FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA **JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

2006 FEB -6 P 2: 30

CULTURE DE CESTRICT COURT L'ACTURE DEST CE FLORIDA CACALER DE LORIDA

UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT **OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,** Plaintiff,

LA SHONDA BURNS Intervenor-Plaintiff, Civil Action No.: 3:05-CV-990-J-32 TEM

- Milan

v.

MOTHERS WORK, INC. D/B/A MOTHERHOOD, Defendant.

INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFF, LASHONDA BURNS' COMPLAINT AND **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Intervenor- Plaintiff, LASHONDA BURNS, by and through her undersigned

counsel, hereby sues Defendant, Mothers Work, Inc., D/B/A Motherhood (hereinafter,

"Mothers Work"), and alleges the following:

Introduction

1. This action involves claims of pregnancy discrimination and retaliation for Plaintiff's objections to Defendant's discriminatory practices, pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq. 1991, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, and pursuant to the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Florida Statutes §760.01, et seq. and the Florida Whistleblower Act, Florida Statute §448.101 et seq. and is brought by Intervenor Burns (hereafter "Burns").

Jurisdiction, Venue and Parties

This action arises, in part, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2. 42 U.S.C. §2000 et seq., as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991. This Court has original jurisdiction to grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1332(a)(1), and 1343(4). This Court is vested with jurisdiction to order an injunction, front pay, back pay or any other equitable relief as may be proper, and compensatory and punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§1981a and 2000e – 2(a) and 5(k). This action also arises in part under the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Florida Statutes §760.01 <u>et seq.</u> (The "FCRA"), and the Florida Whistleblower Act, Florida Statutes §448.101 <u>et seq.</u> This Court has jurisdiction over all state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1332(a)(1) and 1367. The state claims herein are for damages in excess of \$75,000.00 and are therefore within the jurisdiction of this Court.

3. Venue is proper in the Jacksonville Division of the Middle District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) and (c) because Defendant has a place of business located in St. Augustine, Florida, where Burns was employed and suffered the discriminatory and retaliatory actions complained of, such that the unlawful employment practices giving rise to this action were committed within this judicial district.

4. Plaintiff, EEOC, made a finding of pregnancy discrimination and retaliation against Mothers Work and filed suit in its own right on behalf of Burns, giving her the right to intervene as a plaintiff. Burns brings her claims herein as an intervening plaintiff. A copy of the EEOC's letter of determination is attached to this Complaint as Intervenor's Exhibit "A."

5. Intervenor-Plaintiff Burns is an individual who resides in St. John's County, Florida during the time of her employment with Mothers Work. Burns was employed by Mothers Work in the position of Sales Associate and Assistant Manager. She is female and is therefore protected from discrimination in employment under the

FCRA and Title VII.

6. Defendant, Mothers Work, is incorporated in Delaware and has its principal executive office in Pennsylvania, and at all times material was and is engaged in providing retail sale of maternity clothing and items in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico, including in the State of Florida, and including in the city of St. Augustine, Florida. Mothers Work is an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b) and §760.02(7), Florida Statutes and §448.101(3), Florida Statutes because it employs fifteen or more employees for each working day and each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or proceeding calendar year.

Compliance with Procedural Requirements

7. Burns timely filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Florida Commission on Human Relations, alleging pregnancy discrimination and retaliation. A copy of the Charge of Discrimination is attached as Exhibit "B." Burns has satisfied any and all administrative requirements precedent to the filing of this action pursuant to Title VII and §750.11, Florida Statutes. This action has been filed after receipt of the Letter of Determination from the EEOC attached as Exhibit "A," and more than 180 days after Burns filed her charge with the FCHR, with no action having been taken by the FCHR on her charge prior to the filing of this action.

General Allegations Applicable to all Counts

8. Burns was employed with Mothers Work as a Sales Associate and Assistant Manager from approximately November 2001, until her discriminatory and retaliatory termination in August 2004.

