IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

| (1) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, |)) |
|--|--------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) Case No. CIV-03-1374-F |
| (2) BRIDGETT GREENE, |) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Plaintiff Intervenor, |) |
| v. | FILED |
| (1) GREAT PLAINS COCA-COLA | - |
| BOTTLING CO., INC., | OCT 2 1 2003 |
| Defendant. | MS. DIST MENT OF BUTY |
| | H |

PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR COMPLAINT

1. This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, and Oklahoma law, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, religion, retaliation, and to remedy intentional tortious conduct and negligent conduct, and to provide appropriate relief to Bridgett Greene.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 451, 1331, 1337 and 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981A.
- 3. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful, as well as the alleged acts of tortious and negligent conduct, were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

- 4. Plaintiff, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), filed a complaint against Defendant in the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma on September 24, 2003.
- 5. As Plaintiff Intervenor, Bridgett Greene, falls within the category of "other similarly stated individuals" as alleged in the Original Complaint filed by the Equal Opportunity Employment Commission. Bridgett Greene has an unconditional right to intervene in the case filed by the Commission pursuant to Section 706(f) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).
- In the event that the Court finds Bridgett Greene does not have right of intervention pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b), Bridget Greene's Intervenor Complaint and the Complaint filed in the above-styled litigation have questions of law and fact in common. Therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b) intervention is permissive under the circumstances.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been and is now doing business in the State of Oklahoma and city of Oklahoma City, and has had at least fifteen employees.
- 8. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 701 (b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b), (g) and (h).
- 9. All conditions precedent to the institution of this action have been met in that Bridgett Greene falls within the class of persons defined as "other similarly situated individuals" as alleged in the Original Complaint filed by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission herein.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

SEXUALLY HOSTILE WORKING ENVIRONMENT

10. Since at least December 1, 1998, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII by creating, permitting and condoning a sexually hostile work

environment.

- Defendant failed to remedy the hostile environment despite notice of the hostile environment.
- 12. As a result Bridgett Greene is entitled to relief as set forth below in her prayer for relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

RETALIATION

- 13. Defendant retaliated against Bridgett Greene for engaging in protected activity by harassing her and constructively terminating in violation of Title VII.
- 14. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF OKLAHOMA LAW

- 15. By subjecting Bridgett Greene to a sexually hostile working environment, Defendant violated Oklahoma law and public policy.
- 16. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF OKLAHOMA LAW

- 17. By subjecting Bridgett Greene to retaliation her based upon her engaging in protected conduct, the Defendant violated Oklahoma law and public policy.
- 18. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL

DISTRESS AND OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT

- 19. By subjecting, Bridgett Greene to retaliation and sexual harassment, Defendant engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct and intentionally inflicted emotional distress upon Bridgett Greene.
- 20. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION

- 21. Defendant was negligent in its supervision of Bridgett Greene's supervisors and coworkers.
- 22. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

NEGLIGENCE

- 23. Defendant was negligent in its retention of Bridgett Greene's supervisors and coworkers.
- 24. As a result, Bridgett Greene is entitled to the relief set forth below in her prayer for relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Bridgett Greene respectfully requests the following relief:

A. back pay;

- B. interest;
- C. pecuniary compensatory damages;
- D. non-pecuniary compensatory damages, including but not limited to damages for pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, stress, and anxiety;
- E. punitive damages;
- F. reinstatement, or in the alternative, front pay in lieu of reinstatement;
- G. costs;
- H. reasonable attorneys fees:
- I. such other legal or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted.

Russell L. Mulinix, OBA #6494

MULINIX OGDEN HALL

ANDREWS & LUDLAM, P.L.L.C.

3030 Oklahoma Tower

210 Park Avenue

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

(405) 232-3800 - Telephone

(405) 232-8999 - Facsimile

rusty@lawokc.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR, BRIDGETT GREENE

ATTORNEY LIEN CLAIMED