading to the tangible employment action of constructive discharge in March 2004.
- 12. The effect of the actions complained of in Paragraph 11 above has been to deprive the Charging Party of equal employment opportunities and has otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her sex.
- 13. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 11 above were intentional.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 11 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of the Charging Party.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with Defendant, from engaging in discrimination against its employees, including harassment based on sex.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which prohibit harassment based on sex and which serve to eradicate the effects of their unlawful employment practices.

Date: \_\_\_October 30\_\_\_\_\_, 2006 \_\_\_\_/s/ William R. Tamayo\_\_\_\_\_ WILLIAM R. TAMAYO Regional Attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Case 3.00-cv-04705-1EF	Document 27	Filed 10/30/2006	Page 5 01 5	
1					
2	Date:October 30	_, 2006	_/s/ Jonathan T.	Peck_	
3	<del></del>		_/s/ Jonathan T. JONATHAN T. J Supervisory Tria	PECK l Attorney	
4			-	·	
5	Date:October 30	_, 2006	/s/ Evangelina EVANGELINA F	a Fierro Hernan	dez
6			Senior Trial Attor		INDEZ
7					
8					
9			Equal Employme Commission	ent Opportunit	y
10			San Francisco Dis 350 The Embarca	strict Office	
11			San Francisco, Ca	alifornia 94105	
12					
13					
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DISCRIMINATION Page 5				