9. At all times material in her employment with Mothers Work, Burns was a fully qualified, competent and dedicated employee. Burns received reprimands and other disciplinary action after she objected to Defendant's discriminatory practices. The discipline received after her objections, including her suspension without pay while her claims of discrimination were investigated by Mothers Work, was not warranted and was in retaliation for her objections.

10. Since at least October 2003, Mothers Work has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its St. Augustine, Florida location in violation of Ms. Burns' rights under \$703(a)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. \$2000e - 2(a) and Florida Statutes \$760.01 et seq. and Florida Statutes \$448.101, et seq., including:

A. Burns began working for Mothers Work at its St. Augustine, Florida store in or about November 2001.

B. Burns performed her duties without incident until on or about October
 2003.

C. In or about November 2003 and continuing throughout approximately June 2004, Burns began to interview applicants for available Sales Associate positions at the location where Burns was employed.

D. Defendant failed to hire applicants who were visibly pregnant or who through interview questions it learned the applicants were pregnant.

E. Burns opposed Defendant's practices of not hiring qualified pregnant women into Sales Associate positions.

F. Mothers Work subsequently advised Burns that it believed Burns to be pregnant.

G. On or about late June through early August 2004, Defendant

disciplined and subsequently terminated Burns in retaliation for her opposition to Mothers Work's pattern and practice of not hiring qualified pregnant women and/or because it believed that Burns was pregnant.

11. The effect of the unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 10 above has been to deprive Burns of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of her sex, female.

12. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional.

13. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to Burns' Federal and State law rights.

14. All conditions precedent to filing this action have been performed, have occurred, or have been waived.

15. Burns has engaged the services of undersigned legal counsel and is obligated to pay legal counsel their fees incurred in the prosecution of her claims in this action.

<u>Count I Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as Amended</u> Pregnancy Discrimination

16. Burns repeats and realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15.

17. Burns had all the necessary qualifications and did, in fact, adequately and effectively perform all of the duties of her position.

18. The adverse employment action to which Burns was subjected was based upon her gender, female, in that Mothers Work believed that she was pregnant and

subjected her to the adverse employment action as a consequence of this belief.

19. Mothers Work, by and through its owners, agents, directors, and/or supervisors violated 42 U.S.C. \$2000e - 2(a) and 5(k) by perpetrating, tolerating and/or condoning pregnancy discrimination in the workplace and subjecting Burns to adverse employment action due to such discriminatory practices.

20. Burns suffered an adverse employment action when Mothers Work suspended her without pay and terminated her due to its belief that she was pregnant.

21. The conduct of Mothers Work, by and through its agents, employees, managers, partners, supervisors and/or corporate executives, and Mothers Work's failure to investigate and/or to take prompt remedial action to prevent continued discrimination deprived Burns of her statutory rights under Title VII.

22. Neither a legitimate non-discriminatory reason nor bona fide occupational qualification exists to justify Mothers Work's intentional disparate treatment of Burns. Mothers Work failed to institute an adequate complaint procedure and further failed to take reasonable care to prevent incorrectly reported pregnancy discrimination.

23. The actions of Mothers Work and/or its agents, were willful, wanton, intentional and with malice or with reckless indifference to Burns Federal and State protected rights, entitling Burns to damages in the form of compensatory and punitive damages pursuant to \$2000(e) - 5(g) to punish Mothers Work for these actions and to deter Mothers Work, and others, from such actions in the future.

24. The actions of Mothers Work make reinstatement ineffective as a make whole remedy, entitling Burns to front pay in lieu of reinstatement.

25. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the actions of Mothers

Work, Burns has suffered past and future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of dignity, emotional distress, and humiliation as well as other non-pecuniary losses and intangible injuries.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Plaintiff La Shonda Burns, demands judgment against Defendant Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood, for back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of this action and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Count II Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as Amended Retaliation

26. Burns repeats and realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

27. Mothers Work violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as Amended, 42 USC § 2000e-3(a), by retaliating against Burns for complaining about the pregnancy discrimination to which she was subjected and Mothers Work's discriminatory practice of not hiring pregnant applicants and firing applicants it believed were pregnant, which is an unlawful employment practice under Title VII. Ultimately, this retaliation culminated in Burns unlawful termination in August, 2003.

28. The actions of Mothers Work and/or its agents were willful, wanton, intentional and with malice or reckless indifference to Burns federally protected rights, entitling Burns to damages in the form of compensatory and punitive damages pursuant to \$2000e - 5(g) to punish Mothers Work for these actions and to deter Mothers Work,

and others, from such actions in the future.

29. The actions of Mothers Work make reinstatement ineffective as a make . whole remedy, entitling Burns to front pay in lieu of reinstatement.

30. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the actions of Mothers Work's actions, Burns has suffered past and will suffer future pecuniary losses, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience and mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of dignity, emotional distress, and humiliation as well as other non-pecuniary losses and intangible injuries.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Plaintiff La Shonda Burns, demands judgment against Defendant Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood, for back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of this action and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Count III Violation of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992

Pregnancy Discrimination

31. Burns repeats and realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

32. The discrimination to which Burns was subjected was based upon her gender, female, in that Mothers Work believed that she was pregnant and subjected her to the adverse employment action as a consequence of this belief.

33. Mothers Work violated Florida Statute §760.01, <u>et seq.</u>, by intentionally discriminating against Burns and subjecting her to different terms and conditions of

employment on the basis of her sex, including termination from employment, as more specifically alleged in the general allegation section of this Complaint above.

34. There was no legitimate non-discriminatory reason to justify Mothers Work intentional disparate treatment of burns.

35. The actions of Mothers Work and/or its agents, employees, managers, supervisors, and/or corporate executives were willful, wanton, intentional and were performed with malice or with reckless indifference to Burns legally protected rights, entitling Burns to damages in the form of compensatory and punitive damages pursuant to Florida Statutes §760.11(5) to punish Mothers Work for its action and to deter it, and others, from taking such actions in the future.

36. The actions of Mothers Work make reinstatement ineffective as a make whole remedy, entitling Burns to front pay in lieu of reinstatement.

37. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the of Mothers Work's actions, Burns has suffered past and will suffer future pecuniary losses, emotional pain suffering, inconvenience and mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of dignity, emotional distress, and humiliation as well as other non-pecuniary losses and intangible injuries.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Plaintiff La Shonda Burns, demands judgment against Defendant Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood, for back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of this action and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

<u>Count III Violation of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992</u> Retaliation

38. Burns repeats and realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

39. Mothers Work violated Florida Statutes §760.10(7) by retaliating against Burns for complaining about the pregnancy discrimination to which she was subjected and to the discriminatory practice of Mothers Work in not hiring pregnant applicants and firing applicants it believed to be pregnant, an unlawful employment practice under Florida Statutes §760.10(1). Ultimately this retaliation culminated in Burns' unlawful termination in August, 2003.

40. The actions of Mothers Work and/or its agents were willful, wanton, intentional and were performed with malice or with reckless indifference to Burns protected rights, entitling Burns to damages in the form of compensatory and punitive damages pursuant to Florida Statutes §760.11(5) to punish Mothers Work for these actions and to deter Mothers Work, and others, from such actions in the future.

41. The actions of Mothers Work make reinstatement ineffective as a make whole remedy, entitling Burns to front pay in lieu of reinstatement.

42. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Mothers Work's actions, Burns has suffered past and future pecuniary losses, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience and mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of dignity, emotional distress, and humiliation as well as other non-pecuniary losses and intangible injuries.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Plaintiff La Shonda Burns, demands judgment against Defendant Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood, for back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of this action and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Count V Violation of the Florida Whistleblower Act

43. Burns repeats and realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

44. Burns objected to and/or refused to participate in Mothers Work's discriminatory practice of not hiring pregnant applicants and firing employees that it believed to be pregnant. Burns objected to her direct supervisor, and to corporate management. Burns was suspended, without pay, after her complaint to corporate management, while corporate management said it was investigating her complaint. Corporate management either did not conduct an investigation, or conducted an inadequate investigation of Burns' complaint.

45 As a result of Burns' objection to and/or refusal to participate in the discriminatory practices of Mothers Work, she was subjected to retaliatory adverse employment action, including the termination of her employment, in violation of Florida Statute § 448.101, et. seq.

46. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Mothers Work's actions, Burns has suffered past and will suffer future pecuniary losses, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience and mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of dignity,

emotional distress, and humiliation as well as other non-pecuniary losses and intangible injuries.

47. The actions of Mothers Work make reinstatement ineffective as a make whole remedy, entitling Burns to front pay in lieu of reinstatement.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Intervenor-Plaintiff La Shonda Burns, demands judgment against Defendant Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood, for back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, compensatory damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of this action and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Demand for Jury Trial

Intervenor-Plaintiff, La Shonda Burns, demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in each Count of the Intervenor-Complaint.

Respectfull

Ada A. Hammond, Esq. Florida Bar No.: 318302 Charles M. Johnston, Esq. Florida Bar No.: 264741 JOHNSTON & HAMMOND 2223 Oak Street Jacksonville, Florida 32204 Tel.: (904) 358-7400 Fax: (904) 358-7301 Attorneys for Lashonda Burns

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

furnished by eFacsimile (877) 432-9652 and U.S. Mail to Mark E. Zelek, Esq., and

Douglas C. Adams, Esq., Morgan, Lewis & Bokius LLP, 5300 Wachovia Financial Center, 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Miami, FL 33131, attorneys for Defendant, and by Facsimile (305) 536-4494 and U.S. Mail to Cheryl A. Cooper, Esq., Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Miami District Office, One Biscayne Tower, Suite 2700, Two Biscayne Boulevard, Miami, FL 33131, attorney for Plaintiff, on this Monday, February 06, 2006.

ashonda Burns Attorney for

Case 3:05-cv-00990-TJC-TEM C Case 3:05-cv-00990-__C-TEM

Document 16 Document 11 Filed 02/06/2006 Page 14 of 16 Filed 12/0__005 Page 20 of 23



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Miami District Office

One Biscayne Tower 2 South Biscayne Blvd, Suite 2700 Miami, FL 33131 (305) 536-4491 TTY (305) 536-5721 FAX (305) 536-4011

EEOC Charge No: 150-2004-04281

La Shonda Burns 52 Lamanch Drive Palm Coast, FL 32137

Charging Party

Mothers Work, Inc., d/b/a Motherhood 2700 State Road 16, Suite 806 St. Augustine, FL 32092

Respondent

LETTER OF DETERMINATION

I issue the following determination on the merits of this charge.

Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Timeliness, deferral, and all other requirements for coverage have been met.

Charging Party alleged that the Respondent has a practice of not hiring pregnant women and that Respondent terminated her because Respondent thought she was pregnant and because she opposed the Respondent's policy and practice of pregnancy discrimination in violation of Title VII.

Т have determined that the evidence obtained during the investigation, and Respondent's failure to cooperate, establishes that there is reasonable cause to believe that Respondent discriminated against qualified applicants for hire because they were pregnant in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Additionally, I have determined that the Charging Party was discriminated against because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Moreover, I have determined that Respondent retaliated against Charging Party for opposing Respondent's policy and practice of discrimination against pregnant employees and applicants for hire, by terminating her employment, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.

Upon finding that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred, the Commission attempts to eliminate the alleged unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation.

EXHIBIT A

Case 3:05-cv-00990-TJC-TEM Document 16 Filed 02/06/2006 Page 15 of 16 Case 3:05-cv-00990-__C-TEM Document 11 Filed 12/0__005 Page 21 of 23

Letter of Determination EEOC Charge No. 150-2004-04281 Page 2

Therefore, the Commission now invites the parties to join with it in reaching a just resolution of this matter. The confidentiality provisions of Sections 706 and 709 of Title VII and the Commission Regulations apply to information obtained during conciliation.

Please complete the enclosed Invitation to Conciliate and return to the Commission at the above address no later than Monday, September 12, 2005. You may fax your response directly to (305)536-4011 to the attention of Dennis Kendrick, Investigator. Failure to respond by Monday, September 12, 2005 will indicate that you are not interested in conciliating this matter and the Commission will determine that efforts to conciliate this charge as required by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, have been unsuccessful.

08/29/2005

of the Commission: On Beh Costales

Federico Costales District Director

Enclosure: Invitation to Conciliate

cc: Sent by mail and telefax: 215-625-9379

Craig Schwartz, Esq., General Counsel Mothers Work, Inc. 456 N. 5th St. Philadelphia, PA 19123

CFR. Ada Hammond, Esq. 2223 Oak St. Jacksonville, FL 32092 Case 3:05-cv-00990-TJC-TEM Case 3:05-cv-00990-...C-TEM

ĸ

Document 16 Document 11

16 Filed 02/06/2006 11 Filed 12/0__005 Page 16 of 16 Page 23 of 23

	AGENCY	CHAR	GE NUMBER
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	P		
this form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form.	FEPA		1
	X EEOC	· · · ·	
FLORIDA COMMISSION ON	يوجد بالابت بالناكر النب المتاجيب الكالي كالكريب المتعي		and EEOC
State or local Agene			
NAME (INDICATE Hr., NS., Mrs.)	HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)		
Mrs. La Shonda Burns	(386) 445-9924		
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE		DATE OF SIRTH	
52 Lamanch Drive, Palm Coast, Florida 32137			01/07/80
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER. LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one is	t below.)	TTEE, STATE OR	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
NAME Mothers Work, Inc. NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS TELEPHONE (d/b/a Motherhood 15+			nclude Ares Code)
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZSP CODE			COUNTY
2000 State Road 16, Suite 806, St. August	ing, Elorida	32092	St. Johns
NAME	TELEPHONE NUMBER	t (Include Area (Code)
Mothers Work, Inc.	(215) 873-	2200	
STREET ADDRESS CETY, STATE AND ZIF CODE			COUNTY
456 North 5th Street, Philadelphia, PA			Philadelphia
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(e's)	<i>n</i> <u>-</u>	DATE DISCRIMINI EARLIEST	TION TOOK PLACE
	NATIONAL		
			8/05/04
XX RETALIATION AGE DISABILIT	XX OTHER (Specify)		
·	Pregnancy	XX CONTINU	ING ACTION
 My former employer has a policy and prapplicants and employees in véólation its Pregnancy Act provisions, and the I opossed the policy and practice and supervisor and to higher management at I was asked if I was pregnant when I h work here if I was. I was discriminated against because my retaliated against for my opposition a practices and received hostile and adv termination in violation of Federal ar 	of Title VII o Florida Civil also complain bout it. became ill and semployer beli and complaints verse employmen	f the Civil Rights Act. ed to my im I wesstold eved I may about the d t action, i	Rights Act an mediate I could not be pregnant an iscriminatory ncluding
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the state or local Agency. if any, I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures. I declare under panalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	NOTARY - (when neces Requirements) See A Swear or affirm th and that it is true information and belt SIGNATURE OF COMPLAX	Attached Notary C Attached Notary C Attached Rest Attached Rest MANT Le Rece	ertification a <u>s</u> he above charge y knowledge,
Date Charging Party (Signature)	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN (Day, month. and year)	TO BEFORE HE TH	IS DATE